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Motivation: thermomechanical simulations

Results of e-cloud studies for HL-LHC triplets (CERN-ACC-NOTE-2018-0009) used for thermomechanical simulations of the BPMs in IR:

- slices along triplet were simulated → heat loads (HL) at locations closest to BPMs are taken
- D1 BPM (∼73m from IP) with 20 W/m at SEY 1.1 showed concerning thermal load on the electrodes resulting in their significant thermal deformation

Figure B.21: Heat load as a function of the SEY at the simulated slices inside the Drift9.
Request

The e-cloud working group was requested to simulate e-cloud heat loads for BPMs in IR:

- at exact BPM locations
- with BPM chamber geometry
- for different coating scenarios

Surface coated with aC
Holes for contacts - aC coating needed?
Electrodes - aC coating needed?
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Simulation setup: uniform SEY

The BPM chambers:
- are larger than standard BS
- two types: one BPM_A, five BPM_B
- bodies a-C coated (SEY~1.1)

e-cloud build-up simulated at the BPM locations with:
- two beams with 24.95 ns bunch spacing
- bunch population: 1.8e11-2.5e11 p/bunch
- uniform SEY: 1.0-1.3
Heat load in BPM_A type

E-cloud simulations show no multipacting for $SEY < 1.25$:

- BPM body will be coated with aC SEY 1.1 → no further investigation
E-cloud simulations show multipacting for aC SEY 1.1 only in BPM at \( \sim 65 \) m from IP. Four times lower than previous "rough" estimation – only 5 W/m.
Heat load in BPM_B type

E-cloud simulations show multipacting for aC SEY 1.1 only in BPM_B at \(\sim 65\) m from IP

- four times lower than previous "rough" estimation – only 5 W/m
Heat load in BPM_B type

E-cloud simulations show multipacting for aC SEY 1.1 only in BPM_B at $\sim$65 m from IP
- four times lower than previous "rough" estimation – only 5 W/m
Heat load vs bunch population on BPM_B

Assuming some SEY variation on aC coating (1.05-1.15):

- **SEY 1.15**: max HL $\sim$20 W/m with $2.3\times10^{11}$ p/bunch
- **SEY 1.1**: max HL $\sim$6 W/m with $2.3\times10^{11}$ p/bunch
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With 2.3e11 p/bunch beam the pick-up sides get the total of:

- **SEY 1.15**: $\sim 6 \text{ W/m} \rightarrow 1.25 \text{ W/m/pickup side} \rightarrow \sim 0.22 \text{ W/pickup* side}$
- **SEY 1.1**: $\sim 1.25 \rightarrow \sim 0.3 \text{ W/m/pickup side} \rightarrow \sim 0.05 \text{ W/pickup* side}$

* Assuming 175 mm BPM body length
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Simulation setup: nonuniform SEY

There is a window where ceramics is exposed to the beam:

- window is \( \sim 2\text{mm} \) at each feedthrough
- ceramics SEY can be very high

e-cloud build-up simulated for the BPM_B type with non-uniform SEY
Heat load in BPM_B type

E-cloud simulations show multipacting for low SEY of ceramics in BPM B at \( \sim 65 \) m from IP assuming 2 mm opening at start and end of a pickup \( \rightarrow \) 40 W/m translates to \( \sim 0.16 \) W on a BPM.

Heat load in BPM_B type at different distances from the IP:
- 33.073 m from IP
- 43.858 m from IP
- 54.643 m from IP
- 65.743 m from IP
- 73.697 m from IP

Heat load [W/m] vs. SEY of ceramics for different SEY values and bunch numbers.
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E-cloud simulations show multipacting for low SEY of ceramics in BPM_B at \sim 65\,m from IP

- assuming 2\,mm opening at start and end of a pickup\rightarrow
- 40\,W/m translates to \sim 0.16\,W on a BPM
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Summary

Electron cloud build-up in the HL-LHC BPMs in IR5 was simulated accounting for:

- correct BPM chamber geometry
- exact locations of BPMs with corresponding delays in the arrival of the two beams

The BPM chamber is expected to be coated with aC SEY of 1.1. For this value no or not significant heat loads are expected from e-cloud:

- $<1.25 \text{ W/m/electrode side in case of SEY 1.15}$
- $<0.3 \text{ W/m/electrode side for SEY 1.1}$

The heat load increases at feedthroughs (exposed ceramics):

- heat load 7-40 W/m depending on SEY of ceramics
- the total heat load is $<0.16 \text{ W}$ (exposed ceramics window is very short)