# Status and Future of the COHERENT Liquid Argon Program

Jacob Daughhetee



The Magnificent CEvNS Nov 19<sup>th</sup>, 2020





# **COHERENT** at the SNS







### **COHERENT Program**

# Multi-target program to measure CEvNS cross section over wide range of N



Staged approach: Observation -> Precision

### **COHERENT Current Operations**



### **CENNS-10**

- Loaned from J. Yoo *et al* from Fermilab.
- Single-phase liquid Ar scintillation detector located 28 m from SNS target (~2 x  $10^7 v / s$ )
- Engineering Run: Dec 2016 -> May 2017
  - 80 keVnr threshold
  - No Pb shielding
  - Analysis Results -> Phys. Rev. D100 (2019) no. 11, 115020
- First Production Run: July 2017 -> December 2018
  - Dramatically improved light yield results in lower threshold (20 keVnr)
  - 2x 8" Hamamatsu PMTs with 18% eff @ 400 nm
  - Tetraphenyl butadiene (TPB) wavelength shifter coating Teflon walls and PMT glass.

arXiv:2003.10630

• 24 kg fiducial volume.





#### **Data Collection**

#### **CENNS-10** Production Configuration Data



J. Daughhetee | Magnificent CEvNS | Nov 19, 2020

# Data Selection / Analysis Steps

- 33 µs readout window roughly centered about POT time.
- Identical readout performed after delay of 14 ms to **directly measure** steady-state backgrounds while SNS is operating.
- Pulse finding algorithm applied to triggered waveforms; requirement of 2 photons in first 90ns on both PMTs.
- Pulse-shape discrimination variable "F90" is computed: (Integral of WF in first 90 ns / Total WF Integral)
- Beam-related neutrons (BRN) measured with dedicated 'neutron' runs which lack water shielding.
- Cuts on energy, F90, and time established prior to boxopening.
- 3D Likelihood fit applied to final dataset .



# **Energy Calibrations**

- Calibrations performed using multiple gamma • sources (<sup>57</sup>Co, <sup>241</sup>Am, <sup>83m</sup>Kr).
- Observed light yield: 4.6  $\pm$  0.4 p.e./keVee •
- 9.5% resolution at 41.5 keVee. •
- Provides ADC -> keVee conversion. (ee • electron equivalent).
- Global QF fit provides keVnr->keVee conversion.

#### **Neutron Calibrations**

- **AmBe** Used to measure NR response in detector and model CEvNS signal.
- **DT Generator** Used to confirm veracity of external neutron simulations





arXiv:2010.11258



J. Daughhetee | Magnificent CEvNS | Nov 19, 2020

600

100

### **Beam-Related Neutrons**



magnitude with tank drained.

- Understanding beam-related neutrons is essential for CEvNS analysis due to ability to mimic signal.
- Previous measurement by SciBath detector provided information on BRN flux and spectral shape in CENNS-10 location.
- Multiple data taking periods without water shielding (0.54 GWhr of integrated beam power).
- Data from these "neutron" runs as well as data from earlier detector configuration show no evidence for delayed neutrons.



# **Predictions and Systematics**

- 3D binned likelihood fit in energy, F90, and time.
- Waveform / event quality cuts.
- Analysis Cuts:
  - Energy -> 0-120 keVee
  - F90 -> 0.5-0.9
  - Time -> -0.1-4.9 µs

#### Sample Predictions

| Predicted SM CEvNS                   | $128 \pm 17$  |
|--------------------------------------|---------------|
| Predicted Beam Related Neutrons      | $497 \pm 160$ |
| Predicted Beam Unrelated Background  | $3154 \pm 25$ |
| Predicted Late Beam Related Neutrons | $33 \pm 33$   |

