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## NLO EW calculations for multileg processes (1)



## NLO EW calculations for multileg processes (2)

these calculations are possible thanks to the development of fully automated 1-loop providers like

GoSam arxiv:1111.2034,1404.7096,1507.08579

MADLOOP/Madgraph_aMC@NLO arxiv:1405.0301,1804.10017

NLOX arXiv:1812.11925

OpenLoops/OpenLoops2 arxiv:1111.5206,1412.5157,1710.11452,1907.13071

Recola/Recola2 arxiv:1211.6316,1605.01090,1705.06053,1711.07388

## NLO EW calculations for multileg processes (3)

some calculations use dedicated Monte Carlo integrators
but many of them rely on more general purpose frameworks such as

Madgraph_aMC@NLO arxiv:1405.0301,1804.10017

MATRIX arXiv:1711.06631

POWHEG hep-ph/0409146,arxi:0709.2092,1002.2581

SHERPA arXiv:0811.4622,1704.05783,1905.09127

## Fixed-order calculations

- NLO EW corrections $\delta_{\mathrm{EW}}$ are computed
- $\delta_{\mathrm{EW}}$ can be combined with QCD corrections using additive or multiplicative prescriptions (to some extent arbitrary)
- the difference additive vs multiplicative can be an estimate of the missing mixed corrections

$$
\begin{gathered}
\delta_{\mathrm{QCD}}+\mathrm{EW}=\delta_{\mathrm{QCD}}+\delta_{\mathrm{EW}} \\
\delta_{\mathrm{QCD} \times \mathrm{EW}}=\left(1+\delta_{\mathrm{QCD}}\right)\left(1+\delta_{\mathrm{EW}}\right)-1
\end{gathered}
$$

- the estimate of the mixed EW-QCD corrections is only valid when the dominant corrections come from the same phase space of the LO process (e.g. not in the case of giant K-factors)


## giant K-factors, example from 1912.00068


hard jet recoiling against hard $V$, soft additional $V^{\prime}$
Soft correction on $V j$ underlying Born

## Fixed-order calculations: dibosons 1912.00068

- Fixed-order corrs to 4-lepton productions known up to NNLO QCD and NLO EW
- NNLO QCD and NLO EW are combined using several prescriptions:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{NNLO} \mathrm{QCD}+\mathrm{EW}}=\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{LO}}\left(1+\delta_{\mathrm{QCD}}+\delta_{\mathrm{EW}}\right)+\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{LO}}^{g g}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\mathrm{NNLO} \mathrm{QCD} \times \mathrm{EW}}=\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{LO}}\left(1+\delta_{\mathrm{QCD}}\right)\left(1+\delta_{\mathrm{EW}}\right)+\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{LO}}^{g g}, \\
& \mathrm{~d} \sigma_{\mathrm{NNLO} \mathrm{QCD} \times \mathrm{EW}_{\mathrm{qq}}}=\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{LO}}^{q \bar{q}}\left(1+\delta_{\mathrm{QCD}}^{q \bar{q}}\right)\left(1+\delta_{\mathrm{EW}}^{q \bar{q}}\right)+\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{LO}}^{\gamma \gamma}\left(1+\delta_{\mathrm{EW}}^{\gamma \gamma / q \gamma}\right)+\mathrm{d} \sigma_{\mathrm{LO}}^{g g},
\end{aligned}
$$

- the results in the considered prescriptions are compared in different phase-space regions
- NLO EW matrix element computed with OpenLoops

■ calculation performed in the Matrix framework (but not yet public, to my knowledge)

## Fixed-order calculations: remark

- $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ corrections are included

■ multiple $\gamma$ radiation effects are NOT included

- it is no possible to simply run QED parton showers on top of NLO EW predictions:
double-counting of $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$ QED corrs from PS
(unless only weak corrections are computed, when possible)

