

New perspectives with jet and their substructure


Gregory Soyez

IPhT, CNRS, CEA Saclay

Lepton-Photon 2022, January 10-14 2022


Anatomy of a high-energy collision

Colliders study fundamental interactions at high energy




Anatomy of a high-energy collision

Colliders study fundamental interactions at high energy




Anatomy of a high-energy collision

Colliders study fundamental interactions at high energy




Anatomy of a high-energy collision

Colliders study fundamental interactions at high energy



Anatomy of a high-energy collision

Colliders study fundamental interactions at high energy



Hard + branchings


- perturbative QCD
- controlled, solid
- predictive with genuine theory uncertainties

Hadronisation

- NON-perturbative
- needs modelling
- model-dependent

Anatomy of a high-energy collision

Colliders study fundamental interactions at high energy



branchings mostly collinear
(i.e. at small angles)




“high-energy parton”
→ collimated shower of
particles \equiv **JET**

Jet \equiv proxy to hard parton

Central idea

Jet \equiv proxy for hard parton
 \Rightarrow carries info about the hard collision

- Ubiquitous at the LHC
used in more than 60% of the analyses
- Reconstructions of jets from particles
using dedicated **jet algorithms**
2 main ways to see jets:
 - **QCD branchings** \leftrightarrow recombination algorithms
 - **Energy flow** \leftrightarrow cone algorithms
- **Calculable in perturbative QCD**
(NLO standard, sometimes NNLO)





40 years of jets for collider phenomenology

Jet cross-section at the LHC

Central idea

Jet $\equiv p_T$
 \Rightarrow carries info

- Ubiquitous at the LHC
used in more than 60% of analyses
- Reconstructions of jets
using dedicated jet algorithms
2 main ways to see jets
QCD branching
Energy
- Calculable in perturbation theory
(NLO standard, some NNLO)



Jets are used routinely across the whole LHC physics spectrum

(IMHO) Fun/novelties are related to jet **substructure**


(although this has also become mostly mainstream)

⇒ this talk focuses on jet substructure


Instead of using jets as “monolithic” objects
look at their internal dynamics



**JET
SUBSTRUCTURE**




A decade of substructure tools



* Non-exhaustive/biased/... list

A decade of substructure tools




Main idea of the talk:

focus on a single “view” of a jet
use it to show applications in each field


Frequent tool: Cambridge/Aachen (de-)clustering

Cambridge/Aachen: iteratively recombine the closest pair




Frequent tool: Cambridge/Aachen (de-)clustering

Cambridge/Aachen: iteratively recombine the closest pair




Frequent tool: Cambridge/Aachen (de-)clustering

Cambridge/Aachen: iteratively recombine the closest pair




Frequent tool: Cambridge/Aachen (de-)clustering

Cambridge/Aachen: iteratively recombine the closest pair




Frequent tool: Cambridge/Aachen (de-)clustering

Cambridge/Aachen: iteratively recombine the closest pair




Frequent tool: Cambridge/Aachen (de-)clustering

Cambridge/Aachen: iteratively recombine the closest pair




Frequent tool: Cambridge/Aachen (de-)clustering

Cambridge/Aachen: iteratively recombine the closest pair




Frequent tool: Cambridge/Aachen (de-)clustering




Cambridge/Aachen: iteratively recombine the closest pair



Idea: this tree structure mimics the partonic branching cascade


E.g.: conceptually the largest-energy (p_t or z) branch \equiv emissions from the “leading parton”






(massive) objects produced boosted (energy \gg mass) are seen as 1 jet:

$$\theta_{q\bar{q}} \sim \frac{m}{p_t}$$




use substructure to separate from QCD jets

What jet do we have here?




What jet do we have here?

- a quark?




What jet do we have here?

- a quark?
- a gluon?



What jet do we have here?


- a quark?
- a gluon?
- a W/Z (or a Higgs)?



What jet do we have here?

- a quark?
- a gluon?
- a W/Z (or a Higgs)?
- a top quark?


Source: ATLAS boosted top candidate



What jet do we have here?

- a quark?
- a gluon?
- a W/Z (or a Higgs)?
- a top quark?


Source: ATLAS boosted top candidate




Goal: properly identify the hard process

⇒ **Many applications, e.g. relevant to new physics searches**

Idea: look for hard branchings




Idea: look for hard branchings



Rare hard branchings for $q/g \rightarrow q/g + g$ ($P(z) \sim 1/z$)


Idea: look for hard branchings



Rare hard branchings for $q/g \rightarrow q/g + g$ ($P(z) \sim 1/z$)


Frequent hard branchings for $W/Z/H \rightarrow q\bar{q}$ ($P(z) \sim 1$) + less radiation at large angles

Idea: look for hard branchings




Method: search the first splitting with $z > z_{\text{cut}}$ (+ constrain large-angle radiation)

Searches and measurements




(now-gone) di-boson excess (end of Run-I)




Breakthrough 7-9 years ago: jet substructure tools are calculable

quark - Pythia (8.230)



quark - analytic



- qualitative features reproduced and understood


Suited for precision QCD

Breakthrough 7-9 years ago: jet substructure tools are calculable

quark - Pythia (8.230)

m [GeV]


10 100 1000



quark - analytic

m [GeV]

10 100 1000



- qualitative features reproduced and understood


Suited for precision QCD

Breakthrough 7-9 years ago: jet substructure tools are calculable

quark - analytic

m [GeV]


10 100 1000



quark - Pythia (8.230)

m [GeV]

10 100 1000



- qualitative features reproduced and understood
- substructure reduces non-perturbative effects


Suited for precision QCD

Breakthrough 7-9 years ago: jet substructure tools are calculable

quark - analytic

m [GeV]


10 100 1000



quark - Pythia (8.230)

m [GeV]

10 100 1000




- qualitative features reproduced and understood
- substructure reduces non-perturbative effects

Suited for precision QCD


For QCDists: boosted $\Rightarrow p_t \gg m \Rightarrow$ all-orders resummation of $\alpha_s^n \log^n(p_t R/m)$.

