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## Introduction

- Transitions between the singly charmed baryons have been explored for several decades.
- The axial-vector transition form factors between different representations were not much studied.
- In this work, we investigate the axial-vector transition form factors between the charmed baryon sextet and baryon anti-triplet within the framework of the chiral quark-soliton model.


## The chiral quark-soliton model



- Baryons can be considered as states of the Nc valence quarks bound by the mesonic mean-fields at large Nc
(E. Witten, NPB160, 57, 1979).

$$
S_{\mathrm{eff}}(U)=-N_{c} \operatorname{Tr} \ln \left[\partial_{\tau}+h(U)-i \gamma_{4} \delta m\right] \text { Effective chiral action }
$$

- Red part: one-particle Dirac hamiltonian with the pion mean-field.
- Blue part: The flavor SU(3) symmetry-breaking contribution.
- In this work, we consider the rotational $1 / \mathrm{Nc}$ corrections and the effects of the breaking of flavor $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ symmetry.


## The chiral quark-soliton model



- Nc valence quarks change into Nc-1 valence quarks
- Heavy quark considered as a static color source.
- Heavy quark does not contribute to the transition form factors [1,2].
[1] P. Cho, H. Georgi, PLB 296, 408 (1992)
[2] H.-Y. Cheng et al, PRD 46, 5060 (1992)



Sextet, J=1/2


Sextet, J=3/2

## The chiral quark-soliton model




- Nc valence quarks change into Nc-1 valence quarks
- Heavy quark considered as a static color source.
- Heavy quark does not contribute to the transition form factors [1,2].
[1] P. Cho, H. Georgi, PLB 296, 408 (1992)
[2] H.-Y. Cheng et al, PRD 46, 5060 (1992)



## Matrix element of the axial-vector current

$$
\left\langle B_{J_{3}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| A_{\mu}^{a}(0)\left|B_{J_{3}}\right\rangle \quad A_{\mu}^{a}(x)=\bar{\psi}(x) r_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2} \psi(x)+\bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \Psi(x)
$$

$\mathrm{J}=1 / 2$ to $\mathrm{J}=1 / 2$ case:

$$
\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}, J_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left[g_{A}^{(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right) \gamma_{\mu}+\frac{g_{P}^{(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{M^{\prime}+M} Q_{\mu}\right] \frac{\gamma_{5}}{2} u\left(p, J_{3}\right)
$$

$\mathrm{J}=\mathbf{3 / 2}$ to $\mathrm{J}=\mathbf{1 / 2}$ case[3]: [3]s. L. Adele, Ann. Phys. 50,189 (1988); PRD 12, 2644 (1975)
$\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}, J_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left[\left\{\frac{C_{3}^{A(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{M^{\prime}} \gamma^{\nu}+\frac{C_{4}^{A(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{M^{2}} p^{\nu}\right\}\left(g_{\alpha \mu} g_{\rho \nu}-g_{\alpha \rho} g_{\mu \nu}\right)+C_{5}^{A(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right) g_{\alpha \mu}+\frac{C_{6}^{A(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{M^{2}} Q_{\alpha} Q_{\mu}\right] u^{\alpha}\left(p, J_{3}\right)$
In the model:

$$
\int d A \int d^{3} r e^{i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}}\left\langle B_{J_{3}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \mid A\right\rangle\left[\mathscr{F}_{\text {val, },( }^{a}(\vec{r}, A)+\mathscr{F}_{\text {sea, }, \mu}^{a}(\vec{r}, A)\right]\left\langle A \mid B_{J_{3}}\right\rangle
$$

Valence-quark contribution


Sea-quark contribution

## Matrix element of the axial-vector current

$$
\left\langle B_{J_{3}^{\prime}}^{\prime}\right| A_{\mu}^{a}(0)\left|B_{J_{3}}\right\rangle \quad A_{\mu}^{a}(x)=\bar{\psi}(x) r_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \frac{\lambda^{a}}{2} \psi(x)+\bar{\Psi}(x) \gamma_{\mu} \gamma_{5} \Psi(x)
$$

$\mathrm{J}=1 / 2$ to $\mathrm{J}=1 / 2$ case:
$\left.\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}, J_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left[g_{A}^{(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right)\right)_{\mu}+\frac{g_{P}^{(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{M^{\prime}+M} Q_{\mu}\right] \frac{\gamma_{5}}{2} u\left(p, J_{3}\right)$
$\mathrm{J}=\mathbf{3 / 2}$ to $\mathrm{J}=\mathbf{1 / 2}$ case[3]: [3]s. L. Adele Ann. Phys. 50,189 (1988); PRD 12,2664 (1975)
$\bar{u}\left(p^{\prime}, J_{3}^{\prime}\right)\left[\left\{\frac{C_{3}^{A(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{M^{\prime}} \gamma^{\nu}+\frac{C_{4}^{A(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{M^{2}} p^{\nu}\right\}\left(g_{\alpha \mu} g_{\rho \nu}-g_{\alpha \rho \rho} g_{\mu \nu}\right)+C_{5}^{A(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right) \beta_{\alpha \mu}+\frac{C_{6}^{A(a)}\left(Q^{2}\right)}{M^{2}} Q_{\alpha} Q_{\mu}\right] u^{\alpha}\left(p, J_{3}\right)$
In the model:

$$
\int d A \int d^{3} r e^{i \vec{Q} \cdot \vec{r}}\left\langle B_{J_{3}^{\prime}}^{\prime} \mid A\right\rangle\left[\mathscr{F}_{\text {val, } \mu}^{a}(\vec{r}, A)+\mathscr{F}_{\text {sea, }, \mu}^{a}(\vec{r}, A)\right]\left\langle A \mid B_{J_{3}}\right\rangle
$$