■ the inclusion of multiple $\gamma$ radiation requires NLO-PS matching

## Multijet merging and approximate QED



## Multijet merging and approximate QED

- only virtual EW corrections included after subtraction of QED logs
- QED corrections are only from PS (leading logs)
- typically used for the production of heavy objects+light jets:
- democratic parton clustering treating QED and QCD radiation NOT yet developed
- after removing the QED, EW corrections do not change parton multiplicity
- standard QCD multijet merging is used
- improved description of hard $p_{T}^{j}$ tails (or distribs with large QCD corrs not coming from soft/coll radiation)

■ QED accuracy is only leading log (this approximation affects mainly leptonic observables like e.g. $M_{\text {inv }}$ )

## Jet merging and approx QED: $W W(+j) 2005.12128$

- NLO QCD+NLO EW corrections computed for $W W$ and $W W+1$ jet
- merging of 0 and 1 -jet samples (with the QED approx. in the previous slide)

■ QED corrections in leading log approximation only

- NLO EW matrix elements from Recola
- calculation performed in the Sherpa framework


## NLO QCD+NLO EW matched to QCD and QED PS



## POWHEG ${ }^{1}$

algorithm for the matching of NLO QCD corrections to QCD PS
implemented in the POWHEG-BOX-V2 framework
S. Frixione et al. arXiv:0709.2092, S. Alioli et al. arXiv:1002.2581
generalized to NLO EW corrections+QED PS (with limitations)
L. Barze et al. arXiv: $1302.4606,1202.0465$, C. Carloni et al. arXiv:1612.02841
resonance-aware POWHEG algorithm implemented in POWHEG-BOX-RES
T. Ježo and P. Nason, arXiv:1509.09071
${ }^{1}$ P. Nason hep-ph/0409146

## POWHEG: algorithm and accuracy

$$
\begin{aligned}
d \sigma=\sum_{f_{b}} & \bar{B}_{\mathrm{QCD}+\mathrm{EW}}^{f_{b}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}\right) d \boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}\left\{\Delta^{f_{b}}\left(\boldsymbol{\Phi}_{n}, p_{T}^{m i n}\right)\right. \\
& +\sum_{\alpha_{r}=\alpha_{r}^{\mathrm{QED}}}^{, \alpha_{r}^{\mathrm{QCD}} \in\left\{\alpha_{r} \mid f_{b}\right\}}
\end{aligned}
$$

■ for each $\alpha_{r}$ try to generate a radiation, the hardest one goes in the LHE file

- matching to PS: veto PS radiation with $p_{T}>p_{T}^{\mathrm{rad}, \mathrm{PWG}}$

■ NLO QCD+QCD-PS: $d \sigma=d \sigma_{0}\left[1+\delta_{\alpha_{S}}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \delta_{\alpha_{S}^{n}}^{\prime}\right], \delta^{\prime}=$ leading logs matching replaces first PS radiation with NLO real radiation

■ POWHEG NLO (QCD+EW)+(QCD,QED)-PS:

$$
d \sigma=d \sigma_{0}\left[1+\delta_{\alpha_{s}}+\delta_{\alpha}+\sum_{m=1, n=1}^{\infty} \delta_{\alpha_{s}^{m} \alpha^{n}}^{\prime}+\sum_{m=2}^{\infty} \delta_{\alpha_{s}^{m}}^{\prime}+\sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \delta_{\alpha^{n}}^{\prime}\right]
$$

## POWHEG: algorithm and accuracy (2)

## POWHEG-BOX-V2

- try to generate one radiation from each $\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}\left(p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}}\right)$
- find the hardest radiation $\left(p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\max }\right)$
- $p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\max }$ is the starting scale of the PS


## POWHEG-BOX-RES ${ }^{(*)}$

- try to generate one radiation from each $\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}\left(p_{\mathrm{T}}^{\alpha_{\mathrm{r}}}\right)$
- for each resonance $r$, find the hardest radiation emitted by the resonance $\left(p_{\mathrm{T}, r}^{\max }\right)$
- $p_{\mathrm{T}, r}^{\max }$ is the starting scale of the PS radiation from $r$
- POHWEG-BOX-RES (like) events contain up to one radiation from each resonance
- PS radiation from each resonance must be vetoed independently


