

ZOLTÁN NAGY CERN, Theoretical Physics

in collaboration with Dave Soper

CERN Theory Seminar

February 28, 2007, CERN

Introduction

The parton shower relies on the universal soft and collinear factorization of the QCD matrix elements. It is universal property and true at all order. This should be the only approximation ...

... but we have some further approximations:

- X Interference diagrams are treated approximately with the angular ordering
- ★ Color treatment is valid in the $N_c \to \infty$ limit (correct only in e^+e^- annihilation)
- X Spin treatment is usually approximated.
- X Usually very crude approximation in the phase space



✗ "Hidden tricks"

Introduction

The parton shower relies on the universal soft and collinear factorization of the QCD matrix elements. It is universal property and true at all order. This should be the only approximation ...



Parton shower as Quantum statistical mechanics

... but we have some further approximations:

- X Interference diagrams are treated approximately with the angular ordering
- Color treatment is valid in the $N_c \to \infty$ limit (correct only in e^+e^- annihilation)
- × Spin treatment is usually approximated.
- X Usually very crude approximation in the phase space
- × "Hidden tricks"

Parton shower as classical statistical mechanics

The physical cross section is

$$\sigma[F] = \sum_{m} \int \left[d\{p, f\}_{m} \right] \underbrace{f_{a/A}(\eta_{a}, \mu_{F}^{2}) f_{b/B}(\eta_{b}, \mu_{F}^{2})}_{\text{observable}} \frac{1}{2\eta_{a}\eta_{b}p_{A} \cdot p_{B}} \times \left\langle \mathcal{M}(\{p, f\}_{m}) \middle| \underbrace{F(\{p, f\}_{m})}_{\text{observable}} \underbrace{\mathcal{M}(\{p, f\}_{m})}_{\text{matrix element}} \right\rangle$$

It is useful to write this as trace in the color and spin space

$$\sigma[F] = \sum_{m} \int \left[d\{p, f\}_m \right] \operatorname{Tr}\{ \underbrace{\rho(\{p, f\}_m)}_{m} F(\{p, f\}_m) \}$$

density operator in color \otimes spin space

The physical cross section is

$$\sigma[F] = \sum_{m} \int \left[d\{p, f\}_{m} \right] \underbrace{f_{a/A}(\eta_{a}, \mu_{F}^{2}) f_{b/B}(\eta_{b}, \mu_{F}^{2})}_{m} \frac{1}{2\eta_{a}\eta_{b}p_{A} \cdot p_{B}} \int \left[d\{p, f\}_{m} \right] = \frac{1}{m!} \prod_{i=1}^{m} \left\{ \sum_{f_{i}} \int \frac{d^{4}p_{i}}{(2\pi)^{4}} 2\pi \delta_{+}(p_{i}^{2}) \right\} \sum_{a} \int_{0}^{1} d\eta_{a} \sum_{b} \int_{0}^{1} d\eta_{b} \\ \times (2\pi)^{4} \delta \left(\eta_{a} p_{A} + \eta_{b} p_{B} - \sum_{i=1}^{m} p_{i} \right)$$
and spin space

$$\sigma[F] = \sum_{m} \int \left[d\{p, f\}_m \right] \operatorname{Tr}\{ \underbrace{\rho(\{p, f\}_m)}_{m} F(\{p, f\}_m) \}$$

density operator in color \otimes spin space

The density operator is

$$\rho(\{p,f\}_m) = \left| \mathcal{M}(\{p,f\}_m) \right\rangle \frac{f_{a/A}(\eta_{\mathrm{a}},\mu_F^2) f_{b/B}(\eta_{\mathrm{b}},\mu_F^2)}{2\eta_{\mathrm{a}}\eta_{\mathrm{b}}p_A \cdot p_B} \left\langle \mathcal{M}(\{p,f\}_m) \right|$$

or expanding it on a color and spin basis

$$\rho(\{p, f\}_m) = \sum_{s, c} \sum_{s', c'} \left| \{s, c\}_m \right\rangle \rho(\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_m) \left\langle \{s', c'\}_m \right|$$