Valence-quark contribution


Sea-quark contribution

Axial-vector transition form factor for the singly heavy baryons comparison with those of the light baryons
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## Axial-vector transition form factors of the singly charmed baryons(the valence- and sea-quark contributions)
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## Axial-vector transition form factors of the singly charmed baryons(the valence- and sea-quark contributions)
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## Axial-vector transition form factors of the singly charmed baryons(the valence- and sea-quark contributions)


$\Delta S=-1$ and $\Delta Q=-1$ Transition processes

## Summary \& Outlook

- Summary
- We studied the axial-vector transition form factors of the singly charmed baryons within the framework of the chiral quarksoliton model.
- The effects of the flavor $\operatorname{SU}(3)$ symmetry breaking are rather small(§4\%).
- The valence-quark contributions dominate over those from the sea quarks( $\gtrsim 96 \%)$.
- Outlook
- Transitions between the singly bottom baryons.
- Transitions between the doubly heavy baryons.


## Thank you for listening!!!

## Back up

## Axial-vector transition form factor for the singly heavy baryons compare with those of the light baryons



$\Delta S=0$ and $\Delta Q=0$ Transition processes

## The axial-vector transition constants

- $\Delta S=0$ and $\Delta Q=0$ Transition processes

| $g_{A}^{(3)}(0)$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}$ | $\Xi_{c}^{\prime+} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ | $\Xi_{c}^{0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |  | $C_{5}^{A(3)}(0)$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{*+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\Xi_{c}^{*+} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ | $\Xi_{c}^{* 0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.888 | -0.461 | 0.462 | $m_{\mathrm{s}}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.726 | -0.381 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.916 | -0.468 | 0.469 |  | $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.749 |

- $\Delta S=0$ and $\Delta Q=1$ Transition processes

| $g_{A}^{(1+i 2)}(0)$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}$ | $\Xi_{c}^{0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 1.256 | 0.924 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 1.294 | 0.938 |


| $C_{5}^{A(1+i 2)}(0)$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{* 0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}$ | $\Xi_{c}^{* 0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 1.028 | 0.765 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 1.061 | 0.777 |

- $\Delta S=0$ and $\Delta Q=-1$ Transition processes

$$
\begin{array}{c|c:c}
g_{A}^{(1-i 2)}(0) & \Sigma_{c}^{++} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+} & \Xi_{c}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0} \\
\hline m_{\mathrm{s}}=0 \mathrm{MeV} & -1.256 & 0.922 \\
m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV} & -1.294 & 0.936
\end{array}
$$

| $C_{5}^{A(1-i 2)}(0)$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{* 0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}$ | $\Xi_{c}^{* 0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{s}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | -1.028 | 0.763 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | -1.061 | 0.775 |

## The axial-vector transition constants

- $\Delta S=1$ and $\Delta Q=1$ Transition processes

| $g_{A}^{(4+i 5)}(0)$ | $\Xi_{c}^{\prime 0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}$ | $\Omega_{c}^{0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 1.347 | 0.952 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 1.312 | 0.927 |


| $C_{5}^{A(4+i 5)}(0)$ | $\Xi_{c}^{* 0} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}$ | $\Omega_{c}^{* 0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{s}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 1.159 | 0.820 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 1.129 | 0.799 |

- $\Delta S=1$ and $\Delta Q=0$ Transition processes

| $g_{A}^{(6+i 7)}(0)$ | $\Xi_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}$ | $\Omega_{c}^{0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | -0.952 | -1.347 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | -0.927 | -1.311 |


| $C_{5}^{A(6+i 7)}(0)$ | $\Xi_{c}^{*+} \rightarrow \Lambda_{c}^{+}$ | $\Omega_{c}^{* 0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | -0.819 | -1.160 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | -0.798 | -1.130 |

- $\Delta S=-1$ and $\Delta Q=-1$ Transition processes

| $g_{A}^{(4-i 5)}(0)$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{++} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.786 | -1.192 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.808 | -1.203 |


| $C_{5}^{A(4-i 5)}(0)$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{*++} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{*+} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{s}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.627 | -0.956 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.648 | -0.969 |

- $\Delta S=-1$ and $\Delta Q=0$ Transition processes

| $g_{A}^{(6-i 7)}(0)$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{+} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.787 | 1.196 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.809 | 1.206 |


| $C_{5}^{A(6-i 7)}(0)$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{*+} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{+}$ | $\Sigma_{c}^{* 0} \rightarrow \Xi_{c}^{0}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $m_{s}=0 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.628 | 0.959 |
| $m_{\mathrm{s}}=180 \mathrm{MeV}$ | 0.649 | 0.972 |