## POWHEG-BOX-RES (like) treatment of resonances

$\omega^{\Phi}$

non negligible effect for observables sensitive to QED FSR corrections but rather insensitive to QCD corrections



## POWHEG-BOX-RES and multiple vetoes: remark

■ PS radiation off each resonance must be vetoed independently

- not in LHE accord (scalup only works for one radiation)

■ dedicated interfaces to PS must be used
it would be much better if the community could agree on a generalization of the LHE standard

## NLO EW+QED PS in POWHEG: current limitations

The implementation of NLO EW corrections in POWHEG-BOX-V2/RES is not general:

- it assumes that each virtual amplitude is in one-to-one correspondence with a LO amplitude, e.g. NOT situations like (VBS)


■ the subtraction for mixed interferences is missing (e.g. $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{6} \alpha_{S}\right)$ above)

■ treatment of $\gamma$-initiated contributions not yet implemented


- $p p \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-} H, p p \rightarrow l \nu H:$
HZ_ew, HW_ew, arXiv:1706.03522

■ full matrix elements from OpenLoops

- NLO QCD+NLO EW accuracy matched to QCD and QED PS
- implemented in the POWHEG-BOX-RES framework
- up to 2 radiations in the LHE events


## HV+jet with MiNLO



- $p p \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-} H j, p p \rightarrow l \nu H j:$ HZJ_ew, HWJ_ew, arxiv:1706.03522
- full matrix elements from OpenLoops
- NLO QCD+NLO EW accuracy matched to QCD and QED PS
- implemented in the POWHEG-BOX-RES framework
- up to 2 radiations in the LHE events
- improved description of hard $p_{T}$ tails
- MinLO: when $p_{T}^{j} \rightarrow 0$ recovers the results for HV at NLO accuracy


## Dibosons



■ $p p \rightarrow 4 l, p p \rightarrow 2 l 2 \nu, p p \rightarrow 3 l \nu:$

$$
\text { VV_dec_ew, arxiv:2005. } 12146
$$

- full matrix elements for 4 -lept, 4 -lept $+\gamma / j$ (Recola2)

■ NLO QCD+NLO EW accuracy matched to QCD and QED PS

- implemented in the POWHEG-BOX-RES framework

■ $t / u$-channel, $s$-channel, and peripheral resonances considered

- up to 3 radiations in the LHE events


## Dibosons: perspectives




QCD corrs on $p_{T}$ distribs. are large,
positive,
increasing with $p_{T}$

## Perspectives

NLO EW+NLO QCD corrections to $p p \rightarrow V V^{\prime} j$ with MiNLO

## Same sign $W W$ scattering

- $p p \rightarrow l^{+(-)} \nu l^{+(-)} \nu j j(\mathrm{VBS}):$
vbs-ssww-ew, arXiv:1906.01863
- full matrix elements for 6 -fermions, 6 -fermions $+\gamma$ at $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{6}\right), \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{7}\right)$ (Recola2)
- NLO EW accuracy matched to QED PS
- QCD corrections approximated via PS or combination with other predictions
- implemented in the POWHEG-BOX-RES framework

■ only "richest" resonance structures considered

- up to 4 radiations in the LHE events


## VBS at LO


$\mathcal{M} \simeq$
$\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{3}\right)$
$\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{3}\right)$
$\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{S} \alpha^{2}\right)$
LO
$\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{6}\right)$
$\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}} \alpha^{5}\right)$
$\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{s}}^{2} \alpha^{4}\right)$

## VBS at NLO


(2)

## VBS: approximations



## Limitations of NLO-EW corrections

 in POWHEG
## Strategy:

■ consider only LO $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{6}\right)$