We use conventional treatment of spin, thus we have orthogonal basis:

$$\left\langle \{s'\}_m \middle| \{s\}_m \right\rangle = \prod_{i=\mathbf{a},\mathbf{b},1,\dots,m} \delta_{s_i}^{s'_i}$$

In the color space we use a basis which is normalized but not orthogonal:

 $\langle \{c\}_m | \{c\}_m \rangle = 1 \quad \text{but} \quad \langle \{c'\}_m | \{c\}_m \rangle = \mathcal{O}(1/N_c^2) \quad \text{for } \{c'\}_m \neq \{c\}_m$

It is useful to introduce a dual basis $|\{c\}_m\rangle_D$ that is defined by

$${}_{D} \langle \{c'\}_{m} | \{c\}_{m} \rangle = \delta^{\{c'\}_{m}}_{\{c\}_{m}}$$

and the completeness relations are

$$1 = \sum_{\{c\}_m} |\{c\}_m\rangle_D \langle \{c\}_m| \quad \text{and} \quad 1 = \sum_{\{c\}_m} |\{c\}_m\rangle_D \langle \{c\}_m|$$

Classical States

The set of functions $\rho(\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_m)$ forms a vector space. Basis: $|\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_m)$

Completeness relation :

$$1 = \sum_{m} \int \left[d\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_{m} \right] \left| \{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_{m} \right) \left(\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_{m} \right|$$

where

$$\int \left[d\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_m \right] \equiv \int \left[d\{p, f\}_m \right] \sum_{s_{\rm a}, s'_{\rm a}, c_{\rm a}, c'_{\rm a}} \sum_{s_{\rm b}, s'_{\rm b}, c_{\rm b}, c'_{\rm b}} \prod_{i=1}^m \left\{ \sum_{s_i, s'_i, c_i, c'_i} \right\}$$

Inner product of the basis states:

 $\left(\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_m \middle| \{\tilde{p}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{s}', \tilde{c}', \tilde{s}, \tilde{c}\}_{\tilde{m}}\right) = \delta_{m, \tilde{m}} \ \delta(\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_m; \{\tilde{p}, \tilde{f}, \tilde{s}', \tilde{c}', \tilde{s}, \tilde{c}\}_{\tilde{m}})$

Classical State

A physical state which is related to the density matrix:

$$|\rho) = \int \left[d\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_m \right] \rho(\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_m) |\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_m)$$

or the vector corresponding to the measurement function $\left(F | \{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_m\right) = \left\langle \{s', c'\}_m | F(\{p, f\}_m) | \{s, c\}_m \right\rangle$

Then the cross section that corresponding to this measurement function is

$$\sigma[F] = (F|\rho)$$

We use an evolution variable e.g.:

$$\log \frac{Q^2}{q^2} = t \in [0,\infty]$$

The evolution is given by a linear operator

$$|\rho(t)) = U(t, t_0)|\rho(t_0))$$

Group decomposation

$$U(t_3, t_2) U(t_2, t_1) = U(t_3, t_1)$$

Preserves the normalization

$$\left(1\big|A(t_0)\right) = 1$$



 $\left(1\big|U(t,t_0)\big|\rho(t_0)\right) = 1$

Using the factorization properties of the QCD the approximated order by order calculation can be organized according to

$$\mathcal{U}(t,t') = 1 + \int_{t'}^{t} d\tau \,\mathcal{U}(t,\tau) \left[\mathcal{H}_{I}(\tau) - \mathcal{V}(\tau) \right]$$
resolved radiations

or the differential equation



Using the factorization properties of the QCD the approximated order by order calculation can be organized according to

$$\mathcal{U}(t,t') = 1 + \int_{t'}^{t} d\tau \,\mathcal{U}(t,\tau) \left[\mathcal{H}_{I}(\tau) - \mathcal{V}(\tau) \right]$$
resolved radiations