| CEvNS Rate Measurement Systematic Errors |                         |  |
|--|-------------------------|--|
| Error Source                             | Total Event Uncertainty |  |
| Quenching Factor                         | 1.0%                    |  |
| Energy Calibration                       | 0.8%                    |  |
| Detector Model                           | 2.2%                    |  |
| Prompt Light Fraction                    | 7.8%                    |  |
| Fiducial Volume                          | 2.5%                    |  |
| Event Acceptance                         | 1.0%                    |  |
| Nuclear Form Factor                      | 2.0%                    |  |
| SNS Predicted Neutrino Flux              | 10%                     |  |
| Total Error                              | 13.4%                   |  |
|  |                         |  |

|   | Additional Likelihood Fit Shape-R       | elated Errors         |  |
|---|---|-----------------------|--|
| • | Error Source                            | Fit Event Uncertainty |  |
|   | <b>CEvNS</b> Prompt Light Fraction      | 4.5%                  |  |
|   | <b>CEvNS</b> Arrival Mean Time          | 2.7%                  |  |
|   | Beam Related Neutron Energy Shape       | 5.8%                  |  |
|   | Beam Related Neutron Arrival Time Mean  | 1.3%                  |  |
|   | Beam Related Neutron Arrival Time Width | 3.1%                  |  |
|   | Total Error                             | 8.5%                  |  |
|   |   |                       |  |

Largest Systematics

#### **Fit Results**



| Data Events                    | 3752  |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Fit CEvNS                      | $159 \pm 43 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 14 \text{ (syst.)}$ |
| Fit Beam Related Neutrons      | $553 \pm 34$  |
| Fit Beam Unrelated Background  | $3131\pm23$   |
| Fit Late Beam Related Neutrons | $10 \pm 11$   |
| $2\Delta(-\ln L)$              | 15.0  |
| Null Rejection Significance    | $3.5\sigma$ (stat. + syst.)                         |

- Null hypothesis rejected at **3.5**σ (stat+syst).
- Parallel analysis rejects null at 3.1σ.
- Result within 1- $\sigma$  of SM prediction.
- BRNs constrained by high-energy data.



J. Daughhetee | Magnificent CEvNS | Nov 19, 2020

### **CENNS-10 Physics Results**



• Combine best fit CEvNS counts with flux, fid. volume, efficiency uncertainties.

$$\frac{N_{meas}}{N_{SM}} = 1.2 \pm 0.4$$

• Obtain flux-averaged cross section:

$$\sigma_{meas} = \frac{N_{meas}}{N_s \phi \epsilon} = (2.3 \pm 0.7) \times 10^{-39} \ cm^2$$

arXiv:2003.10630

#### stat dominated





NSI Constraints: Assume non-zero electron flavordiagonal vectorlike NSI (all other  $\varepsilon$  set to 0).

# **Future of CENNS-10**

- Additional data to be analyzed (16 GWhr accumulated in current configuration) with potential improvements in analysis methods and understanding of systematics.
- Potential modifications / testing:
  - Reduced steady-state bkg with underground Ar.
  - Xe-doping for increased light output.
  - Test machine learning techniques for reconstruction and background rejection.
  - Test photon detection schemes for planned ton-scale detector.



# **COH-Ar-750**

- Scaled up single-phase LAr detector featuring 610 kg fiducial mass.
- Photon detection system designed to maintain or exceed 20 keVnr threshold.
- Will fit in existing CENNS-10 location.
- Two possible configurations (SiPMs or 3" PMTS)
- Ongoing R&D at IU, ORNL, and Tufts.



![](_page_13_Figure_7.jpeg)

(0)

## **COH-Ar-750 Development**

![](_page_14_Picture_1.jpeg)

Design in hand which accounts for spatial constraints in deployment.

Testing of light collection at cryogenic temperatures underway.

Copper liquefier cup designed and assembled.

![](_page_14_Picture_5.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_14_Picture_7.jpeg)

# **Precision Physics with COH-Ar-750**

- Design capable of handling dynamic range necessary for inelastic neutrino interactions as well as CEvNS recoils.
- Signal expectation of  $\sim$ 3000 CEvNS per SNS-year
- Approx. 400 inelastic CC and NC events per SNS-year

![](_page_15_Figure_4.jpeg)

#### **Inelastic Interactions**

![](_page_15_Figure_6.jpeg)

Use of UAr would dramatically reduce steady-state bkg.

