New Experimental Searches for Dark Matter

Surjeet Rajendran, The Johns Hopkins University

Dark Matter



A New Particle

Non gravitational interactions?

How do we detect them?

Weak effects. Need high precision

Precision Instruments

Impressive developments in the past two decades



Magnetic Field
$$\lesssim 10^{-16} \frac{T}{\sqrt{Hz}}$$

(SQUIDs, atomic magnetometers)





Rapid technological advancements

Use to detect new physics?

The Dark Matter Landscape



Outline

- 1. Ultra-light Dark Matter (10-22 eV 10-5 eV)
- 2. Directional Detection of Dark Matter
- 3. Magnetic Bubble Chambers
- 4. Conclusions

Bosonic Dark Matter

Photons



Early Universe: Misalignment Mechanism

Dark Bosons



Today: Random Field



Detect Photon by measuring time varying field

 $\vec{E} = E_0 \cos\left(\omega t - \omega x\right)$

 $a(t) \sim a_0 \cos\left(m_a t\right)$

Spatially uniform, oscillating field

$$m_a^2 a_0^2 \sim \rho_{DM}$$

Correlation length ~ I/(m_a v)

Coherence Time ~ I/(m_a v²) ~ I s (MHz/m_a)

Detect effects of oscillating dark matter field

Resonance possible. Q ~ 10^{6} (set by v ~ 10^{-3})



a/c signal between 10⁻⁷ Hz - 10 GHz









HEISING - SIMONS FOUNDATION

Cosmic Axion Spin Precession Experiment (CASPEr)

with



Dmitry Budker Peter Graham Micah Ledbetter Alex Sushkov

> PRX 4 (2014) arXiv: 1306.6089 PRD 88 (2013) arXiv: 1306.6088 PRD 84 (2011) arXiv: 1101.2691

CASPEr: Axion Effects on Spin General Axions QCD Axion

Neutron in Neutron Axion Wind

N**evie**ranoin QCD Axion Dark Matter



Measure Spin Rotation, detect Axion

 $H_N \supset \frac{a}{f_a} \vec{v_a} \cdot \vec{S}_N$

Spin rotates about dark matter velocity

Effective time varying magnetic field

 $B_{eff} \lesssim 10^{-16} \cos\left(m_a t\right) \mathrm{T}$

Other light dark matter (e.g. dark photons) also induce similar spin precession

QCD axion induces electric dipole moment for neutron and proton $\left(\frac{a}{f_a}G\tilde{G}\right)$

 \vec{F}

Dipole moment along nuclear spin

Oscillating dipole: $d \sim 3 \times 10^{-34} \cos(m_a t) \ e \,\mathrm{cm}$

Apply electric field, spin rotates

CASPEr

Axion affects physics of nucleus, NMR is sensitive probe



Larmor frequency = axion mass \rightarrow resonant enhancement

SQUID measures resulting transverse magnetization NMR well established technology, noise understood, similar setup to previous experiments Example materials: LXe, ferroelectric PbTiO₃, many others



 \sim year to scan one decade of frequency



Verify signal with spatial coherence of axion field



10⁻⁴ nuclear polarization, 24 hr integration time

 $m_{\rm ALP} \ [eV]$







Dark Photon Detection with a Radio

with

Peter Graham Kent Irwin Saptarshi Chaudhuri Jeremy Mardon Yue Zhao

arXiv: 1411.7382

Dark Photon Dark Matter

Many theories/vacua have additional, decoupled sectors, new U(1)'s

Natural coupling (dim. 4 operator): $\mathcal{L} \supset \varepsilon FF'$

mass basis:

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} \right) + \frac{1}{2} m_{\gamma'}^2 A'_{\mu} A'^{\mu} - e J^{\mu}_{EM} \left(A_{\mu} + \varepsilon A'_{\mu} \right)$$

photon with small mass and suppressed couplings to all charged particles

oscillating E' field can drive current (dark matter) behind EM shield

Dark Matter Radio Station



Tunable resonant LC circuit (a radio)

EXPECTED REACH



Parameters: volume ~ 0.1 m^3 , T= 100mK, Q=10⁶, 1

Surjeet Rajendran, UC Berkeley

DM Radio first data!





