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## Motivation - approaching $N=20$ island of inversion
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## Motivation $-N=20$ shell gap evolution



- Observed weakening in the $N=20$ shell closure with decreasing $Z$.
- Weakening caused by relative strength of interaction between neutrons and protons in different orbits ( $\nu d_{3 / 2}, f_{7 / 2}, p$ and $\pi d_{5 / 2}$ ). Orbitals experience different monopole shifts.
- Transfer reactions can be used here to map evolution of $p f$-states and how separation evolves.
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## Motivation - new shell model interactions

- Standard shell model calculations fail to reproduce experimental data without ad hoc changes.
- A number of interactions developed for the $s d p f$-model space:
- SDPF-MU ${ }^{3}$, a more established interaction, that uses $0 p-0 h$ and $1 \mathrm{p}-1 \mathrm{~h}$ excitations for positive and negative parity states respectively, and is fitted to experimental data in this region.
- FSU interaction ${ }^{4}$, similar to SDPF-MU, but fits more TBMEs and SPEs in this particular region.
- EEdf1 ${ }^{5}$ uses chiral EFT + extended Kuo-Krenciglowa (EKK) method to calculate over multiple major oscillator shells. Also uses 3-body interactions to model nuclei. Shown below for ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Mg}$.
- RMS deviation approx. 300 keV better for FSU and EEdf1 than SDPF-MU.
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$$
\mathrm{N}=17
$$

3 Y. Utsuno. Priv. communication.
4 R. Lubna. Priv. communication.
5 N. Tsunoda et al. Phys. Rev. C 95 (2 Feb. 2017), p. 021304.

## Solenoidal spectrometers



Measure $z$ rather than $\theta$.

For a given $z, T_{l a b} \propto \Delta E_{x}$. $\Rightarrow$ no compression in the solenoid.
$\Rightarrow$ better resolution.
"Knees" introduced as a result of finite array size.

$$
T_{l a b}=T_{c m}-\frac{1}{2} m v_{c m}^{2}+\frac{m v_{c m} z}{t_{c y c}}
$$






## Are

- Based on HELIOS spectrometer at Argonne National Laboratory.
- Detectors:
- HELIOS array - backwards scattered $p$.
- S1 detector - elastically scattered $d$.
- $E \Delta E$ recoil detector - scattered beam.
- Measure energy signals and timestamps on each detector.
- Beam energy: 9.473 MeV/u (d $E / E=0.3 \%$ ).
- Maximum $10^{6} \mathrm{pps}$.


## Analysis methodology



## Results - excitation spectrum

- Identified 14 states in ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Mg}$.
- Resolved 2270, 2501, 2900, and 3220 keV states and able to assign $\ell$.
- Identified a number of unbound states, including a doublet $\ell=1$ state and some high-excitation weaker states.
- Extracted cross sections at different angles for $7+2$ doublets.


## Results - cross sections



- Fitted cross sections using DWBA angular distributions from Dwuck5.
- Able to assign $\ell$ from the angular distributions.
- State labelled 3.980 MeV is the unbound doublet.


## Results - spectroscopic factors



- Can tentatively assign $j^{\pi}$ for experimental results from shell-model calculations.
- Reasonable agreement for the distribution of strength in strong states.
- Inform most likely ordering of $j^{\pi}$ for doublet state.


## Results - shell evolution

- Plotted centroids of single-particle strength in terms of binding energy for theoretical and experimental ${ }^{7,8,9}$ results (relative to ${ }^{31} \mathrm{Si}$ ). Error bars include ambiguities in $j$-assignment.
- As calculations reproduce trends reasonably well, plotted occupancies from the same model for protons and neutrons.
- Normalised SFs from experimental papers and calculated occupancies for comparison. Discrepancies in ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Mg}$ possibly due to g.s. doublet fit, or a change in neutron occupancies.
- Measurement of $\left(d_{1}^{3} \mathrm{He}\right)$ needed to confirm expected proton occupancies.
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## Conclusions and future

Conclusions:

- First experiment of ISS in early implementation stage.
- Comparable resolution to HELIOS.
- Highest energy per nucleon in a HIE-ISOLDE radioactive beam experiment.
- A successful experiment!


## Future:

- ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Al}(d, p)$ experiment recently performed at HELIOS more information for this region.
- Hoping to get ${ }^{30} \mathrm{Mg}(d, p)$ data from ISS in future.

