

The impact of the heating mix on L- and H-mode DEMO plasmas

G. Suárez López¹, E. Fable¹, G. Tardini¹, H. Zohm^{1,2} and the EUROfusion MST1 Team³

¹Max Planck Institute for Plasmaphysics, Boltzmannstraße 2, 85748 Garching, Germany.

²Ludwig Maximilian University, Geschwister-Scholl-Platz 1, 80539 München, Germany.

³See the author list of “B. Labit et al., 2019 Nucl. Fusion 59 086020”

The development of the EU-DEMO reactor is at the pre-conceptual design phase. At this stage, close attention is paid to the heating mix necessary to fulfill all the plasma requirements: breakdown, ramp-up, L-H transition, burn control, NTM stabilization, sawtooth pacing, radiative instability control and ramp-down. Integrated modeling is an effective tool to compare the impact of dominant electron vs. ion heating on turbulence and plasma kinetic profile evolution. Thus, the ability of a given heating mix to meet the aforementioned requirements can be systematically studied. We have utilized the ASTRA [1] transport code, coupled to the TGLF [2, 3] turbulent transport model for the core region of DEMO in order to compare the plasma response to dominant electron and ion heating mixes representative of ECRH, NBI and ICRF in L- and H-mode plasmas. Suitable boundary conditions are applied at the separatrix via a 0D 2-point model. Initial estimations [4] point to the feasibility of the L-H transition and significant fusion power production even in purely electron-heated plasmas.

The L-H transition work has been revisited and extended through the inclusion of Xe and W impurities, an expansion of the scanned parameter space in terms of density and ECRH power, a scan of the ECRH power deposition location and width as well as TGLF-predicted electron density profiles. The inclusion of impurities sets a strict concentration threshold for the L-H transition at high densities, while at low to intermediate Greenwald fraction the L-H transition becomes accessible at much higher impurity concentrations. Simulation in H-mode are performed by modeling the pedestal with scaling laws coupled to the core parameters [5]. The fraction of electron heating and Xe concentration is scanned and we determine its impact on the obtained fusion power and separatrix heat fluxes.

This work has been carried out within the framework of the EUROfusion Consortium and has received funding from the Euratom research and training program 2014-2018 and 2019-2020 under grant agreement No 633053. The views and opinions expressed herein do not necessarily reflect those of the European Commission.

References:

- [1] G. V. Pereverzev and P. N. Yushmanov. Automated System for Transport Analysis. Tech. rep. 5/98. Garching bei München: Max-Planck-Institut für Plasmaphysik, 2002.
- [2] G. M. Staebler et al. “A model of the saturation of coupled electron and ion scale gyrokinetic turbulence”. In: Nuclear Fusion **57** 066046 (2017).
- [3] G. M. Staebler et al. “Geometry dependence of the fluctuation intensity in gyrokinetic turbulence”. In: Plasma Phys. Control. Fusion **63** 015013 (2021).
- [4] G. Suárez López et al. “On the feasibility of Ti collisional heating and Te/Ti control on DEMO using ECRH”. In: 62nd Annual Meeting of the APS Division of Plasma Physics, 2020.
- [5] M. Siccino et al. “Impact of an integrated core/SOL description on the R and B_T optimization of tokamak fusion reactors”. In: Nucl. Fusion **58** 016032 (2018).