24th IEEE Real Time Conference-ICISE, QuyNhon, Vietnam

Evaluation of kinetic parameters of the Dalat nuclear research reactor with LEU fuel

Duc-Tu Dau^{1,*}, Nhi-Dien Nguyen¹, Hoai-Nam Tran^{2,**}

¹Nuclear Research Institute, Vietnam Atomic Energy Institute, 01- Nguyen Tu Luc, Dalat, Lamdong 670000, Vietnam. ²Phenikaa Institute for Advanced Study, PHENIKAA University, Hanoi 12116, Viet Nam. *Email: * tudd.re@dnri.vn, ** nam.tranhoai@phenikaa-uni.edu.vn*

INTRODUCTION

- The Dalat Nuclear Research Reactor (DNRR) is a 500-kW pool-type nuclear research reactor. The core is loaded with low-enriched uranium (LEU) with the ²³⁵U enrichment of 19.75 wt%.
- Kinetic parameters of the DNRR core loaded with 92 LEU fuel bundles were calculated, including the effective delayed neutron fraction (β_{eff}), the neutron generation lifetime (Λ), and the prompt neutron lifetime (l_n) .
- The MCNP6.3 code and the latest versions of the ENDF/B and JENDL data libraries were used to calculate the kinetic parameters at the beginning of the cycle (BOC) with 46.88 days of operation and the end of the cycle (EOC) with 933.2 operational days of the DNRR. Two methods were used to calculate the β_{eff} : the adjoint weighted method and the prompt method. On the other hand, the adjoint weighted method and the l/v absorber insertion method were used to determine the l_p . A comparison between the methods and the data libraries was performed and presented.

DESCRIPTION OF THE DNRR AND CALCULATION MODEL

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effective delayed neutron fraction

Tab. 1 shows the β_{eff} values at BOC and EOC in comparison between the methods and between the two data libraries.

	ENDF/B-VIII.0		JENDL-5.0		
Method	BOC	EOC	BOC	EOC	
Adjoint weighted	750 ± 6	704 ± 4	760 ± 22	708 ± 21	
Prompt method	742 ± 6	712 ± 4	748 ± 22	700 ± 21	

Tab. 1. The effective delayed neutron fraction β_{eff} (*pcm*)

- Fig. 4 shows the β_{eff} decreases rapidly in the first 200 effective • full power days (EFPDs), followed by a gradual decline.
- The β_{eff} decreases from BOC to EOC (800 EFPDs) by about



ENDF/B-VIIL0 IENDL-5.0

inaer fit of ENDF/B-VIII.0

Ineration time (day

Fig. 5. The l_p versus burnup time using the

adjoint weighted method.



The DNRR

- The DNRR consists of a cylindrical aluminum tank measuring 6.26 m in height and 1.98 m in diameter.
- The active core has a cylindrical shape with a height of 60 cm and a diameter of 44.2 cm. It includes 92 fuel assemblies, 12 beryllium block rods, 7 control rods, a neutron trap, and 3 irradiation channels.



Fuel (U-Al Alloy) Aluminum alloy (SAV-1) Unit in mm

Beryllium block Fuel assembly Safety roo Neutron trap Regulating rod Wet channel

Fig. 1. Configuration of the DNRR core with 92 LEU fuel bundles.

- The LEU fuel bundle has three concentric annular tubes: the outermost hexagonal tube and two inner circular tubes.
- The fuel comprises a mixture of uranium dioxide (UO_2) and aluminum (Al).

Fig. 2. Cross-sectional view of the Russian VVR-M2 type LEU fuel bundle.

Calculation model

• The model is expanded from the active core to the reactor tank, with the dimensions of 198 cm in diameter and 184.5 cm in height.

Vertical (*a*) *and* Fig. 3. horizontal (b) cross-sectional views of the DNRR core model in the MCNP6 code.





- 46–52 pcm.
- A good agreement was found with the discrepancy less than • 1.6%.
- The discrepancy between the two data libraries is less than 1.7%

Neutron generation time and prompt neutron lifetime

The Λ and l_p are shown in Tab. 1 •

Tab. 2. comparison of the kinetic parameters calculated by the adjoint weighted method.

