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# Motivation and how to measure $\tau \mathrm{g}-2$ 

## Motivation for measuring $\tau \mathrm{g}-2$

Magnetic moment of a particle: $\quad \boldsymbol{\mu}=g \frac{q}{2 m} \mathbf{S}$


Anomalous magnetic moment: $\quad a_{l} \equiv \frac{g_{l}-2}{2}$
Electron g-2: -2.5 $\sigma$ tension with the SM, Science 360, 191 (2018)
Muon g-2: $+4.2 \sigma$ tension with the SM
Phys. Rev. Lett. 126, 141801

## Motivation for measuring $\tau \mathrm{g}-2$

Magnetic moment of a particle: $\quad \boldsymbol{\mu}=g \frac{q}{2 m} \mathbf{S}$
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Dirac 1928

$\alpha / \pi$
Schwinger 1948


Possible new physics

Tau is $280 \times$ more sensitive to SUSY than muon
Martin, Wells, Phys. Rev. D64 (2001) 035003

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta a_{\ell} \sim m_{\ell}^{2} / M_{\mathrm{SUSY}}^{2} \\
& m_{\tau}^{2} / m_{\mu}^{2} \sim 280
\end{aligned}
$$

## How to measure $\tau \mathrm{g}-2$ at collider

Measure the process with $\mathrm{T}-\gamma-\mathrm{T}$ vertex to get $\mathrm{a}_{\mathrm{T}}$ :

$$
a_{\tau} \equiv \frac{g_{\tau}-2}{2}
$$



Before LHC, the most precise measurement of $a_{\mathrm{T}}$ is from LEP


Experimental measurement: $a_{\tau}=-0.018 \pm 0.017$, DELPHI, Eur. Phys. J. C35: 159-170, 2004

SM prediction: $\quad a_{\tau, \mathrm{SM}}^{\mathrm{pred}}=0.00117721(5)$
Eidelman, Passera, Mod. Phys. A22:159-179, 2007

## Ultraperipheral Collisions

- EM interactions become dominant at large impact parameters, $b>2 R_{A}$, where $R_{A}$ is the ion radius. Such collisions are usually referred to as ultraperipheral collisions (UPC)
- UPC of lead-lead could be used as a lowenergy photon-photon collider
- Measure the process of $\mathrm{YY} \rightarrow \mathrm{TT}$ in ultraperipheral lead-lead collisions
- Cross section enhanced by $Z^{4} \sim 4.5 \times 10^{7}$ with $Z_{\text {Pb }}=82$



## Extracting $a_{\tau}$

The amplitude of $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \ell^{+} \ell^{-}$:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}=(-i) \epsilon_{1 \mu} \epsilon_{2 \nu} \bar{u}\left(p_{3}\right) \\
& \times\left(i \Gamma^{(\gamma \ell \ell) \mu}\left(p_{3}, p_{t}\right) \frac{i\left(p_{t}+m_{\ell}\right)}{t-m_{\ell}^{2}+i \epsilon} i \Gamma^{(\gamma \ell \ell) v}\left(p_{t^{\prime}}-p_{4}\right)\right. \\
& q=p^{\prime}-p . \\
&\left.+i \Gamma^{(\gamma \ell \ell) v}\left(p_{3}, p_{u}\right) \frac{i\left(p_{u}+m_{\ell}\right)}{u-m_{\ell}^{2}+i \epsilon} i \Gamma^{(\gamma \ell \ell) \mu}\left(p_{u^{\prime}}-p_{4}\right)\right) v\left(p_{4}\right) . \\
& i \Gamma_{\mu}^{(\gamma \ell \ell)}\left(p^{\prime}, p\right)=-i e\left[\gamma_{\mu} F_{1}\left(q^{2}\right)+\frac{i}{2 m_{\ell}} \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^{\nu} F_{2}\left(q^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2 m_{\ell}} \gamma^{5} \sigma_{\mu \nu} q^{\nu} F_{3}\left(q^{2}\right)\right],
\end{aligned}
$$

In the $q^{2} \rightarrow 0$ limit: $F_{1}(0)=1, F_{2}(0)=a_{\ell}$ and $F_{3}(0)=\mathrm{d}_{\ell} 2 m_{\ell} / e$
The photons from the ultraperipheral collisions (UPC) have small virtualities. They are almost on-shell photons and are in the $q^{2} \rightarrow 0$ limit

## Extracting $a_{\tau}$

PLB 809 (2020) 135682


PRD 102, 113008 (2020)


