



An improved Full Reconstruction tool utilizing NeuroBayes

ACAT 2011

M. Feindt, T. Kuhr, S. Neubauer, D. Zander, A. Zupanc | September 6th, 2011

INSTITUT FÜR EXPERIMENTELLE KERNPHYSIK, KARLSRUHE INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY (KIT)



Contents



- The Full Reconstruction
 - The Method
 - Use-cases

2 NeuroBayes

- Overview
- 3 Efficiency and Purity
 - Overview
 - Channels
- 4 Results
 - Tag Side
 - Signal Side
 - Reduced Channels
 - Summary

The Full Reconstruction method



- **B factories:** e^+e^- colliders with center of mass energy of $\sqrt{s} = 10.58$ GeV at the $\Upsilon(4S)$ resonance
- $\mathcal{B}(\Upsilon(4S) \rightarrow B\bar{B}) > 96\%$
 - $\mathbf{P} \Rightarrow p_{CMS}(B) + p_{CMS}(\bar{B}) + p_{CMS}(\text{Beam}) = 0$
 - \Rightarrow Clean event topologies (typically \sim 10 tracks)



The Full Reconstruction ••••• Daniel Zander - Full reconstruction NeuroBaves

Efficiency and Purity

Typical use-cases



0.8

12

4/34

The full reconstruction allows to measure missing momentum and additional energy.



Exemplary reconstruction of the tag side





B reconstruction: Important variables

$$M_{bc} \equiv \sqrt{E_{beam}^2 - p_B^2}$$

 $\Delta E \equiv E_{B\text{-meson}} - E_{\text{Beam}}$

The Full Reconstruction 00000 Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results

Exemplary reconstruction of the tag side





The Full Reconstruction 00000 Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results

Exemplary reconstruction of the tag side





Typical variables:

- Vertex fit information
- Kinematic Variables
- Particle ID information
- M_{bc} or ΔE

The Full Reconstruction 0000 Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction NeuroBayes

Full Reconstruction

This procedure is performed for hundreds of different channels

Efficiency and Purity

Results

September 6th, 2011

Contents



- The Full Reconstruction
 - The Method
 - Use-cases



- 3
- Efficiency and Purity
- Overview
- Channels
- 4 Results
 - Tag Side
 - Signal Side
 - Reduced Channels
 - Summary

NeuroBayes



 Multivariate analysis software combining a Neural Network with sophisticated pre-processing



The output of the Network can be interpreted as Bayesian probability

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results 000000000000

truction

September 6th, 2011

NeuroBayes - Pre-processing





Purity is taken and transformed to have mean 0 and width 1.



The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes ○●○○ Efficiency and Purity

Results

Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction

September 6th, 2011

NeuroBayes - Pre-processing



Input variables are decorrelated



Pre-processing ...

- Speeds up the training process
- Facilitates the weight finding
- Increases the robustness of the algorithm

September 6th, 2011

The Full Reconstruction 00000 Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results 000000000000

Probability



(Output of NeuroBayes+1)/2 is the signal probability by construction.

2) Signal to background ratio in training is **not** the same as on data

- It is often necessary to artificially enhance the signal component for the training.
- The output can be corrected:

$$o_{p} = rac{1}{1 + (rac{1}{o_{t}} - 1) rac{P_{p}(B)}{P_{p}(S)} rac{P_{l}(S)}{P_{l}(B)}}$$

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes



Contents



- The Full Reconstruction
 - The Method
 - Use-cases

2 NeuroBayes

- Overview
- 3

Efficiency and Purity

- Overview
- Channels
- 4 Results
 - Tag Side
 - Signal Side
 - Reduced Channels
 - Summary

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results

September 6th, 2011

Efficiency and Purity



Two premises



- The more correct tag side B mesons, the more signal side B mesons are available for analysis.
 - \Rightarrow Need for good efficiency
- Provide a side and a side and a side a mesons, the more background pollutes the signal side.
 - \Rightarrow Need for good purity

The Full Reconstruction 00000 Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

F

Results



Channel		\mathcal{BR}	Channel		\mathcal{BR}
$B^+ \rightarrow$	$ar{D}^0\pi^+$	0.484%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D^{-}\pi^{+}$	0.268%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$ar{D}^0\pi^+\pi^0$	1.340%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D^-\pi^+\pi^0$	0.760%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$ar{D}^0\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	1.100%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	0.800%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$D_S^+ ar D^0$	1.000%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$ar{D}^0\pi^0$	0.026%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$ar{D}^{ar{0}*}\pi^+$	0.519%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D_S^+ D^-$	0.720%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$ar{D}^{0*}\pi^+\pi^0$	0.980%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D^{*-}\pi^+$	0.276%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$ar{D}^{0*}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	1.030%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D^{*-}\pi^+\pi^0$	1.500%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$ar{D}^{0*}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	1.800%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D^{*-}\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	0.700%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$D_S^{+*}ar{D}^0$	0.760%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D^{*-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$	1.760%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$D_S^+ \overline{D}^{0*}$	0.820%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D_{S}^{+*}D^{-}$	0.740%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$D_S^{+*}\overline{D}^{0*}$	1.710%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D_{S}^{+}D^{*-}$	0.800%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$ar{D}^{ar{0}} K^+$	0.037%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$D_{S}^{+*}D^{*-}$	1.770%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$D^-\pi^+\pi^+$	0.107%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$J/\psi K_S^0$	0.087%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$J/\psi K^+$	0.101%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$J/\psi K^+\pi^-$	0.120%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$J/\psi K^+\pi^+\pi^-$	0.107%	$B^0 \rightarrow$	$J/\psi K^0_S \pi^+\pi^-$	0.100%
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$J/\psi K^+\pi^0$	0.047%			
B^+ $ ightarrow$	$J/\psi K^0_S \pi^+$	0.094%			

