# Unimodular Gravily and diffusion processes in the dark sector: a possible solution to the $H_0$ tension

Based on: https://arxiv.org/abs/2009.10268







Francisco X. Linares Cedeño

In collaboration with Ulises Nucamendi

## MOCa 2o2o: Materia Oscura en Colombia

## 08 October 2020





# <sup>o</sup> H<sub>0</sub> lension: introduction to the controversy. Ourimodular Gravily: interaction between CDM and A. @ Diffusion in the dark sector: phenomenological models. @ Results: numerical solutions and statistical analysis. o Final remarks.

# 



# Holension: introduction to the control





# Holension: introduction to the controversy

### CMB Anisotropies



https://lerma.obspm.fr/spip.php?articless&lang=fr

### Cepheids



Lensed quasars





(a) B1608+656

(b) RXJ1131-1231



(c) HE0435-1223

(d) SDSS 1206+4332



(e) WFI2033-4723

(f) PG

### arXiv:1907.04869



arXiv:1907.04869

SNE IA:  $\mu(z) = 5 \log \left| \frac{d_L(z)}{10 \text{pc}} \right|$ , with  $d_L(z) = \frac{c(1+z)}{H_0} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{E(z')}$ . arXiv:1710.00845



Ho lension: introduction to the controversy. CMB:  $l_A = (1 + z_*)\pi \frac{d_A(z_*)}{r_c(z_*)}, \quad R = (1 + z_*) \frac{\Omega_{0,m}^{1/2} H_0}{c} d_A(z_*),$ with  $d_A(z) = \frac{c}{H_0(1+z)} \int_0^z \frac{dz'}{E(z')}$ , for  $\Omega_k = 0$ , and  $r_s(z) = \frac{1}{H_0} \int_z^\infty \frac{c_s(z')dz'}{E(z')}$ .  $c_s(z) = c \left[ 3 \left( 1 + \frac{3\Omega_b}{4\Omega_r(1+z)} \right) \right]^{-1/2}$ . Lensed quasars:  $\Delta t_{ij} = t(\theta_i, \beta) - t(\theta_j, \beta) = \frac{D_{\Delta t}}{c} \Delta \phi_{ij}$ , with  $D_{\Delta t} = (1 + z_d) \frac{D_d D_s}{D_d}$ . Cepheids:  $d_c(z) = \frac{cz}{H_0}$ . arXiv:1903.07603  $/ \Omega_{0,r}(1+z)^4 + \Omega_{0,b}(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{cdm}(1+z)^3 + \Omega_{\Lambda} \,.$ 



$$S = \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int d^4x \left[ \sqrt{-g}R + \lambda(x) \left( \sqrt{-g} - f \right) + \mathscr{L}_m \right] \,,$$

where f = f(x) is a fixed scalar density. After variations with respect to the inverse of the metric tensor we have  $R_{\mu\nu} - rac{1}{2}Rg_{\mu\nu} + \lambda g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}$ , and taking the trace we found  $\lambda$  to be  $\lambda = rac{1}{4}\left(R + \kappa^2 T\right)$ .

Eliminating  $\lambda$ , we have the trace-free version of the Einstein field equations:

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} R g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 \left( T_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{4} T g_{\mu\nu} \right)$$

Unimodular Gravily: interaction between CDM and A. A simple way to introduce the framework of Unimodular Gravity (UG) is by regarding the Einstein-Hilbert action plus a volume-preserving diffeomorphism term:

# $-Tg_{\mu\nu}$ ). US field equations!!

https://aapt.scitation.org/doi/10.1119/1.1986321



## Unimodular Gravily: interaction between CDM and A.

One of the main features of this theory can be obtained when replacing the Lagrange multiplier in the UG field equations and applying the Bianchi identities,

$$R^{\mu}{}_{\nu} - \frac{1}{2}R\delta^{\mu}{}_{\nu} + \frac{1}{4}\left(R + \kappa^2 T\right)\delta^{\mu}_{\nu} = \kappa^2 T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} \Rightarrow$$

The energy-momentum tensor is no longer conserved!!  $\kappa^2 \nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu}{}_{\nu} = \frac{1}{4} \partial_{\nu} \left( R + \kappa^2 T \right) \implies \lambda(x) \equiv \Lambda + \int_{I} J, \text{ with } J_{\nu} \equiv \kappa^2 \nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu}{}_{\nu}.$ 

7

The function J is the energy-momentum current violation.

https://aapt.scitation.org/doi/10.1119/1.1986321

$$R_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2} R g_{\mu\nu} + \left(\Lambda + \int_{l} J(x)\right) g_{\mu\nu} = \kappa^2 T_{\mu\nu}.$$

Effective cosmological "constant"  $\Lambda(x)$ 



In a spatially-flat FRW Universe, and in presence of a perfect fluid for ordinary matter, we have

 $\dot{\rho}_{\gamma} = -3H(\rho_{\gamma} + p_{\gamma}),$ 

The latter equation can be written as  $\dot{\rho}_{cdm} = -3H(\rho_{cdm} + p_{cdm}) - \dot{Q}(t),$ 

Unimodular Gravily: interaction between CDM and  $\Lambda$ .

$$H^{2} = \frac{\kappa^{2}}{3} \left( \rho_{\gamma} + \rho_{\nu} + \rho_{b} + \rho_{cdm} + \rho_{\Lambda(t)} \right) \,,$$