CEvNS

J. Daughhetee | Magnificent CEvNS | Nov 19, 2020

16

### **Accelerator-Produced Dark Matter**

![](_page_16_Figure_1.jpeg)

• Potential for portal DM via neutral pions produced at the target:

Vector portal:  $\mathcal{L} = \mathcal{L}_{\chi} - \frac{1}{4} V_{\mu\nu} V^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} m_V^2 V_{\mu} V^{\mu} - \frac{\kappa}{2} V^{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu}$ Baryonic portal:  $\mathcal{L}_B = \mathcal{L}_{\chi} - \frac{1}{4} V_{\mu\nu}^B V_{\mu\nu}^B + \frac{1}{2} m_B^2 V_{\mu}^B V_{\mu}^B + \sum_{N=n,p} i\bar{N} \not DN$ deNiverville et al., Phys Rev D92 095005 (2015)

- Signal Expectation:
  - NR events with beam timing profile
  - Recoil spectrum depends on mediator and DM mass.
  - Harder spectrum than CEvNS
- Improved understanding and mitigation of beam-related neutrons improves sensitivity.

# **Accelerator-Produced Dark Matter**

![](_page_17_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_17_Figure_2.jpeg)

- Significant constraints on DM models can be place with 3 years of COH-Ar-750 data.
- Prompt CEvNS events represent primary background, but delayed sideband analysis can constrain error on prompt CEvNS estimate.
- Knowledge of beam T<sub>0</sub> is important; recent dedicated timing studies have helped reduce this uncertainty.

# **Future Opportunities**

![](_page_18_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### **Second Target Station**

![](_page_19_Picture_1.jpeg)

- Neutrino Alley has served as an excellent location, but new target station provides opportunity for a more dedicated neutrino physics space.
- Potential for two larger mass detectors ( $\sim 10 \text{ tons}$ ) with one likely being LAr.
- Accelerator DM production will be boosted in beam direction; possibility to deploy detectors at smaller angles w.r.t. beam axis.
- Understanding neutron propagation and necessary shielding is important!

# Summary

- Analysis of CENNS-10 data has resulted in the first detection of CEvNS on Ar.
- Upcoming analysis will feature more than double the statistics.
- R&D underway for successor COH-Ar-750 detector with CENNS-10 serving as a testbed for new ideas.
- COH-Ar-750 offers rich physics potential for CEvNS and accelerator dark matter.
- Future upgrades to SNS power and the addition fo the STS present an excellent opportunity for larger detectors and interesting physics!

![](_page_20_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_20_Picture_8.jpeg)

# **Auxiliary Slides**

### **Parallel Analysis Comparison**

- Separate blind analysis performed by Russian collaborators.
- Independent reconstruction software and stricter cuts for analysis level dataset.
- Results consistent with US analysis.

| Moscow analysis results     |   |  |
|-----------------------------|---|--|
| Predicted CEvNS             | $101 \pm 12$  |  |
| Fit CEvNS                   | $121 \pm 36 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 15 \text{ (syst.)}$ |  |
| $2\Delta(-\ln L)$           | 12.1  |  |
| Null Rejection Significance | $3.1\sigma$ (stat. + syst.)                         |  |

![](_page_22_Figure_5.jpeg)

![](_page_22_Figure_6.jpeg)

# <sup>83m</sup>Kr Component Analysis

- For ER events, relationship between peak height and total event integral can be established.
- Search for delayed peak (> 152 ns) in combined waveform which comes from 9.4 keV electron.
- Average amplitude of late peak distribution can be converted to expected total integral.

![](_page_23_Figure_4.jpeg)

![](_page_23_Figure_5.jpeg)

#### Confirmation of detector linearity well into CEvNS energy ROI.

![](_page_23_Figure_7.jpeg)

### **Non-Standard Interactions**

![](_page_24_Figure_1.jpeg)

#### Presence of these interactions can lead to suppression or enhancement of CEvNS rate w.r.t. Standard Model.