- Bright future for ISS - Liverpool array, SpecMAT.


## Acknowledgements

| Manchester Group |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| D. K. Sharp | S. J. Freeman |
| B. D. Cropper |  |

Additional Collaborators

| C. R. Hoffman | B. P. Kay |
| :--- | :--- |
| L. P. Gaffney | E. F. Baader |
| M. Borge | P. A. Butler |
| W. N. Catford | G. de Angelis |
| J. Konki | Th. Kröll |
| M. Labiche | I. H. Lazarus |
| I. Martel | D. G. McNeel |
| R. D. Page | O. Poleshchuk |
| R. Raabe | F. Recchia |
| T. L. Tang | J. Yang |

## MANCHESTER 1824

The University of Manchester

## Wuiverstir of

## ENETR

## KU LEUVEN

## (D) SURIVRSEY



Università degli Studi di Padova

## 45010

- CSIC



## Transfer reactions in inverse kinematics

- Ejectile, $p$, provides information for the populated state in ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Mg}$ :
- Yields $\rightarrow$ cross section.
- $\theta \quad \rightarrow \quad$ angular momentum.
- Ejectile energy $\rightarrow$ excitation of residual nucleus.
- Single-particle strength split by correlations between nucleons.
- Deduce spectroscopic factors, $S_{j \ell}$, which measure how close each state looks like a $n$ in IPM state:

$$
\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \Omega}\right)_{\mathrm{EXP}}=S_{j \ell}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \Omega}\right)_{\mathrm{DWBA}}
$$

where $\left|{ }^{29} \mathrm{Mg} ; j, \ell\right\rangle \sim \sum_{j, \ell} S_{j \ell}\left(\left|{ }^{28} \mathrm{Mg} ; 0,0\right\rangle \otimes|n ; j, \ell\rangle\right)$.

- ${ }^{28} \mathrm{Mg} \rightarrow{ }^{28} \mathrm{Al}$ via $\beta^{-} ; \tau_{1 / 2}=21 \mathrm{~h}$. NK not possible, so use IK.
- IK allows transfer on radioactive nuclei, but introduces some non-trivial problems:

1. Kinematic shift (KS) - broadens peaks because of large $\frac{\mathrm{d} E}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}$ for a finite angular acceptance, $\Delta \theta$.
2. Kinematic compression (KC) reduces energy difference between states.

## Extracting $E$ and $z$

## Positions:



$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Position } \\
& \text { on strip }
\end{aligned}=X= \begin{cases}1-\frac{X_{1}}{E}, & X_{1}>0.5 E \\
\frac{X_{2}}{E}, & X_{2}>0.5 E\end{cases}
$$

- Calculate position on strip using gain-matched $X_{1}, X_{2}$, and $E$.
- Use laser alignment from CERN team to calculate distance from target:

$$
z=\left(X-\frac{1}{2}\right) w-z_{\mathrm{off}}-d_{i}
$$

$z$ is the distance along the beam axis from the target. $d_{i}$ is the distance along the array to the centre of strip $i$. $z_{\text {off }}$ is the distance from the target to the array.

## Energies:



- Gain match $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ to each other.
- Match $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ to $E$.
- Rough energy calibration with quadruple $\alpha$-source.
- $\alpha$-source not sufficient for full calibration, as $\alpha$ 's lose energy in the target.
- $\alpha$-source calibration improved by calibrating to known states in ${ }^{29} \mathrm{Mg}$.


## Further analysis - recoil-proton coincidences

Simulated number of recoiling nuclei hitting the recoil detector (at $\approx 4.3 \mathrm{MeV}$ ).
$\Rightarrow$ some recoiling nuclei don't hit the recoil detector.
$\Rightarrow$ low-angle points impossible to obtain based on cuts.


## Further analysis - recoil-proton coincidences



Simulated number of recoiling nuclei hitting the recoil detector (at $\approx 4.3 \mathrm{MeV}$ ).
$\Rightarrow$ some recoiling nuclei don't hit the recoil detector.
$\Rightarrow$ low-angle points impossible to obtain based on cuts.

Solution:
Use careful $\theta_{c m}$ cuts on the singles data to extract low-angle points.

Can see that the angle cuts here don't include $\alpha$-line in first row, but do include low-angle points for the ground state.
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