## Detectors

## ATLAS Detector



## Zero Degree Calorimeter (ZDC)



## PbPb data taking during LHC Run 2



2015: $0.5 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ used in physics


2018: $1.7 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ used in physics

## Analysis strategy

| Decay mode | Meson resonance | $\mathcal{B}[\%]$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \tau^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{-} \bar{v}_{\mathrm{e}} \nu_{\tau} \\ \tau^{-} \rightarrow \mu^{-} \bar{v}_{\mu} \nu_{\tau} \end{array}\right\} \sim 35 \%$ |  | 17.8 |
|  |  | 17.4 |
| $\left.\begin{array}{l} \left.\begin{array}{l} \tau^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{h}^{-} v_{\tau} \\ \tau^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{h}^{-} \pi^{0} v_{\tau} \\ \tau^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{h}^{-} \pi^{0} \pi^{0} v_{\tau} \end{array}\right\} \sim 50 \% \\ \tau^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{h}^{-} \mathrm{h}^{+} \mathrm{h}^{-} \nu_{\tau} \\ \tau^{-} \rightarrow \mathrm{h}^{-} \mathrm{h}^{+} \mathrm{h}^{-} \pi^{0} v_{\tau} \end{array}\right\} \sim 15 \%$ <br> Other modes with hadrons |  | 11.5 |
|  | $\rho(770)$ | 26.0 |
|  | $\mathrm{a}_{1}(1260)$ | 9.5 |
|  | $\mathrm{a}_{1}(1260)$ | 9.8 |
|  |  | 4.8 |
|  |  | 3.2 |
| All modes containing hadrons |  | 64.8 |



- Use $1.44 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ ultraperipheral leadlead collisions data collected in 2018
- Target the $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau \tau$ events with one leptonic decay (as trigger) and one hadronic
- The $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ of $\tau$ in this analysis is low ( $p_{T}^{v i s}<10 \mathrm{GeV}$ for most of $\tau$ )
- Use one track or three tracks to tag hadronic $\tau$
- Fit to the lepton $(e / \mu) \mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}$ to exact $a_{\tau}$


## Event selections

Trigger: $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mu}>4 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{MET}<50 \mathrm{GeV} ; \quad \sum E_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mathrm{FCAL}}<3 \mathrm{GeV}$ on any side of FCal $(3.2<|\eta|<4.9)$

Offline event selections:

- Muon, $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\mu}>4 \mathrm{GeV}$
- Electron, $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{e}>4 \mathrm{GeV}$
- Track, $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {trk }}>100 \mathrm{MeV}$

Event categorization

- Muon+1track
- Muon+3track
- Muon+electron

Data: On0n ZDC selection to suppress photonuclear/hadronic backgrounds

Simulation reweighted from $0 n 0 n+0 n X n+X n X n$ to $0 n 0 n$ with datadriven weights

Veto additional clusters and tracks

$$
\begin{aligned}
& p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {cluster }}>1 \mathrm{GeV}(|\eta|<2.5) ; \\
& p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\text {cluster }}>100 \mathrm{MeV}(2.5<|\eta|<4.5) ;
\end{aligned}
$$

## ZDC selections



PRC 104, 024906 (2021)


Distribution of ZDC energies in events selected in the fiducial region, normalized by the beam energy per-nucleon of 2.51 TeV

## Signal and backgrounds

- Monte Carlo simulations:
- Signal $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau \tau$ : Starlight+Tauola (Pythia8+Photos for QED FSR)
- Background $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu \mu$ : Starlight+Pythia8
- Background $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu \mu(\gamma)$ : Madgraph5 (reweighted to $\mathrm{Pb}+\mathrm{Pb}$ photon flux)
- All samples reweighted to photon flux from SuperChic3
- Data-driven estimation of diffractive photonuclear events

$$
\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu \mu(\gamma) \text { events }
$$



Diffractive photonuclear process

## Photonuclear background

- Data-driven estimation of diffractive photonuclear events in $\mu+1$ track SR and $\mu+3$ track SR
- Templates built from control regions similar to SRs, but requiring an additional track with $\mathrm{pT}<500 \mathrm{MeV}$ and allowing 0nXn ZDC events
- Normalization: relax cluster veto. Use region with 4-8 unmatched clusters

$\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau \tau$ event candidate ATLAS collision event

Run: 366268
Event: 3305670439
2018-11-18 16:09:33 CEST


Muon


## Post-fit distributions

arXiv:2204.13478



## Post-fit distributions

arXiv:2204.13478



## Results: $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau \tau$ signal strength



## Results: $a_{\tau}$




## $\gamma Y \rightarrow \mu \mu / e e$

## Signal and backgrounds for $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \mu \mu / \mathrm{ee}$



Signal


Signal with FSR


Dissociative backgrounds

- Dissociative backgrounds: estimated with data-driven method.
- Templates taken from LPair ( $\mu \mu$ ), SuperChic4+Pythia8 (ee)


## $\gamma Y \rightarrow \mu \mu$ Results

- The cross-sections are measured as a function of $m \mu \mu$ and $|y \mu \mu|$
- Data is compared with STARlight
- MC simulation of $\mathrm{YY} \rightarrow$ $\mu+\mu$ - process w/o FSR


## $Y Y \rightarrow e e$ Results




- Differential cross sections measured in $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{ee}},\left|\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{ee}}\right|,\left\langle\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}}{ }^{\mathrm{e}}\right\rangle,|\cos \theta *|$
- STARlight 3.13 (SuperChic 3.05) is systematically lower (higher) than data