9 hr integration time

Q limited by aluminum wire bonds - replace with niobium. Use new SQUID

Dark Matter Detection with Accelerometers

with

Peter Graham David Kaplan Jeremy Mardon William Terrano

B-L Dark Matter

Other than electromagnetism, only other anomaly free standard model current

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \left(F'_{\mu\nu} F'^{\mu\nu} \right) + \frac{1}{2} m_{\gamma'}^2 A'_{\mu} A'^{\mu} - g J^{\mu}_{B-L} A'_{\mu}$$

Protons, Neutrons, Electrons and Neutrinos are all charged

Electrically neutral atoms are charged under B-L

Force experiments constrain $g < 10^{-21}$

oscillating E' field can accelerate (dark matter) atoms

Force depends on net neutron number - violates equivalence principle. Dark matter exerts time dependent equivalence principle violating force!

The Relaxion

$$\mathcal{L} \supset (-M^2 + g\phi)|h|^2 + gM^2\phi + g^2\phi^2 + \dots + \Lambda^4 \cos\frac{\phi}{f}$$

Hierarchy problem solved through cosmic evolution - does not require any new physics at the LHC

 ϕ is a light scalar coupled to higgs with small coupling g



Dark matter $\phi \implies \phi = \phi_0 \cos\left(m_\phi \left(t - \vec{v}.\vec{x}\right)\right)$

Time variation of masses of fundamental particles

$$\Rightarrow \text{ force on atoms } \frac{g\nabla\phi}{v}m_q \sim \frac{gm_\phi\vec{v}}{v}m_q$$

Force violates equivalence principle. Time dependent equivalence principle violation!

Detection Options

Measure relative acceleration between different elements/isotopes.

Leverage existing EP violation searches and work done for gravitational wave detection



Force from dark matter causes torsion balance to rotate

Measure angle, optical lever arm enhancement

Atom Interferometer



Differential free fall acceleration



Stanford Facility

Pulsar Timing Arrays



Pulsars are known to have stable rotation - can be used as clocks

Presently used to search for low frequency (100 nHz) gravitational waves.

Pulsar signal modulates due to gravitational wave passing between earth and the pulsar

Force by dark matter causes relative acceleration between Earth and Pulsar, leading to modulation of signal

Relaxion changes electron mass at location of Earth - changes clock comparison

Projected Sensitivities



Projected Sensitivities



Atom interferometers by shot noise

The Dark Matter Landscape



Directional Detection of Dark Matter with Crystal Defects

with

Misha Lukin, Alex Sushkov, Ron Walsworth and Nicholas Zobrist



Challenge: Big Target Mass. Need directional detection at solid state density.

Collision Aftermath



Tell-tale damage cluster well correlated with direction of initial ion, localized within ~ 50 nm

Collision Aftermath

Tell-tale damage cluster well correlated with direction of initial ion, localized within ~ 50 nm

Results of TRIM simulation, 30 keV initial ion

O(200 - 300) vacancies and interstitials, lattice potential ~ 30 eV

Damage cascade well correlated with direction of input ion

Need nano-scale measurement of damage cascade



Nitrogen Vacancy Center in Diamond



Collect light

Electronic levels sensitive to crystal environment \sim 50 nm scale

~ I per (30 nm)³ of NV centers in bulk diamond demonstrated

Nano-scale measurements experimentally demonstrated. Active development of sensors by many groups around the world.

Can this be used for directional detection? What is the effect of the damage cascade on a NV center?

