## **Pulsars Do Not Produce Sharp Features in the Local Cosmic-Ray Electron and Positron Spectra**

I. John & T. Linden arXiv:2206.04699

Isabelle John isabelle.john@fysik.su.se



IDM Vienna 21 July 2022



# **Local Cosmic-Ray Positron Flux**



- Measured up to ~ 1 TeV
- Rises above ~ 20 GeV
- Spectrum is very smooth

# **Components of the Positron Flux**







- Middle-aged (~370 000 years)
- Nearby (~250 pc)

# **Positron Spectrum of Individual Pulsars**



#### Template System: Geminga

### **Recent Papers Predict Sharp Features for Individual Pulsars**





Hooper et al., arXiv:1702.08436



![](_page_4_Figure_8.jpeg)

Huang et al., arXiv:1712.00005

Orusa et al., arXiv:2107.06300

![](_page_4_Figure_5.jpeg)

Cholis & Krommydas, arXiv:2111.05864

**IDM Vienna 2022** 5

# **Sharp Spectral Features?**

- AMS positron flux is very smooth
- Annihilating dark matter could produce sharp spectral features as well

![](_page_5_Figure_3.jpeg)

#### Dark Matter Annihilation

 $\overline{\chi}$ 

 $\overline{\chi}$ 

![](_page_5_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Figure_7.jpeg)

![](_page_5_Picture_10.jpeg)

## **Spectral Features From Pulsars**

#### 1. Large fraction of positrons are produced when pulsar is very young

![](_page_6_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_6_Picture_15.jpeg)

- 2. High-energy positrons lose energy faster than low-energy positrons:
- To synchrotron radiation in magnetic fields
- To inverse-Compton scattering on ISRF photons

![](_page_6_Figure_5.jpeg)

IDM Vienna 2022 **ISAbelle John** Vienna 2022 **ISAbelle John** 

3. These initial positrons build up sharp feature in positron spectrum over time

![](_page_6_Figure_11.jpeg)

# **Cooling Mechanisms**

As positrons propagate through the Galaxy, they cool:

- Energy losses to synchrotron radiation in magnetic fields
- Energy losses to inverse-Compton scattering on ambient photons (Interstellar Radiation Field)
- Energy loss rate:

- CMB photons
- **IR** radiation
- Starlight
- UV radiation
- $\boldsymbol{E}$  Electron energy
- Photon energy *νi*
- $\sigma_{T}$  Thomson cross section
- Magnetic field energy density  $\rho_B$
- ISRF energy densities *ρi*
- $S$  Klein-Nishina suppression

![](_page_7_Picture_32.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_33.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_34.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_35.jpeg)

![](_page_7_Picture_36.jpeg)

$$
\frac{dE}{dt} = -\frac{4}{3}\sigma_T \left(\frac{E}{m_e}\right)^2 \left[\rho_B \right] \left(\sum_i \rho_i(\nu_i) S(\nu_i)\right)
$$

![](_page_7_Picture_13.jpeg)

#### Interstellar Radiation Field:

- Analytic approximations treat ICS as a continuous process
- But ICS is a stochastic process with catastrophic energy losses
	- Each positron only interacts with small number of photons
	- Energy transfer in each interaction differs greatly

#### Inverse Compton Scattering

![](_page_7_Picture_18.jpeg)

### **Stochastic Inverse-Compton Scattering Model**

![](_page_8_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_8_Picture_11.jpeg)

- 1. Create positron with some initial energy
- 2. Evolve in time steps
	- Calculate synchrotron energy losses
	- Based on positron and photon energy, determine if ICS happens and at what photon energy
	- If ICS: Calculate energy loss and new positron energy
- 3. Repeat until current pulsar age is reached

1200 80 energy [GeV]  $1000 800$ electron  $600 -$ Final  $E_0 = 10$  TeV, t = 370 kyr  $400 -$ 50 60 70 Average loss per ICS interaction [GeV]

### **Stochasticity of Inverse-Compton Scattering**

- Stochastic ICS:
	- ICS interactions are rare (~120 interactions in 370 kyr)
	- Catastrophic energy losses (~10-100% of energy lost)
	- ~30% spread in final positron energy distribution
- Analytic calculation:
	- All positrons are treated the same way, cool down to exactly the same energy

![](_page_9_Figure_11.jpeg)

### **Stochasticity of Inverse-Compton Scattering**

- Stochastic ICS:
	- ICS interactions are rare (~120 interactions in 370 kyr)
	- Catastrophic energy losses (~10-100% of energy lost)
	- ~30% spread in final positron energy distribution

![](_page_10_Figure_10.jpeg)

- Analytic calculation:
	- All positrons are treated the same way, cool down to exactly the same energy

• Sharp spectral features introduced by analytic approximation are smoothened out by ~50% when

# **Positron Spectrum of Individual Pulsars**

![](_page_11_Figure_1.jpeg)

correctly treating inverse-Compton scattering stochastically

![](_page_11_Figure_7.jpeg)

- Pulsars do not produce sharp features
- Loosens constraints on pulsars
- Recent papers that fit pulsars to the positron data require large number of pulsars to wash out sharp features: Possibly only smaller number of pulsars needed to fit AMS-02 positron flux

![](_page_12_Figure_4.jpeg)

IDM Vienna 2022 **ISAbelle John** Vienna 2022 **ISAbelle John** 

![](_page_12_Figure_7.jpeg)