## $\mathrm{YY} \rightarrow \mathrm{YY}$

## $\mathrm{YY} \rightarrow \mathrm{YY}$



Fiducial cross sections are measured in $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{T}}>2.5 \mathrm{GeV}, \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{yy}}>5 \mathrm{GeV},\left|\eta_{\mathrm{y}}\right|<2.4$, $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{T}} \mathrm{YY}<1 \mathrm{GeV}$

## $\mathrm{Y} Y \rightarrow \mathrm{Y}$ : search for axion-like particles




The most stringent limit established for ALP masses between 6-100 GeV

## LHC Run 3 for PbPb



## Run 3 luminosity targets

Indicative!

| Mode | GPDs |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{p}$ | $250 / \mathrm{fb}$ |
| $\mathrm{Pb}-\mathrm{Pb}$ | $7 / \mathrm{nb}(13 / \mathrm{nb}$ by LS4) |
| $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{Pb}$ | $0.5 / \mathrm{pb}(\sim 1 / \mathrm{pb}$ by LS4) |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ | $0.5 / \mathrm{nb}$ |
| $\mathrm{p}-\mathrm{O}$ | LHCf $1.5 / \mathrm{nb}$ |

Shutdown/Technical stop Protons physics
Ions
Commissioning with beam
Hardware commissioning/magnet training

## Expect to have $7 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$ for LHC Run 3 for PbPb data

## Summary

- Observation of $\gamma \gamma \rightarrow \tau \tau$ in ultraperipheral lead-lead collisions from ATLAS, arXiv:2204.13478, accepted by PRL
- Set constraints on the $\tau$ anomalous magnetic moment
- UPC events are very clean and ideal for precision studies. Opening physics opportunities for QED studies at hadron collider
- Constraints on $a_{\tau}$ are competitive with LEP results. Will be improved with more data

- $\gamma Y \rightarrow e e:$ arXiv:2207.12781, submitted to JHEP
- $\mathrm{y} \rightarrow \rightarrow \mu \mu$ : Phys. Rev. C 104 (2021) 024906
- $\mathrm{YY} \rightarrow \mathrm{rT}:$ arXiv:2204.13478, accepted by PRL
- $\mathrm{YY} \rightarrow \mathrm{YY}:$ JHEP 03 (2021) $\underline{243}$


## Backup

## Measure $\tau$ g-2 at hadron collider

Proposed by Jesse Liu and Lydia Beresford
First proposed by: F. del Aguila, F. Cornet, and J. I. Illana,

Phys. Rev. D 102, 113008 (2020)
Phys. Lett. B 271, 256 (1991)

Measure the process of $\mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Y} \rightarrow \mathrm{TT}$ in ultraperipheral lead-lead collisions


Cross section parameterization is also studied:
M. Dyndal, M. Schott, M. Klusek-Gawenda, A. Szczurek, PLB 809 (2020) 135682

## Zero Degree Calorimeter Module

## LHCC/2007-001

- Beam impinges on tungsten plates at bottom of module, and showers.
- Quartz rods pick up Cerenkov light from the shower and pipe it to multi-anode phototube at top of module.
- Phototubes measure light from strips through four air light pipe funnels.



## ZDC fractions

Observed
fractions

$$
\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{0 n 0 n}^{\prime} \\
f_{\mathrm{X} 0 n}^{\prime} \\
f_{\mathrm{X} n \mathrm{X} n}^{\prime}
\end{array}\right]=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
\left(1-p_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\left(1-p_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\left(1-p_{\mathrm{M}}\right) & 0 & 0 \\
2 p_{\mathrm{S}}\left(1-p_{\mathrm{S}}-p_{\mathrm{M}}+p_{\mathrm{M}} p_{\mathrm{S}} / 2\right) & \left(1-p_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\left(1-p_{\mathrm{M}}\right) & 0 \\
p_{\mathrm{M}}+p_{\mathrm{S}}^{2} & p_{\mathrm{M}}+p_{\mathrm{S}}-p_{\mathrm{M}} p_{\mathrm{S}} & 1
\end{array}\right]\left[\begin{array}{c}
f_{0 n 0 n} \\
f_{\mathrm{X} n 0 n} \\
f_{\mathrm{X} n \mathrm{X} n} .
\end{array}\right]
$$

- $p_{\mathrm{S}}$ : probability of single disassociation
- $p_{\mathrm{M}}$ : probability of mutual disassociation

Pre-fit impact on a :


Post-fit impact on a :$\theta=\hat{\theta}+\Delta \hat{\theta}$ $\theta=\hat{\theta}-$ ATLAS

Systematics
Nuis. Param. Pull
muon L1 trigger (stat) muon L1 trigger (sys) tau decay modeling tracking eff. (overall ID material) muon momentum scale photon flux uncertainty electron efficiency (sys)
muon sagitta ( $\rho$ ) muon sagitta (res. bias) tracking eff. (PPO material) electron efficiency (stat) egamma energy scale egamma energy res. topocluster efficiency muon momentum res. (ID)
$\mathrm{Pb}+\mathrm{Pb} \sqrt{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{NN}}}=5.02 \mathrm{TeV}, 1.44 \mathrm{nb}^{-1}$


