



Managing multicore machines: pslots, draining and more

Center for High Throughput Computing



HELP! There's a Cluster in my Server!

Center for High Throughput Computing

2nd gen Intel[®] Xeon[®] Scalable Processors



[28 core die]

Features	2 nd gen Intel [®] Xeon [®] Scalable Processors						
Cores and Threads Per Processor	[8200] Up to 28 cores and 56 threads [9200] Up to 56 cores and 112 threads						
Data Center Cache Hierarchy	1MB dedic Up to 38.5 MB (Cache per Core usive) Shared L3 Cache						
Cross-Die Interconnect	Up to UPI @ 10.4 GT/s Up to Cket glueless connectivity						
PCIe Lanes	Up 3 Lanes PCIe 3.0 (2.5, 5, 8 GT/s)						
Memory	Up in annels at 2933 MT/s per processor 3 2 DPC RDIMMs, LRDIMMs, 3DS LRDIMMs, apporting up to 16Gb DDR4 devices Intel® Optane [™] DC Persistent Memory Module support for up to 4.5TB system memory per processor						
Vector Compute	Intel® AVX-512 with up to 16 DP, 32 SP, and 128 INT8 MACs w/ Intel® DL Boost per cycle per core						
Mitigations for Side- Channel Methods	Variants 2, 3, 3a, 4, and L1TF						
Turbo Frequency	Boost across Stack						

My Desktop Computer

8 Cores (Hyper-threaded)16 Gigabytes RAM







HTCondor out of the box

1 core per slot, memory divided evenly Create static slots from detected resources

\$ condor	_status									
Name	OpSys	Arch	State	Activity	LoadAv	Mem	ActvtyTir	ne		
slot1@c	LINUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	Idle	0.000	1997	0+00:00:0	0 0		
slot2@c	LINUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	Idle	0.000	1997	0+00:00:2	20		
slot3@c	LINUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	Idle	0.000	1997	0+00:00:2	20		
slot4@c	LINUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	Idle	0.000	1997	0+00:00:2	20		
slot5@c	LINUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	Idle	0.000	1997	0+00:00:2	20		
slot6@c	LINUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	Idle	0.000	1997	0+00:00:2	20		
slot7@c	LINUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	Idle	0.000	1997	0+00:00:2	20		
slot8@c	LINUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	Idle	0.000	1997	0+00:00:2	20		
	Total	Owner	r Claimed 1	Unclaimed	Matcheo	d Pree	mpting Bad	ckfill	Drain	
X86_64/I	JINUX 8	0	0	8	0		0	0	0	
Total	8	0	0	8	0		0	0	0	

CENTER FOR HIGH THROUGHPUT COMPUTING



You can Lie about Resources!

HTCondor Config file for startd

```
# Tell HTCondor I've got 6 cores
NUM CPUS = 6
```

```
# Memory for all slots (in Megabytes)
MEMORY = 4096
```

Subtract 1GB from whatever memory is detected
RESERVED MEMORY = 1024

Tell HTCondor that execute disk size (in KB) is this DISK = 10240





Conventional Wisdom about cores

"Modern machines have lots of cores, so I should make all my jobs each use as many cores as possible, so they finish as fast as possible"

HT Wisdom: "Probably not"





Rules of HT Optimization

The fewer resources a job needs,

the more places it can run

But sometimes, you just need more...





Slot are where jobs run

```
# Submit file
                                           Don't Forget
<sup>$ cor</sup><sub>Name</sub> Executable = calculate
                                           These – may be
slot1
slot2
    Arguments = 1 \ 2 \ 42
slot
                                           required!
slot4
slot5
slot6
   Request_Cpus = 2
    Request Memory = 2048
slot7
slot8
    Request Disk = 1G
X86
Total
    Log = log
    queue
  CENTER FOR
```

THROUGHPUT

COMPUTING

What happens if...



Idle Forever...

\$ condor_q

```
-- Schedd: gthain@c: <10.5.1.1:33601?...
```

OWNERBATCH_NAMESUBMITTEDDONERUNIDLETOTALJOB_IDSgthain ID:5779/1617:5711577.0

Total for query: 1 jobs; 0 completed, 0 removed, 1 idle, 0 running, 0 held, 0 suspended Total for all users: 1 jobs; 0 completed, 0 removed, 1 idle, 0 running, 0 held, 0 suspended





What if I lie to HTCondor?



Running, but in Jail!



Solution: Partitionable Slots

- 2 kinds of slots:
- partitionable-slots:
 - always unclaimed hold unused resources
- Dynamic slots

create/destroyed to fit one job





Enabling partionable slots

HTCondor Config file for startd

```
NUM_SLOTS_TYPE_1 = 1
SLOT_TYPE_1_PARTITIONABLE = true
SLOT_TYPE_1 = cpus=100%
```





Enabling partionable slots

\$ condor_status Name	s Ops	Sys	Arch	State	Activit	y LoadAv Me	em Actv	tyTime
slot1@c	LII	NUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	l Idle	0.000 15	976 0+08	:46:59
	Total	Owner	Claimed	Unclaimed	Matched	Preempting	Backfill	Drain
X86_64/LINUX	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0
Total	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	0





What's in the p-slot?

\$ condor_status -af Name SlotType Cpus Memory

slot1@c Partitionable 8 15976





Now this job can run...