LHC measurements v. NLL+NLO and NNLL+LO predictions:

CMS-PAS-SMP-16-010



ATLAS(CERN-EP-2017-231)




good overall agreement with the data


See also
[\[arXiv:2109.03340\]](https://arxiv.org/abs/2109.03340) for a recent CMS measurement

Interesting question: Precise observable, limited NP effects \Rightarrow can we extract α_s ?

Visualising the substructure with the Lund plane





Visualising the substructure with the Lund plane



Consider all the emissions from the hardest branch: $\{(z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_n, \theta_n)\}$

Visualising the substructure with the Lund plane


One jet
dijets




Consider all the emissions from the hardest branch: $\{(z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_n, \theta_n)\}$
Put them in the Lund plane

Visualising the substructure with the Lund plane

One jet
dijets




Average over jets
QCD jets, full plane




Consider all the emissions from the hardest branch: $\{(z_1, \theta_1), \dots, (z_n, \theta_n)\}$
Put them in the Lund plane


Measured by ATLAS + compared to QCD analytics




[ATLAS, CERN-EP-2020-030]



[A.Lifson, G.Salam, GS, 07]



Idea: interaction with the quark-gluon plasma






the quark-gluon plasma affects QCD radiation \Rightarrow study through jet substructure

Recent measurement by the Alice collaboration

Lots of recent activity (experimentally, theoretically, phenomenologically, ...)


Just one example here: energy fraction and splitting angle of a hard splitting in the jet





Substructure for MC development


Main idea: MC generators simulate QCD dynamics, substructure probes QCD dynamics



Substructure for MC development

Main idea: MC generators simulate QCD dynamics, substructure probes QCD dynamics

direct comparison
between data and MC





Substructure for MC development

Main idea: MC generators simulate QCD dynamics, substructure probes QCD dynamics

direct comparison
between data and MC

observables for
MC accuracy



PanScales NLL showers:
 e^+e^- , colour, spin, soft spin



Substructure for MC development

Main idea: MC generators simulate QCD dynamics, substructure probes QCD dynamics


direct comparison
between data and MC

observables for
MC accuracy

fringe benefits: NLL resum
of spin-dependent observables



PanScales NLL showers:
 e^+e^- , colour, spin, soft spin





Substructure for MC development

Main idea: MC generators simulate QCD dynamics, substructure probes QCD dynamics


direct comparison
between data and MC

observables for
MC accuracy


fringe benefits: NLL resum
of spin-dependent observables



PanScales NLL showers:
 e^+e^- , colour, spin, soft spin




Beyond the “pure QCD interest”: better MCs \Rightarrow less modelling uncert. \Rightarrow improved searches



The Machine-Learning revolution

- Deep Learning is now almost everywhere in high-energy physics
- substructure among pioneers ($\gtrsim 5$ years ago)
- Most typical example: boosted jet tagging: discriminate “signal” from “background” jets $W/Z/H/t$ v. QCD; q v. g , ...


Large gains compared to “standard” techniques



[plot from Frederic Dreyer]

The Machine-Learning revolution


- Deep Learning is now almost everywhere in high-energy physics
- substructure among pioneers ($\gtrsim 5$ years ago)
- Most typical example: boosted jet tagging: discriminate “signal” from “background” jets $W/Z/H/t$ v. QCD; q v. g , ...



[F. Dreyer, GS, A. Takacs, 2112.09140]

The Machine-Learning revolution


- Deep Learning is now almost everywhere in high-energy physics
- substructure among pioneers ($\gtrsim 5$ years ago)
- Most typical example: boosted jet tagging: discriminate “signal” from “background” jets $W/Z/H/t$ v. QCD; q v. g , ...
- Huge list of studies beyond this
 - applications beyond boosted tagging
 - different inputs (observables, 4-vectors, images, ...)
 - different architectures



[F. Dreyer, G.S., A. Takacs, 2112.09140]

The Machine-Learning revolution

- Deep Learning is now almost everywhere in high-energy physics
- substructure among pioneers ($\gtrsim 5$ years ago)
- Most typical example: boosted jet tagging: discriminate “signal” from “background” jets $W/Z/H/t$ v. QCD; q v. g , ...
- Huge list of studies beyond this
 - applications beyond boosted tagging
 - different inputs (observables, 4-vectors, images, ...)
 - different architectures
- some attempts to understand what goes on in the black box
 - e.g. assess uncertainties, hints of IRC safety, understand what is learned, analytic insight



Take-home messages

- **Jets are everywhere at colliders (from before LEP to after LHC)**
- **Substructure is now mainstream and is here to stay**
 - **Window on searches for new physics**
 - **Useful tool to learn about QCD**
- **Wide range of applications (Taggers, pQCD, HI, MC, ML)**

Looking towards the future

- Jet substructure has often been a playground for new ideas
- Expect more analyses with boosted jets
- Hope for more (unfolded) substructure measurements
- Stay tuned for more deep-learning applications
- More? See [these lecture notes](#) (arXiv:1901.10342) and [BOOST \(2020, 2021\) talks](#)