	ENDF/B-VIII.0		JENDL-5.0		
Parameter	BOC	EOC	BOC	EOC	
$\beta_{\rm eff}$ (pcm)	750 ± 6	704 ± 22	760 ± 4	708 ± 21	
$\Lambda(\mu s)$	86.80 ± 0.14	82.58 ± 0.45	86.99 ± 0.11	82.41 ± 0.45	
l_p (µs)	78.68 ± 0.14	81.87 ± 0.15	79.01 ± 0.11	82.76 ± 0.15	

- The values of Λ at BOC and EOC obtained from the adjoint ٠ weighted method and ENDF/B-VIII.0 library are 86.80 and 82.58 μ s, and of that for l_p are 78.68 and 81.87 μ s, respectively.
- The JENDL-5 library yielded values of 86.99 and 82.41 µs, • and l_p values of 79.01 and 82.76 µs, respectively.
- Comparing between the two methods and between the two data libraries, the discrepancy of the l_p values is within 1%.

Sensitivity analysis

Sensitivity and uncertainty analyses were conducted for the β_{eff} . Tab. 3 displays the β_{eff} sensitivities for •

Fig. 4. The β_{eff} versus the burnup time



a) Vertical cross-section

b) Horizontal cross-section

• The MCNP6.3 calculations were conducted with 200 cycles and 10⁵ neutron histories per cycle for obtaining the statistical error of k_{eff} less than 20 pcm.

KINETIC PARAMETERS

- > The delayed neutron fraction (β_{eff}):
- The delayed neutron fraction is defined as the ratio of the delayed neutron to the total neutron generated in • a fission reaction. Two methods were employed to calculate the β_{eff} :
- The adjoint weighted method:

$$\beta_{eff} = \frac{\langle \phi^+, B\phi \rangle}{\langle \phi^+, F\phi \rangle} \tag{1}$$

where ϕ and ϕ^+ are the forward and adjoint neutron fluxes, respectively; B is the delayed neutron operator; F is the fission term operator; $\langle . \rangle$ is the integration over space and energy.

• The prompt method:

$$\beta_{eff} = \frac{k - k_p}{k}$$
[2]

where k is the eigenvalue for all neutrons and k_p is the eigenvalue for prompt neutrons only.

- \succ Neutron generation time (Λ) and prompt neutron lifetime (l_p)
- The Λ and l_p are calculated based on the adjoint weighted method following equations:

$$\Lambda = \frac{\left\langle \phi^{+}, \frac{1}{v}\phi \right\rangle}{\left\langle \phi^{+}, F\phi \right\rangle} \qquad and \qquad l_{p} = \frac{\left\langle \phi^{+}, \frac{1}{v}\phi \right\rangle k_{eff}}{\left\langle \phi^{+}, F\phi \right\rangle} \qquad [3 \text{ and } 4]$$

Where, k_{eff} is the effective multiplication factor; v is the neutron speed.

The 1/v absorber insertion method:

$$m = \lim_{N \to 0} \left(-\frac{\Delta k}{L} \frac{1}{N} \right)$$

- isotopes and reactions contributing more than 0.1%. Tab. 4 outlines the main factor affecting the uncertainty of the β_{eff} .
- The most significant isotopes contributing to the DNRR core's β_{eff} sensitivities and uncertainties are AL-٠ 27, H-1, U-235, Be-9, and O-16.