 $\dot{H} = -\frac{\kappa^2}{2} \left[ (\rho_{\gamma} + p_{\gamma}) + (\rho_{\nu} + p_{\nu}) + (\rho_b + p_b) + (\rho_{cdm} + p_{cdm}) \right],$ 

 $\dot{\rho}_{\nu} = -3H(\rho_{\nu} + p_{\nu}), \qquad \dot{\rho}_{b} = -3H(\rho_{b} + p_{b}),$  $\dot{\rho}_{cdm} = -3H(\rho_{cdm} + p_{cdm}) - \frac{\Lambda(t)}{\kappa^2}.$ 

 $Q(t) \equiv \frac{1}{\kappa^2} \int_J J(t) \, .$ 





# Diffusion in the dark sector: phenomenological models.

Model 1: Sudden Transfer model

 $\rho_{cdm}(z) = \rho_{0,cdm}(1+z)^3 \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \ge z^*, \\ 1-\alpha & \text{if } z < z^*, \end{cases}$ 

 $\Lambda(z) = \begin{cases} \Lambda & \text{if } z \ge z^*, \\ \Lambda + 3H_0^2 \alpha (1+z^*)^3 \Omega_{0,cdm} & \text{if } z < z^*. \end{cases}$ 

Model 3: Barotropic model

 $Q \equiv x_i \rho_i,$ 

 $\rho_{cdm}(z) = \rho_{cdm}(1+z)^{\frac{3(\omega_{cdm}+1)}{x_{cdm}+1}}.$ 

• Model 2: Anomalous Decay of the Matter Density  $\rho_{cdm}(z) = \rho_{0,cdm}(1+z)^3 \times \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } z \ge z^*, \\ \left(\frac{1+z}{1+z^*}\right)^{\gamma} & \text{if } z < z^*, \end{cases}$ 

$$\Lambda(z) = \begin{cases} \Lambda & \text{if} \\ \Lambda - \frac{3\gamma}{\gamma+3} H_0^2 \left[ \left( \frac{1+z}{1+z^*} \right)^{\gamma} (1+z)^3 - (1+z^*)^3 \right] \Omega_{0,cdm} & \text{if} \end{cases}$$

arXiv:2001.07536

### Model 4: Continuous Spontaneous Localization model

 $\dot{Q} = -\xi_{CSL} \rho_{cdm}$ , with  $Q(t) = Q_i - \xi_{CSL} \int_0^t \rho_{cdm}(t') dt'$ ,

$$\rho_{cdm}(z) = \rho_{cdm}(1+z)^3 e^{\xi_{CSL}t}$$

<u>arXiv:2005.06052</u>



# Diffusion in the dark sector: phenomenological models.

$$E(z) \equiv \frac{H(z)}{H_0} = \sqrt{\Omega_{0,r}(1+z)^4 + \Omega_b h^2 (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{cdm}(1+z)^3 \left[1 - \alpha + \alpha \left(\frac{1+z^*}{1+z}\right)^3\right] + \Omega_\Lambda}, \quad \text{for} \quad z < z^*.$$

 $E(z) \equiv \frac{H(z)}{H_0} = \int \Omega_{0,r}(1+z)^4 + \Omega_b h^2 (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{cdm}(1+z)^4$ 

$$E(z) \equiv \frac{H(z)}{H_0} = \sqrt{\Omega_{0,r}(1+z)^4 + \Omega_{0,b}(1+z)^3 + (1+x_{cdm})\Omega_{cdm}(1+z)^{\frac{3}{x_{cdm}+1}} + \Omega_{\Lambda}}$$

 $E(z) \equiv \frac{H(z)}{H_0} = \sqrt{\Omega_{0,r}(1+z)^4 + \Omega_b h^2 (1+z)^3 + \Omega_{cdm}} \left[ e^{\xi_{CSL}t} (1+z)^4 + \Omega_b h^2 (1+z)^4 + \Omega_{cdm} \right] e^{\xi_{CSL}t} (1+z)^4}$ 

### @ Model 1: Sudden Transfer model

### @ Model 2: Anomalous Decay of the Matter Density

$$z)^{3} \left| \frac{3}{3+\gamma} \left( \frac{1+z}{1+z^{\star}} \right)^{\gamma} + \frac{\gamma}{3+\gamma} \left( \frac{1+z^{\star}}{1+z} \right)^{3} \right| + \Omega_{\Lambda} \text{, for } z < 0$$

### @ Model 3: Barotropic model

### @ Model 4: Continuous Spontaneous Localization model

$$-z)^{3} - \xi_{CSL} \int_{0}^{t} e^{\xi_{CSL}t'} [1 + z(t')]^{3} dt' + \Omega_{\Lambda_{eff}}, \quad \text{with} \quad \Omega_{\Lambda_{eff}} \equiv \Omega_{\Lambda} + \frac{\kappa_{eff}}{2}$$









- 2.40 for Model 3, and 1.10 for Model 4.
- A deeper comprehension of the physical mechanisms driving the diffusion processes is needed in order to have a complete description of the non-gravitational interaction between the dark sector components.
  - compelling candidate to solve the  $H_0$  tension as well.

# Final remarks.

 ${}^{\diamond}$  Difussion processes between CDM and  $\Lambda$  are naturally allowed within the framework of Unimodular Gravity.

The tension on  $H_0$  is eased at  $0.2\sigma$  for Model 1,  $0.3\sigma$  for Model 2,

@ Unimodular Gravily offers not only an answer to the origin of the cosmological constant, but we have shown that it can be a