or the differential equation



The unitary condition fixes the unresolved part of the splitting operator $(\{\hat{c}',\hat{c}\}_m | \mathcal{V}(t,\{p,f\}_m) | \{c',c\}_m)$ $= \frac{1}{D} \langle \{\hat{c}\}_m \left| h(t, \{p, f\}_m) \right| \{c\}_m \rangle \, \delta_{\{c'\}_m}^{\{\hat{c}'\}_m} + \delta_{\{c\}_m}^{\{\hat{c}\}_m} \, \langle \{c'\}_m \left| h(t, \{p, f\}_m) \right| \{\hat{c}'\}_m \rangle_D$

where

$$(1|\mathcal{H}_{I}(t)|\{p, f, s', c', s, c\}_{m}) = 2\langle\{s'\}_{m}|\{s\}_{m}\rangle\langle\{c'\}_{m}|h(t, \{p, f\}_{m})|\{c\}_{m}\rangle$$

non-trivial color structure

At leading order level:

$$h^{(0)}(t, \{p, f\}_m) = \underbrace{\mathbf{1}P(t, \{p, f\}_m)}_{\text{collinear}} + \underbrace{\sum_{i, k} \mathbf{T}_i \cdot \mathbf{T}_k S_{ik}(t; \{p\}_m)}_{i \neq k}$$
pure soft

One can solve the evolution equation by using small steps

 $\mathcal{U}(t+\Delta t,0)\big|\rho(0)\big) = \underbrace{\left[1-\mathcal{V}(t)\Delta t\right]}\mathcal{U}(t,0)\big|\rho(0)\big) + \underbrace{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbf{I}}(t)\Delta t}\mathcal{U}(t,0')\big|\rho(0)\big)$

changes the color states changes everything

There is a more tradition way to solve the evolution equation

$$\mathcal{U}(t,t') = \mathcal{N}(t,t') + \int_{t'}^{t} d\tau \,\mathcal{U}(t,\tau) \left[\mathcal{H}_{I}(\tau) - \mathcal{V}_{S}(\tau)\right] \mathcal{N}(\tau,t')$$

where
$$\mathcal{V}_{E}(\tau) + \mathcal{V}_{S}(\tau) = \mathcal{V}(\tau) \qquad \mathcal{N}(t,t') = \mathbb{T} \exp\left(-\int_{t'}^{t} d\tau \,\mathcal{V}_{E}(\tau)\right)$$

We exponentiate the color conserving part of the operator $\mathcal{V}(\tau)$ and subtract the remaining. The color conserving part is the leading color contribution. In this case the basis vectors $|\{p, f, ...\}\rangle$ are eigenvectors of the operator $\mathcal{V}_E(\tau)$, thus

$$N(t',t)|\{p,f,s'c',s,c\}_m\} = \Delta(\{p,f,c',c\}_m;t',t)|\{p,f,s'c',s,c\}_m\}$$

Sudakov factor

There is a more tradition way to solve the evolution equation

$$\mathcal{U}(t,t') = \mathcal{N}(t,t') + \int_{t'}^{t} d\tau \,\mathcal{U}(t,\tau) \left[\mathcal{H}_{I}(\tau) - \mathcal{V}_{S}(\tau)\right] \mathcal{N}(\tau,t')$$

where
$$\mathcal{V}_{E}(\tau) + \mathcal{V}_{S}(\tau) = \mathcal{V}(\tau) \qquad \mathcal{N}(t,t') = \mathbb{T} \exp\left(-\int_{t'}^{t} d\tau \,\mathcal{V}_{E}(\tau)\right)$$

We exponentiate the color conserving part of the operator $\mathcal{V}(\tau)$ and subtract the remaining. The color conserving part is the leading color contribution. In this case the basis vectors $|\{p, f, ...\}\rangle$ are eigenvectors of the operator $\mathcal{V}_E(\tau)$, thus

$$\Delta(\{p, f, c', c\}_m; t_2, t_1) = \exp\left(-\int_{t_1}^{t_2} d\tau \, \underbrace{\omega(\tau; \{p, f, c', c\}_m)}_{\text{related to the parton splitting}}\right)$$