And this one...

```
# Submit file
Executable = calculate
Arguments = 1 \ 2 \ 42
Request Cpus = 3
Request Memory = 1024
Request Disk = 1G
Log = log
queue
```

CENTER FOR

COMPUTING

GH THROUGHPUT



What's in the p-slot?



What's in the p-slot?

- \$ condor_status -af Name SlotType Cpus Memory
- slot1@c Partitionable 0 11880
- slot1_1@c Dynamic 2 2048
- slot1 20c Dynamic 3 1024
- slot1_3@c Dynamic 3 1024



When a d-slot completes?

- \$ condor_status -af Name SlotType Cpus Memory
- slot1@c Partitionable 3 12904
- slot1_1@c Dynamic 2 2048
- slot1 20c Dynamic 3 1024
- slot1 3@c Dynamic 3 1024





No – Starvation

If I submit 8 one core jobs





Completely used machine



Starvation

> If there is a supply of one core jobs...

> A two-core job will never match!





No easy solution

Need to engineer approach and make tradeoffs Throughput vs. fairness Establish policy Measure performance

CENTER





First approach: Steering







Works best when 2 sizes (cpus)



Fill 1 core jobs "left to right"

Fill 8 core jobs "right to left"





Pool-wide policy -> negotiator

Assume every startd advertises Longitude:

- Longitude = 2.3522
- START_ATTRS = Longitude
- And in the negotiator, have config like...
- NEGOTIATOR_PRE_JOB_RANK = \setminus
 - RequestCpus == 1 ? Longitude : Longitude



Brief Advertisement

- > Whole talk on negotiator
- > Google for
 - site:youtube.com center for high throughput computing channel





2nd approach: Draining

\$ condo:	r_sta	tus							
Name	Op	Sys	Arch	State	Activity	LoadAv	Mem		
slot10c	LI	NUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	Idle	0.000	12904	4	
slot1_1	@c LI	NUX	X86_64	Claimed	Busy	0.000	2048	8	
slot1_2	@c LI	NUX	X86_64	Claimed	Busy	0.000	1024	4	
slot1_3	@c LI	NUX	X86_64	Claimed	Busy	0.000	1024	4	
Total Or	wner	Claimed	Unclaime	ed Matched	Preemptir	ng Back:	fill	Drain	
LINUX	4	0	3	1	0	(C	0	
Total	4	0	3	1	0	(C	0	

HCond



condor_drain command



How does drain work?

\$ condor_status -af:r Requirements
false
false
false
false





condor_drain cancelling

\$ condor drain -cancel c

\$ condor_s	status							
Name	OpSys	Arch	State	Activity :	LoadAv I	Mem		
slot1@c	LINUX	X86_64	Unclaimed	Retiring	0.000	1290	4	
slot1_1@c	LINUX	X86_64	Claimed	Retiring	0.000	2048		
slot1_2@c	LINUX	X86_64	Claimed	Retiring	0.000	1024		
slot1_3@c	LINUX	X86_64	Claimed	Retiring	0.000	1024		
Total Owne	er Claimed	Unclaim	ed Matched	Preemptin	g Backf:	ill 1	Drain	
LINUX	4 0	3	1	0	0		0	
Total	4 0	3	1	0	0		0	

HICON



How long does drain last?

- > Jobs killed after MaxJobRetirementTime
 - Default is 0
- > This may be most underused knob in HTC
- > Machine stays in drained state until cancel
 - Even after all jobs exit
- > (unless –resume-on-complete) is set





condor_drain command

\$ condor drain -start 'BackfillableJob == true' c

\$ condor_s	status							
Name	OpSys	Arch	State	Activity	LoadAv	Mem		
slot1@c	LINUX	X86_64	Drained	Retiring	0.000	12904	ł	
slot1_1@c	LINUX	X86_64	Claimed	Retiring	0.000	2048	3	
slot1_2@c	LINUX	X86_64	Claimed	Retiring	0.000	1024	1	
slot1_3@c	LINUX	X86_64	Claimed	Retiring	0.000	1024	ł	
Total Owne	er Claimed	Unclaime	ed Matched	Preemptir	ng Backi	Eill	Drain	
LINUX	4 0	3	1	0	()	0	
Total	4 0	3	1	0	()	0	

ICond



condor_drain with backfill

\$ condor_submit backfillable_job.sub

\$ condor_s	status						
Name	OpSys	Arch	State	Activity	LoadAv	Mem	
slot1@c	LINUX	X86_64	Drained	Retiring	0.000	12904	
slot1_1@c	LINUX	X86_64	Claimed	Retiring	0.000	2048	
slot1_2@c	LINUX	X86_64	Claimed	Retiring	0.000	1024	
slot1_3@c	LINUX	X86_64	Claimed	Retiring	0.000	1024	
slot1_4@c	LINUX	X86_64	Claimed	Busy	0.000	1024	
Total Owne	er Claime	ed Unclaime	ed Matched	Preemptin	ng Backi	Eill I	Drain
LINUX	4 0	3	1	0	()	0
Total	4 0	3	1	0	()	0





Defrag daemon

- > Optional, can be enabled (often on CM)
- > Just runs condor_drain and -cancel
- > Never looks at queues, just at condor_status

DEFRAG_DRAINING_MACHINES_PER_HOUR

DEFRAG MAX WHOLE MACHINES

DEFRAG_REQUIREMENTS





Questions?

Please see htcondor.readthedocs.io