- consider only corrections $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{7}\right)$
- $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}} \alpha^{6}\right)$ in PS approximation or via combination with NLO-QCD+QCD PS results


## VBS, approximations: important remark

- the exact matrix elements at $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{6}\right)$ and $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{7}\right)$ are used
- NO on-shell approximation for the W bosons
- the approximation consists in neglecting all contributions but the $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{6}\right)$ one at LO (and $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{7}\right)$ at NLO)

Even if POWHEG generates events in the full phase-space, the code MUST be used ONLY for VBS-like event selections. Otherwise the selected contributions might not be the dominant ones.

## Resonance histories

Richest histories: the others can be obtained by removing internal propagators


- in principle, all possible histories should be declared
- each history is integrated as an independent process:
too many histories slow down the calculation considerably
- the history will be written in the LHE event:
simplified histories could lead to (small) recoil mismodeling in the PS


## VBS: approximated $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}} \alpha^{6}\right)$ corrections

$\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}} \alpha^{6}\right)$ corrections $<0.25 \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{7}\right)$ ones
Approx.1: QCD PS

- We can approximate $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}} \alpha^{6}\right)$ corrections running a QCD PS
- Starting scale for the QCD-PS: scalup $=\sqrt{p_{T}^{j_{1}} p_{T}^{j_{2}}} \neq$ pt_rad_pwg

Approx.2: combination with predictions at NLO QCD+QCD PS

$$
\left[\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{O}}\right]_{\mathrm{EW} \& \mathrm{QCD}}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{O}}\right]_{\mathrm{EW}+\mathrm{PS}}+\left[\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{O}}\right]_{\mathrm{QCD}+\mathrm{QCDPS}}-\left[\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma}{\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{O}}\right]_{\mathrm{LO}+\mathrm{QCDPS}}
$$

NLO QCD+QCD PS can be computed with other tools
(e.g. POWHEG-BOX-V2/vbf_wp_wp/)

## Conclusions

- in the last few years the NLO EW corrections were computed for many multileg processes
- mainly fixed-order calculations
- two classes of event generators
- based on approximate combination of NLO EW and QED PS, usually in the context of multijet merging
- based on the exact matching of NLO QCD and NLO EW to QCD and QED PS


## Backup Slides

## POWHEG-BOX-RES (like) treatment of resonances



- 3 radiation regions: QCD ISR, QED ISR, QED FSR
- 2 resonances: IS, W

The events contain up to 2 radiations:
1 one ISR QED or QCD radiation setting the scale of the IS shower

2 one FSR QED radiation setting the scale of the FS shower

## Dibosons (2)




$\mathrm{NLO}_{\alpha_{S}+\alpha}+\mathrm{PS}_{\alpha_{S}, \alpha} / \mathrm{NLO}_{\alpha_{S}}+\mathrm{PS}_{\alpha_{S}, \alpha} \sim$ NLO weak, non-log QED $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$, mixed $\mathrm{NLO}_{\alpha_{S}+\alpha}+\mathrm{PS}_{\alpha_{S}, \alpha} / \mathrm{NLO}_{\alpha_{S}}+\mathrm{PS}_{\alpha_{S}} \sim$ NLO weak, QED $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$, leading-log QED $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{n}\right)(n>2)$, mixed

## Dibosons (3)


$\mathrm{NLO}_{\alpha_{S}+\alpha}+\mathrm{PS}_{\alpha_{S}, \alpha} / \mathrm{NLO}_{\alpha_{S}}+\mathrm{PS}_{\alpha_{S}, \alpha} \sim$ NLO weak, non-log QED $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$, mixed $\mathrm{NLO}_{\alpha_{S}+\alpha}+\mathrm{PS}_{\alpha_{S}, \alpha} / \mathrm{NLO}_{\alpha_{S}}+\mathrm{PS}_{\alpha_{S}} \sim$ NLO weak, QED $\mathcal{O}(\alpha)$, leading-log QED $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{n}\right)(n>2)$, mixed