There is a more tradition way to solve the evolution equation

The splitting operator is based on the soft and collinear factorization formulas. At LO level we have

$$\mathcal{H}_{I}(t) = \mathcal{D}_{a}(t) + \mathcal{D}_{b}(t) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathcal{D}_{i}(t) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_{s}^{2})$$

- The splitting kernel is organized according to the collinear splittings (even the soft radiations)
- This decomposition can be also done at higher order level.
- We need to define phase space mapping to get *m*+1 parton configurations from *m* parton configurations. This can be also done systematically even for higher order.
- It is important that the phase space mapping must be exact since the classical states are defined on phase space surface in the momentum space.
- The mapping must be consistent with the higher order; *e.g.* we cannot use the Catani-Seymour dipole factorization and phase space mapping.

The physical states are given by the density matrix which is the direct product of the matrix element vector:

$$\rho(\{p,f\}_{m+1}) \sim \left| \mathcal{M}(\{p,f\}_{m+1}) \right\rangle \left\langle \mathcal{M}(\{p,f\}_{m+1}) \right|$$

The splitting operator is based on the soft collinear factorization of the matrix element. Thus in the limit when parton l and m + 1 become collinear we have

$$|M(\{\hat{p}, \hat{f}\}_{m+1})\rangle \sim \underbrace{t_l^{\dagger}(f_l \to \hat{f}_l + \hat{f}_{m+1})}_{Color \ operator} \underbrace{V_l^{\dagger}(\{\hat{p}, \hat{f}\}_{m+1})}_{Spin \ operator} |M(\{p, f\}_m)\rangle$$

And in the soft limit we have

$$\left| M(\{\hat{p}, \hat{f}\}_{m+1}) \right\rangle \sim \sum_{l} t_{l}^{\dagger}(f_{l} \to \hat{f}_{l} + \hat{f}_{m+1}) V_{l}^{\dagger}(\{\hat{p}, \hat{f}\}_{m+1}) \left| M(\{p, f\}_{m}) \right\rangle$$

What about the momentum mapping and phase space?

$$\hat{p}_l + \hat{p}_{m+1} = \lambda p_l + \frac{1 - \lambda + y}{2a_l} Q$$

$$\lambda = \sqrt{\frac{(1+y)^2 - 4a_l(y+b_l)}{1 - 4a_lb_l}} \qquad a_l = \frac{Q^2}{2p_l \cdot Q} \quad , \qquad b_l = \frac{m^2(f_l)}{2p_l \cdot Q}$$

For the spectators we have

$$\hat{p}_{j}^{\mu} = \Lambda^{\mu}_{\nu} (Q - \hat{p}_{l} - \hat{p}_{m+1}, Q - p_{l}) p_{j}^{\nu} \qquad j \notin \{l, m+1\}$$

and the Lorentz transformation is

$$\Lambda(\hat{K}, K)^{\mu}_{\ \nu} = g^{\mu}_{\nu} - \frac{2(\hat{K} + K)^{\mu}(\hat{K} + K)_{\nu}}{(\hat{K} + K)^2} + \frac{2\hat{K}^{\mu}K_{\nu}}{K^2}$$

Standard Shower

1. The interference diagrams are treated approximately with angular ordering $|\{c',c\}_m\rangle \longrightarrow |\{c\}_m\rangle + special evolution variable$

2. Color treatment is valid in the $N_c \rightarrow \infty$ limit

$$\mathcal{V}_S(\tau) = \mathcal{O}(1/N_c^2), \ \mathcal{V}_E(\tau) \text{ is diagonal}$$

3. Spin treatment is approximated

$$|\{s',s\}_m) \longrightarrow |\{s\}_m) + spin averaged splitting functions$$

4. Usually crude approximation in the phase space

 $|\{p\}_m|$ is NOT defined on the phase space surface properly

Shower Cross Section

The evolution starts from the kinematically simplest configuration and the shower cross section is

$$\sigma[F] = \left(F | D(t_{\rm f}) U(t_{\rm f}, t_0) | \mathcal{M}_2 \right)$$

 $D(t_{\rm f})$ represents the hadronization. Tuning is allowed only here.