



Theory of transverse emittance exchange by linear betatron coupling in circular accelerators E. Métral

=> Follow-up of comment in recently published PRAB paper "Theory of emittance exchange through coupling resonance crossing" by Masamitsu Aiba and Jonas Kallestrup (<u>https://journals.aps.org/prab/pdf/10.1103/PhysRevAccelBea</u> <u>ms.23.044003</u>) => Thanks to C. Hernalsteens for drawing my attention to this paper!





PHYSICAL REVIEW ACCELERATORS AND BEAMS 23, 044003 (2020) Theory of emittance exchange through coupling resonance crossing Masamitsu Aiba[®] and Jonas Kallestrup[®] Paul Scherrer Institut, 5232, Villigen, Switzerland (Received 11 December 2019; accepted 23 April 2020; published 30 April 2020) In circular accelerators, transverse beam emittances can be exchanged by means of a coupling resonance crossing. It was first demonstrated experimentally at CERN accelerators, before a theoretical study revealed its mechanism. The existing theory of emittance exchange seems, however, to be deficient. In this study, we establish a theory of emittance exchange using the matrix formalism. Furthermore, we have found that the mechanism of emittance exchange is analogous to the transition dynamics of two-state quantum systems, thereby allowing the Landau-Zener formula to be applied for the prediction of transverse emittance values after nonadiabatic crossing.



However, the range of θ is not clearly defined. In the course of the derivation in [12], the parameters |C| and Δ are related to θ as

$$\cos 2\theta = \cos\left(\arctan\frac{|C|}{\Delta}\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+|C|^2/\Delta^2}}.$$
 (4)

This clearly leads to an inequality of the form $-\frac{\pi}{4} \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{4}$, i.e., the emittance can be equalized but not exchanged whereas the final result [Eq. (34) in [12]] implicitly assumes a range of $0 \le \theta \le \frac{\pi}{2}$ or $-\frac{\pi}{2} \le \theta \le 0$.

[12] A. Franchi, E. Metral, and R. Tomás, Emittance sharing and exchange driven by linear betatron coupling in circular accelerator, Phys. Rev. ST Accel. Beams **10**, 064003 (2007).

6. Tehel 12/08/2020 Answer to PRAB poper from Masamitson Aika $\sin^2 \alpha = \frac{1 - c_1 (2\alpha)}{2}$ and Jones Kalleshyp (23,04403 (2020)) "Theory of comittance exchange through compling $=\frac{1}{2}\left(1+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2}}}\right)$ resonance crissing $=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{b^{2}}}+1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{b^{2}}}}\right) \qquad \text{We multiply by 1/bits}$ by $\sqrt{1+\frac{1}{b^{2}}}-1$ · Reminder: ArcTan(Tan(x)) = x if x E)-I; I • In our case, $\operatorname{Tan}(2\alpha) = \frac{|c|}{\Delta}$ and α will may from O to $\frac{\pi}{2}$, i.e. Δ 2α II O to π . $=\frac{1}{2}\left[\frac{\int \frac{|c|^{2}}{\delta^{2}}}{1+\frac{|c|^{2}}{\delta^{2}}}-\sqrt{1+\frac{|c|^{2}}{\delta^{2}}}\right]$ =) ArcTan (Ton (24)) = 2x if $2x \in \mathbb{D}; \frac{\pi}{2} [or x \in]o; \frac{\pi}{4} [$ $= 2 \mathcal{A} - \overline{\mathbf{n}} + \int_{0}^{\infty} 2 \mathcal{A} \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} = \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{e}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{v} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{n} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{n} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{n} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{n} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{n} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{n} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{n} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{n} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{n} \times \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} \right) \widetilde{\mathbf{n}} + \frac{1}{2} \overline{\mathbf{n}} \left(\mathbf{n$ 101/2 $\Delta^{2} + |c|^{2} - \frac{\Delta^{2}}{1/2\tau} \sqrt{\Delta^{2} + |c|^{2}} \quad \text{ar } \sqrt{\Delta^{2}} = -\Delta c \alpha$ • when at f) o; I (, Same as in the paper. $\left(\text{wher } \boldsymbol{x} \in \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \right]_{1}^{\frac{\pi}{2}} \left[\frac{\pi}{2} \right] \left(\text{Arc To} \left(\text{Tor} \left(2\boldsymbol{x} \right) \right) = 2\boldsymbol{x} - \overline{\boldsymbol{\pi}} \right) \right]$ = (sin'x = 1c1'/2 D2+ (c12+ DV D2+ (c12 (1e. (nlen ALO) =) (0) (Ante (ta(1))) = (0) (2x-T) =- 6, (221 I This the same eyenti - after the as 6. 136/ of my poper 1 (It Tam Y 2x) fre 2001 (CORNAS 2001 -066(AE)) =) Conclusion : The same find coult is obtained but indeed to be correct, = 1/1+ 101 I should have said : "Using the fact that $c_{0}\left(2\lambda\right) = c_{0}\left(\operatorname{AreTh}\left(\frac{1(1)}{\lambda}\right)\right) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)^{2}}} \int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{h}\left(\frac{1}{\lambda}\right)$ $=)\left(C_{01}(L\alpha)=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\frac{Lu}{2}}}\right)$ AND wi(22) = - With the for a c) = [one can show that is "

E. Métral, HSC section meeting, CERN, 17/08/2020



Adiabatic vs. nonadiabatic crossing



Reminder: the theory described in https://cds.cern.ch/record/529690/files/ps-2001-066.pdf is valid for the adiabatic crossing only => See comment there:

Note that on the coupling resonance, $Q_v - Q_u = |C|$. The oscillation period of the cosine term in Eqs. (25) and (26) is thus $T_{\phi} = 2\pi/|C|$. If |C| is infinitely small, then an infinitely long time is needed to cross the resonance to average this term to 0. The second average yields

 N.B.: I could check to see in the future what I would obtain without making this assumption and compare to this new paper/theory



Conclusion



• The same final formulae for the coupled emittances (for adiabatic crossing) are obtained and Masamitsu&Jonas agree with the previous slides and conclusions $\boxed{\epsilon_{x} = \epsilon_{y0} - (\epsilon_{y0} - \epsilon_{y0}) - \frac{|C|^2/2}{|C|^2/2}}$

$$\varepsilon_{x} = \varepsilon_{x0} - (\varepsilon_{x0} - \varepsilon_{y0}) \frac{|C|^{2}/2}{\Delta^{2} + |C|^{2} + \Delta\sqrt{\Delta^{2} + |C|^{2}}}$$
$$\varepsilon_{y} = \varepsilon_{y0} + (\varepsilon_{x0} - \varepsilon_{y0}) \frac{|C|^{2}/2}{\Delta^{2} + |C|^{2} + \Delta\sqrt{\Delta^{2} + |C|^{2}}}$$

- In addition, Masamitsu&Jonas obtained a new very interesting result as they can predict the transverse emittance values after nonadiabatic crossing by applying the Landau-Zener formula with the relevant accelerator parameters. The theoretical prediction is confirmed by numerical tracking
- Personal remark: In some past LHC MD (L. Carver et al.) it was not possible to obtain the emittance exchange as we clearly saw it in the past, e.g. in the PS => Still to fully understand why (MD to be redone and detailed analysis of this new theory / nonadiabaticity)...