



Plots from G.Watt -MSTW





NLO W^+/W^- ratio at the LHC ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV) **R**± ≡ σ_w, / σ_w 1.5 1.48 1.46 68% C.L. PDF MSTW08 1.44 CTEQ6.6 NNPDF2.0 HERAPDF1.0 1.42 ABKM09 Vertical error bars Inner: PDF only **GJR08** Outer: PDF+a. ¹0.114 0.122 0.124 0.116 0.118 0.12 α_s(M₇²)

Plots from G.Watt -MSTW

W+/W- ratio is worse-

Disagreement in u/d quark shapes

W/Z ratio is much better





And then there are the gluon-gluon induced cross-sections

Spread in Higgs production crosssections is now > 15% Dependence on alphas is also increased



Plots from G.Watt -MSTW





Plots from G.Watt -MSTW

Illustration of uncertainty band for MSTW due to PDFs alone within the dotted lines and total uncertainty due to PDFs +alphas is the full yellow band







Plots from G.Watt -MSTW



Can we understand these differences?

Talks by all groups TODAY (TUESDAY) on current PDFs and updates

Recently the PDF4LHC group has been considering the role that the uncertainty in the value of $\alpha_{\rm S}(M_Z)$ plays in the overall uncertainty of predictions

This is not a large effect for W/Z production

But the value of m_c AND the scheme used to account for heavy quark production are.. WEDNESDAY

We will also consider areas of disagreement with data

1) are ALL PDFs are including data at low-x, Q2 which are not really well described by (N)NLO DGLAP.. WEDNESDAY

2) Tevatron lepton asymmetry data is not well fitted..THURSDAY

And we ill consider PDFs for MC's- THURSDAY