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results

Daniel Zander - Full reconstruction



Channel		\mathcal{BR}	Channel		\mathcal{BR}
$D^0 \rightarrow$	$K^{-}\pi^{+}$	3.89%	$D^+ \rightarrow$	$K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}$	9.40%
$D^0 o$	$K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	8.09%	$D^+ \rightarrow$	$K^0_S\pi^+$	1.49%
$D^0 ightarrow $	$K^-\pi^+\pi^0$	6.90%	$D^+ \rightarrow$	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^0$	6.90%
$D^0 ightarrow $	$\pi^+\pi^-$	0.14%	$D^+ \rightarrow$	$K^-\pi^+\pi^+\pi^0$	6.08%
$D^0 ightarrow $	$\pi^+\pi^-\pi^0$	1.44%	$D^+ \rightarrow$	$K^0_S\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	3.10%
$D^0 o$	$K_S^0 \pi^0$	1.22%	$D^+ \rightarrow$	$K^+K^-\pi^+$	0.98%
$D^0 o$	$K^{0}_{S}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	2.94%	$D^+ \rightarrow$	${\it K}^+{\it K}^-\pi^+\pi^0$	1.50%
$D^0 o$	$K^{0}_{S}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}\pi^{0}$	5.40%	$D^{+*} \rightarrow$	$D^0\pi^+$	67.70%
$D^0 o$	K^+K^-	0.39%	$D^{+*} \rightarrow$	$D^+\pi^0$	30.70%
$D^0 o$	$K^+K^-K^0_S$	0.47%			
$D^{0*} \rightarrow$	$D^0\pi^0$	61.9%	$D^{0*} \rightarrow$	$D^0\gamma$	38.10%
$D_S^+ \rightarrow$	$K^+K^0_S$	1.49%	$D_S^+ \rightarrow$	$K^{+}K^{-}\pi^{+}\pi^{+}\pi^{-}$	0.88%
$D_{S}^{+} \rightarrow$	${\it K}^+\pi^+\pi^-$	0.69%	$D_{S}^{+} \rightarrow$	$\pi^+\pi^+\pi^-$	1.10%
$D_{S}^{+} \rightarrow$	$K^+K^-\pi^+$	5.50%	$D_{S}^{+*} \rightarrow$	$D_S^+\gamma$	94.20%
$D_{S}^{+} \rightarrow$	$K^+K^-\pi^+\pi^0$	5.60%	$J/\psi \rightarrow$	e ⁻ e ⁺	5.94%
$D_{S}^{+} \rightarrow$	${\it K}^+{\it K}^0_{S}\pi^+\pi^-$	0.96%	$J/\psi \rightarrow$	$\mu^-\mu^+$	5.93%
$D_{S}^{ ilde{+}} imes$	${\it K}^-{\it K}^0_{\cal S}\pi^+\pi^+$	1.64%			

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results

Daniel Zander - Full reconstruction



Channels		\mathcal{BR}	Channels		\mathcal{BR}	Channels		\mathcal{BR}
D^+	7	29.4%	D*+	2	98.4%	B^+	17	12.0%
D^0	10	37.9%	D*0	2	100.0%	B^0	15	10.4%
D_S^+	8	17.9%	D_S^{*+}	1	94.2%			
J/Ψ	2	11.9%						

Exclusive reconstruction of **1104 decay channels** and code maintenance would be a futile task.





The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results

Daniel Zander - Full reconstruction

Intermediate Cuts



How to make the smartest cuts possible?

• How do you compare $D^0 \to K^- \pi^+$ to $D^0 \to K^0_S \pi^+ \pi^- \pi^0$?

• Even worse: The cut depends on the next level: The D^0 meson in $B^+ \to \overline{D}{}^0 \pi^+ \pi^+ \pi^-$ should get a different cut than in $B^+ \to \overline{D}{}^0 \pi^+$.

• **Solution:** Multiply the signal probability (given by the NeuroBayes training) of all children and use that to cut.



Cut decisions are postponed to a later level

Not only the reconstruction is **hierarchical**, but also the **information flow**.

The Full Reconstruction

Daniel Zander - Full reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results

Pre-cuts



Cut on the product of the signal probabilities (= NeuroBayes outputs) of the children.