## Similarities and differences among the codes

|  | Z_ew-BMNNPV | W_ew-BMNNP | VV_dec_ew | vbs-sswh-nloew |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Process | $p p \rightarrow l^{+} l^{-}$ | $p p \rightarrow l \nu$ | $p p \rightarrow 4 l / 2 l 2 \nu / 3 l \nu$ | $p p \rightarrow l^{+} \nu l^{-} \nu j j$ |
| FS leptons (*) | massive ( $l=e, \mu$ ) | massive ( $l=e, \mu$ ) | massless ( $l=e, \mu, \tau$ ) | massless ( $l=e, \mu, \tau$ ) |
| Identical $l$ |  |  | in progress (§) | in progress (§) |
| Model | SM | SM | SM (**) | SM (**) |
| POWHEG-BOX- | V2 | V2 | RES | RES |
| Resonance-aware PS matching (RES) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Dedicated PS interface | Yes (Py8, Photos) | Yes (Py8,Photos) | Yes (Py8) ( $\mathbb{4}$ ) | Yes (Py8) (T) |
| Matrix elements | internal | internal | Recola2 | Recola2 |
| PHPS restrictions | None ( $\ddagger$ ) | None | None ( $\ddagger$ ) | VBS |
| Approx. in Mat.els | None | None | None | None ( $\dagger$ ) |
| NLO QCD | Yes | Yes | Yes | No ( $\dagger$ ) |
| NLO EW | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes ( $\dagger$ ) |
| Unstable Z/W | CMS/CLA (fix $\Gamma$ ) | CMS/CLA (fix $\Gamma$ ) | CMS (fix $\Gamma$ ) | CMS (fix $\Gamma$ ) |
| Renorm schemes | $\begin{gathered} G_{\mu} M_{W} M_{Z}(\dagger \dagger) \\ \alpha_{0} M_{W} M_{Z} \\ \alpha\left(M_{Z}\right) M_{W} M_{Z} \\ \sin \theta^{\mathrm{eff}^{\mathrm{ef}} M_{Z} G \mu} \\ \sin \theta^{\mathrm{eff}} M_{Z} \alpha_{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} G_{\mu} M_{W} M_{Z} \\ \alpha_{0} M_{W} M_{Z} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} G_{\mu} M_{W} M_{Z} \\ \alpha_{0} M_{W} M_{Z} \\ \alpha\left(M_{Z}\right) M_{W} M_{Z} \end{gathered}$ | $G_{\mu} M_{W} M_{Z}$ |
| $\gamma$-induced ( $\ddagger \ddagger$ ) | NLO (not on svn) | NLO (not on svn) | No | No |

(*) massless: valid only for dressed lepton analyses.
$(\S)$ process-specific code is there, but fixes in the common POWHEG-BOX-RES code needed.
( $* *$ ) generalization to BSM feasible if the corresponding Recola2 model file exists.
( $\mathbb{}$ ) Photos interface can be developed upon request.
( $\ddagger) M\left(l^{+} l^{-}\right)>M(\mathrm{cut})$ to avoid on-shell $\gamma$ propagators at LO.
$(\dagger)$ considering only LO $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{6}\right)$ (EW production) and NLO $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{7}\right)$.
( $\dagger$ ) $\alpha_{0}, G_{\mu}, M_{Z}$ developed. To be tested.

## NLO EW+QED PS in POWHEG: current limitations

The implementation of NLO EW corrections in POWHEG-BOX-V2/RES is not general:

- it only works if a process can be identified using particle flavours (NOT the case of $p p \rightarrow W W j j$ with LO contribs $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{6}\right), \mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{4} \alpha_{\mathrm{S}}^{2}\right)$, $\mathcal{O}\left(\alpha^{5} \alpha_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ )
- the subtraction for mixed interferences is missing

cannot be used to compute the full NLO corrections to VBS!

