



STAR identified particle measurements at high transverse momentum in p+p at $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 200 \text{ GeV}$ Mark Heinz Yale University for the STAR Collaboration

Motivation
 STAR experiment
 Results

 P_T-Spectra compared to NLO results
 Quark vs Gluon jets
 Particle ratios vs PYTHIA

Perturbative QCD Ansatz





Universality of Fragmentation functions



- Suggested by Kniehl, Kramer & Poetter : <u>Nucl.Phys.B597(2001)</u>
- Experimental data from different collisions systems have been fit with the same fragmentation function (FF)
- Nevertheless the constraint on Gluon FF is much worse than for light quarks, and similar to heavy quark FF.



STAR experiment - Detectors











Sensitivity to gluon contribution of FF



STAR, Phys Lett B, 637 (2006) 161



NLO pQCD calculations with **KKP FF** are consistent with pion data at high

They are **inconsistent** with the



Uncertainties in FF



 Recent compilation and error analysis of available fragmentation functions by (KKP,Kretzer, AKK) by Hirai et al. (<u>hep-ph/0702250</u>)



Light flavor separated FF





NLO pQCD calculations with *AKK FF* are **consistent** with pion data at **high p_T (> 2 GeV/c)**

NLO pOCD calculations with *AKK FF* compares relatively better than *KKP* for the p + p data

⇒ AKK differ from KKP, in the way the light flavor FF are obtained from the light flavor separated measurements in e+e- collisions by OPAL

OPAL: Eur. Phys. J. C 16 (2000) 407

What about strange particles ?





STAR (nucl-ex/0607033) accepted for Publication in Phys Rev C

NLO pQCD calculations with AKK FF compares relatively
 better than KKP for the strange particle data
 Lambda gluon FF was constrained using STAR data

 π^0 production at forward rapidity



probing the initial gluon densities









Particle Ratios – PYTHIA comparison



STAR, Phys Lett B, 637 (2006) 161 STAR (nucl-ex/0607033) 1.4 2.0 □ p+p 200 GeV ‡ p/p .π⁻/π⁺ ······ PYTHIA 1.2 1.8 1.6 1.4 8.0 <u>⊼/</u> 8.0 80 1. 1.0 0.8 0.6 PYTHIA 6.3 0.4 0.4 Gluon jet events 0.2 Quark let events 0.2 all events -5 6 56 8 p_ (GeV/c) ٥Ľ p_ (GeV/c) 1.5 2 2.5 3.5 5 4 4. p_T [GeV/c] 3

ΡΥΤΗΙΑ

> predicts a more prominent p_T dependence for p/p and a flat dependence at high p_T for π^-/π^+

> predicts an even stronger dependence for $\overline{\Lambda}/\Lambda$. Current data does not allow to conclude, but is consistent with gluon jet dominated production.

Baryon-meson ratios



- Gluon Jets will produce a larger Baryon/Meson ratio than quark-jets in the region of interest
- PYTHIA cannot describe Baryon-Meson ratio at intermediate p_T even with tuned K-factors. In addition di-quark probabilities need to be tuned.



Conclusions



- ✓ NLO pQCD describes the proton and Λp_T spectrum for the <u>first time</u> in p+p collisions
- Importance of the significant improvement of FF for baryons and strange particles from the light-flavor separated measurements in e+e- collisions (OPAL)
- Neutral pion p_T spectra at forward rapidity provides unique data to study pQCD processes and understand the gluon vs. quark jet contributions
- ✓ m_T -scaling together with x_T scaling in p+p collisions shows that the dominance of hard process (related to PDF and FF) over soft process for minbias collisions starts at $p_T \sim 2$ GeV/c
- Splitting of high baryon-meson m_T spectra confirms gluon jet dominance at RHIC
- Anti-particle to particle ratio is show little dependence to p_T for the studied p_T range again indicating gluon jet dominance at RHIC for these processes
- Baryon-to-meson ratios not well reproduced by LO pQCD (PYTHIA), over a broad range of energies in p+p collisions

STAR Collaboration

U.S. Labs:

Argonne, Lawrence Berkeley, and Brookhaven National Labs

U.S. Universities:

UC Berkeley, UC Davis, UCLA, Caltech, Carnegie Mellon, UIC, Creighton, Indiana, Kent State, MIT, MSU, CCNY, Ohio State, Penn State, Purdue, Rice, Texas A&M, UT Austin, Washington, Wayne State, Valparaiso, Yale

Brazil:

Universidade de Sao Paulo

China:

IHEP - Beijing, IPP - Wuhan, USTC, Tsinghua, SINAP, IMP Lanzhou Croatia: Zagreb University

Czech Republic: Nuclear Physics Institute

England: University of Birmingham France: Institut de Recherches Subatomiques **Strasbourg, SUBATECH - Nantes Germany:** Max Planck Institute – Munich **University of Frankfurt** India: Bhubaneswar, Jammu, IIT-Mumbai, Panjab, Rajasthan, VECC Netherlands: **NIKHEF/Utrecht** Poland: Warsaw University of Technology **Russia:** MEPHI – Moscow, LPP/LHE JINR – Dubna, IHEP – Protvino South Korea: **Pusan National University**