Tab. 3. The β_{eff} sensitivities for the reactions of the main isotopes

		ENDF/B-VIII.0		JENDL-5.0	
Isotopes	Reaction	BOC	EOC	BOC	EOC
AL-27	Elastic	1.3852E+00	1.1819E-01	6.3456E-01	1.5800E+00
H-1	Elastic	7.2295E-01	-1.0563E+00	-6.9126E-01	1.6103E+00
U-235	Fission	6.3941E-01	-5.8594E-02	-2.2871E-01	-3.9581E-01
C-12	Elastic	1.5384E-01	1.4601E+00	-3.5330E-01	2.4960E-01
U-235	n,gamma	1.1602E-01	-2.8500E-02	-2.2858E-02	-7.0657E-02
H-1	n,gamma	7.4249E-02	-1.1660E-01	-1.0956E-01	-8.4802E-02
U-235	Total nu	-1.5140E-03	5.0846E-02	3.0140E-03	4.9472E-02
AL-27	n,gamma	-7.2922E-03	-2.0217E-02	-1.7805E-02	-2.8282E-02
U-238	n,gamma	-1.6830E-02	-4.6223E-02	-7.8695E-02	8.6402E-03
AL-27	Inelastic	-5.6704E-02	-1.2586E-01	-1.6582E-01	-1.2937E-01
Be-9	Elastic	-1.4541E-01	-1.0154E-01	9.4994E-02	1.3158E-01
O-16	Elastic	-5.0124E-01	-1.3802E-01	-1.0567E+00	1.9915E+00
Be-9	n,2n	-8.3409E-01	-1.0154E-01	9.4994E-02	1.3158E-01

Tab. 4. The β_{eff} uncertainty in the decreasing direction of BOC of ENDF-VIII.0 (%)

		ENDF/B-VIII.0		JENDL-5.0	
Isotopes	Reaction	BOC	EOC	BOC	EOC
AL-27	Elastic	4.93	3.16	-	-
Be-9	n,2n	3.43	0.22	-	-
Al-27	Inelastic	1.54	2.83	-	-
O-16	Elastic	0.77	0.55	0.44	0.69
U-235	Fission	0.34	0.11	0.29	0.23
Be-9	Elastic	0.21	0.22	-	-
H-1	Elastic	0.19	0.45	0.25	1.19
U-235	Total nu	0.17	0.07	0.17	0.11
H-1	n, gamma	0.15	0.24	0.23	0.18
U-238	n, gamma	0.12	0.08	0.10	0.06
C-12	Elastic	0.11	0.88	-	-

[5] $N \rightarrow 0 \setminus k N \cdot \sigma_0 \cdot v_0 J$

where N is the atomic density of the B^{10} absorber (#*atoms/(b.cm)*); σ_0 is the thermal neutron absorption cross section of the absorber and v_0 is the speed of thermal neutrons

> Sensitivity of the effective delayed neutron fraction

Sensitivity and uncertainty analysis has been performed to investigate the contribution of major nuclides and nuclear reactions to the uncertainty sources of the kinetic parameters. The sensitivity of the β_{eff} is calculated as follows:

$$S_{\sigma}^{\beta_{eff}} = \frac{\sigma}{\beta_{eff}} \frac{\partial \beta_{eff}}{\partial \sigma} = \frac{(1 - \beta_{eff})}{\beta_{eff}} \left(S_{\sigma}^{k} - S_{\sigma}^{k_{p}} \right)$$
[6]

where, S_{σ}^{k} and S_{σ}^{kp} are the sensitivities of k and k_{p} , respectively, obtained from the linear perturbation theory

• The formula to calculate the uncertainty of β_{eff} is given as:

$$U_{\sigma}^{\beta_{eff}} = \sqrt{\left[S_{\sigma}^{\beta_{eff}}\right]^{T} \left[C\right] \left[S_{\sigma}^{\beta_{eff}}\right]}$$

$$[7]$$

where, [C] is the data covariance matrix of the nuclear reactions for each isotope obtained by using the NJOY2021 code with 44 energy groups.

CONCLUSIONS

- The MCNP6.3 code, ENDF-VIII.0, and JENDL-5 nuclear data libraries were used to evaluate the kinetic ۲ parameters of the DNRR core with LEU fuel: the β_{eff} , the Λ and the l_p .
- The values of β_{eff} , Λ , and l_p at BOC obtained using the adjoint weighted method and ENDF/B-VIII.0 ٠ are 750 pcm, 86.80 µs and 78.86 µs.
- The differences of these values obtained with different method and data libraries are within 1%. •
- Major isotopes contributing to the sensitivities and uncertainties of the β_{eff} include AL-27, H-1, U-• 235, Be-9, and O-16.