- Choose a cut to have roughly the same slope for all curves.
- This slope corresponds to the number of candidates.
- Very soft cuts, usage of probability product on next level.
- Trade-off: Efficiency ↔ Purity and CPU time.

Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results

Contents



- The Full Reconstruction
 - The Method
 - Use-cases

2 NeuroBayes

- Overview
- 3 Efficiency and Purity
 - Overview
 - Channels



Results

- Tag Side
- Signal Side
- Reduced Channels
- Summary

Usage



- Run the Full Reconstruction on your data set
- The "fullrecon table" is added to certain events of your data set (Belle specific)
- Choose your candidate

ID TAG_ID DECAYDDEC(1)DDEC(2)DDEC(3)DDEC(4) NBRANK NBOUTCONT_NBCONT_NB MCINFO DELTAE MBC NFS BREC	
1 521 521743 421421 0 0 0 2 0.09 0 0.00 -2 -0.09 5.29 7 1	
2 -521-521743 421421 0 0 0 3 0.01 0 0.00 -2 0.06 5.26 7 13	
$3 \ -521 - 521743 \ 421421 \ 0 \ 0 \ 0 \ 1 \ 0.25 \ 0 \ 0.00 \ 1 \ -0.09 \ 5.28 \ 7 \ 25$	

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results

Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction

Results



What is the result of the Full Reconstruction?

- A collection of B_{tag} candidates
- Visualisation of results: beam constrained mass M_{bc}

$$M_{bc}\equiv\sqrt{E_{beam}^2-p_B^2}$$

Any basis for comparison?

• Yes! The cut-based predecessor to this Full Reconstruction.

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity





The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity





The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity





The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity





NeuroBayes Full Reconstruction Decay ALL = 209915 +- 3065. Peak = 44732 +- 5441. Bkg = 863010 +- 28604Opt = 1) Mbc>5.27: P = 43.3%, S*S/(S+B) = 89733.6 5.27 \$ 275 mbc (GeV) sig: 210,000 ba: 860.000 pur: 43.3 % Decay ALL 176150 +- 2973. Peak = 38803 +- 5524. Bkg = 1022743 +-3059 (Opt = 1) Mbc>5.27: P = 36.0%. S*S/(S+B) = 62809.1

5.285 5 245 5 25 5.255 5.26 5.265 5.27 5.275 5.28 sia: 176.000 ba: 1.000.000 pur: 36.0 %

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBaves

Efficiency and Purity

Results September 6th, 2011 26/34

Purity-Efficiency of B_{tag} Sample



Purity-Efficiency

Classification of B⁺ mesons, cut on the signal probability



The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Purity-Efficiency of B_{tag} Sample



Purity-Efficiency

Classification of B⁰ mesons, cut on the signal probability



The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Signal Side



Exemplary signal side reconstruction: $B^0 \rightarrow D^{*-} l^+ \nu_l$



Comparison between the cut based (left) and the NeuroBayes (right) Full Reconstruction.

The Full Reconstruction 00000 Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Reduced Channels



The question has popped up:

"How much of the improvement is due to new channels and how much due to NeuroBayes?"

Hard to answer, as the two aspects are connected.



Approximation: Only use channels that are common to both Full Reconstructions.

The Full Reconstruction 00000 Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Reduced Channels B⁰





old: All shared B0 modes combined

ekp: All shared B0 modes combined

 $\label{eq:still} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Still a factor} \sim 1.5 \mbox{ improvement} \\ \mbox{Tag side for only common channels for the cut based (left) and the NeuroBayes (right)} \\ \mbox{Full Reconstruction.} \end{array}$

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Reduced Channels B⁺



old: All shared B+ modes combined

ekp: All shared B+ modes combined



 $\label{eq:still} \begin{array}{l} \mbox{Still a factor} \sim 1.6 \mbox{ improvement} \\ \mbox{Tag side for only common channels for the cut based (left) and the NeuroBayes (right)} \\ \mbox{Full Reconstruction.} \end{array}$

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results September 6th, 2011 32/34

I reconstruction

Summary and Conclusion





- The Full Reconstruction allows to **measure missing momentum** and is therefore **crucial for numerous analyses**.
- NeuroBayes and a hierarchical information flow ⇒ improvement of factor ~ 2.
- Corresponds to 10 years of data taking.

The Full Reconstruction 00000 Daniel Zander – Full reconstruction NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results 0000000000000 September 6th, 2011 33/34

Publication





A hierarchical NeuroBayes-based algorithm for full reconstruction of B mesons at B factories

M. Feindt, F. Keller, M. Kreps¹, T. Kuhr, S. Neubauer^{*}, D. Zander, A. Zupanc Institut für Experimentelle Kernphysik, Karlsruher Institut für Technologie, Campus Süd, Postfach 69 80, 76128 Karlsruhe, Germany

http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/ S0168900211011193 available online 16 June 2011, ISSN 0168-9002, DOI: 10.1016/j.nima.2011.06.008.

The Full Reconstruction

NeuroBayes

Efficiency and Purity

Results 00000000 September 6th, 2011 34/