Note: similar phenomenology applies to F-centers of Metal Halides

Damage Cascade and NV Centers



Detector Concept



Large detector, segments of thickness ~ mm

NV center density ~ 1 per $(30 \text{ nm})^3$

Conventional WIMP scattering ideas (scintillation, ionization etc.) to localize interesting events

Expect few events/year that could be WIMP or neutrinos

Pull out segments of interest. Conventional schemes localize events to within mm

Micron-scale localization by simply shining light - damaged area will have measurable frequency shifts

For nano-scale resolution, apply external magnetic field gradient - hence need segmentation

Results

Take crystal. Grid of NV centers with density 1 per (30 nm)³

Run ~ 1000 TRIM simulations, get cascade for each. Can grid distinguish direction (including head vs tail)?



More damage in tail vs head used for discrimination. Above 10 keV, efficiency > 80%, false positive < 4%

5 σ detection with few events!

Magnetic Bubble Chambers

with

Phil Bunting, Hao Chen, Giorgio Gratta, Michael Nippe, Jeffrey Long, Rupak Mahapatra and Tom Melia

The Dark Matter Landscape



Coherence time of signal too short for phase measurement to work. Energy deposition too small to be been using conventional WIMP calorimeters

Need amplification of deposited energy (meV - keV)

Challenge: Need large target mass. Rare dark matter event. Requires amplifier stability > years

Concept



Consider magnet with all spins aligned

Spins now in metastable excited state with energy \sim g μ B

Dark Matter collides, deposits heat. Causes meta-stable spin to flip

Spin flip releases stored Zeeman energy (exothermic). Released energy causes other spins to flip, leading to magnetic deflagration (burning) of material.



Amplifies deposited energy. Like a bubble chamber. Is this possible? Stability?

Single Molecular Magnets



Will not happen in a ferromagnet - spins are strongly coupled.

Need weak spin-spin coupling. But need large density - necessary for heat conduction. Can't use gas.



Weak coupling between adjacent metal complexes - but still large density

Each molecule acts as an independent magnet

Recently discovered systems. Few 100 known examples. Can make large samples. Magnetic deflagration experimentally observed and well studied in Manganese Acetate complexes



Magnetic Deflagration



System well described by 2 level Hamiltonian. Two states separated by energy barrier.

Turn on magnetic field, metastable state decays to ground state through tunneling

 $\tau \propto \tau_0 \exp\left(U_{\rm eff}/T\right)$

Ultra-long lived state at low temperature - localized heating rapidly decreases life-time, decay results in more energy release



Deflagration occurs as long as we heat a sufficiently large region

U_{eff} and τ₀ sets the detector threshold. Short τ₀ and small U_{eff} means tiny energy deposit will sufficiently heat up material to trigger deflagration. Low threshold

Known examples with $\tau_0 \sim 10^{-13}$ s, $U_{eff} \sim 70$ K, enabling 0.01 eV thresholds

Detector Stability

High energy (> MeV) background from radio-active decays.

Detect MeV events using conventional means. Actual background at low energy very low - forward scattering of compton events

Problem: MeV events will constantly set off detector. Reset time vs operation time? Big problem for bubble chambers like COUPP

Expected background ~ 1/(m² s). Initial detector size ~ (10 cm)³ (kg mass), 1 background event ~ 100 s



With precision magnetometers, don't need entire crystal to flip

Within ~ 10 µs, flame ~ 10 - 100 µm. Visible with SQUID.

Shut off B, turn off fuel. Deflagration stops. Lose ~ (10 - 100 μ m)³ of volume every 100 s.

Potential Reach





Absorption obtained from photoabsorption. Exposure of 1 kg-year

Trial using Mn-Ac





Two sets of Mn12-Ac and Hall sensors

One with μ Ci Am 241 α source One without source

Metastability? Deflagration?

Results



Avalanche only observed with source

Mn12-Ac has high threshold (~ few MeV) - using new materials now

Conclusions

The Dark Matter Landscape



Poor observational constraints on dark matter

Experiments under development can now search for dark matter particles with mass between 10⁻²² eV - 10⁻⁶ eV, using a variety of precision measurement tools

Explored concepts for WIMP directional detection in solid state densities and single molecular magnets for dark matter in the range 10⁻⁴ eV - GeV

Need to develop tools to cover full range of possibilities