# **Implications for Pulsar Models**

![](_page_12_Figure_9.jpeg)

Orusa et al., arXiv:2107.06300 Cholis & Krommydas, arXiv:2111.05864

# **Implications for Dark Matter**

![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

- dark matter mass
- 

![](_page_13_Figure_3.jpeg)

• Dark matter particles annihilating into leptonic final states produce sharp spectral features at

• Dark matter is the only known astrophysical mechanism that produces sharp spectral features

![](_page_14_Picture_14.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_15.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_16.jpeg)

### **Constraints on Dark Matter Models from Cosmic-Ray Positrons**

I. John & T. Linden, arXiv:2107.10261

Aim: Model dark matter contribution to local cosmic-ray positron flux to constrain leptophilic dark matter

Soc.372, 777 | Fitting recent AMS-02 data for positrons, protons and Helium

Simulation of cosmic-ray propagation using Galprop with many free parameters

#### Astrophysical Background Model

#### Pulsar model

- Spectrum: Hooper et al. arXiv:0810.1527
- Distribution: Lorimer et al. Mon. Not. Roy. Astron.

New solar modulation model: time-, charge- and rigiditydependent model (Cholis et al. arXiv:2007.00669)

### **Constraints on Dark Matter Models from Cosmic-Ray Positrons**

![](_page_15_Figure_1.jpeg)

Background model fits data to within a few percent  $\left(\text{reduced }\chi^{2}\sim0.88\right)$ 

IDM Vienna 2022 **ISAbelle John** 

arXiv:2107.10261

![](_page_15_Figure_5.jpeg)

Add dark matter contributions to background model

- Four leptonic final states:
	- $\chi\chi\rightarrow\tau^{+}\tau^{-}$
	- $\chi\chi\rightarrow\mu^{+}\mu^{-}$
	- $\chi\chi \rightarrow e^+e^-$
	- $\chi \chi \rightarrow \phi \phi \rightarrow e^+e^-e^+e^-$ , where  $\phi$  is a light mediator
- Strongest constraints for  $e^+e^-$  at  $m_{DM}^{}=12$  GeV and  $\langle \sigma v \rangle = 2.5 \times 10^{-29}$  cm<sup>3</sup>/s, significantly below thermal cross section

![](_page_16_Figure_9.jpeg)

### **Constraints on Dark Matter Models from Cosmic-Ray Positrons**

arXiv:2107.10261

![](_page_17_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_7.jpeg)

Cosmic-ray positrons strongly constrain models of dark matter annihilation into leptonic final states.

# **Summary**

We have proven that pulsars cannot produce sharp spectral features when inverse-Compton scattering is treated correctly stochastically.

![](_page_17_Picture_10.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Picture_11.jpeg)

This makes dark matter the only known potential source of sharp spectral features.

#### Supplementary Slides

**IDM Vienna 2022** 19

![](_page_18_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_4.jpeg)

# **Stochastic Inverse-Compton Scattering**

![](_page_19_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Figure_3.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Stochastic Inverse-Compton Scattering**

![](_page_20_Figure_1.jpeg)

IDM Vienna 2022 and 2022 **ISAbelle John** Vienna 2022 **ISAbelle John** Vienna 2022 **ISAbelle John** 

**Analytic Approximation Average of Stochastic ICS** Stochastic ICS  $\pm 1\sigma$ Stochastic ICS  $\pm 2\sigma$ 

![](_page_20_Picture_4.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

## **Spectral Feature is Independent of Diffusion**

![](_page_21_Figure_1.jpeg)

IDM Vienna 2022 Isabelle John

![](_page_21_Picture_5.jpeg)

# **Astrophysical Background Model**

![](_page_22_Figure_11.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_1.jpeg)

Positrons:  $\mathsf{Reduced}\ \chi^2=\mathsf{0.88}$ Degrees of Freedom: 49

Protons:  $\mathsf{Reduced}\ \chi^2=\mathsf{0.43}$ Degrees of Freedom: 49

Helium:  $\mathsf{Reduced}\ \chi^2=\mathsf{0.57}$ Degrees of Freedom: 43

#### Total: Reduced  $\chi^2 = 0.63$ , Degrees of Freedom: 141

## **Effect of Dark Matter Halo Height**

![](_page_23_Figure_1.jpeg)

 $z = 5.6$  kpc

IDM Vienna 2022 Isabelle John

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

$$
z = 3 \text{ kpc}
$$

![](_page_23_Picture_8.jpeg)

### **List of Free Parameters for Background Model**

#### Parameter

Diffusion coefficient,  $D_0$  [cm<sup>2</sup>/s] Diffusion spectrum break,  $D_{break}$ Spectral index below break,  $\delta_1$ Spectral index above break,  $\delta_2$ Convection velocity,  $v_c$  [km/(s kp Alfvén velocity,  $v_{\text{Alfvén}}$  [km/s] Proton injection spectrum break Proton spectral index below brea Proton spectral index above brea Pulsar spectral index,  $\gamma_{\text{psr}}$ Pulsar cutoff energy,  $E_{\text{cut}}^{\text{psr}}$  [GeV] Pulsar formation rate,  $N_{100}$  [psr/ Solar modulation parameter,  $\phi_0$ Solar modulation parameter,  $\phi_1$ Normalization (positrons, protons Helium injection spectrum break Helium spectral index below brea Helium spectral index above brea Normalization (Helium)

![](_page_24_Picture_78.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_7.jpeg)