## The separate universe from low to high densities

Drew Jamieson

C. N. Yang Institute for Theoretical Physics Stony Brook University

D. J. and M. Loverde arXiv:1812.08765
D. J. and M. Loverde arXiv:1909.05313
D. J. and M. Loverde (in preparation)

 $\overline{\text{BSM}}$  Pandemic, 2020

### Goals for large scale structure



### Challenges for large scale structure

What we predict	What we observe
$\rightarrow$ matter field	$\rightarrow$ galaxies
$\rightarrow$ perturbation theory	$\rightarrow$ volds
$\rightarrow$ simulations	$\rightarrow$ intesity maps

For example, for the power spectrum

 $\left< \delta(\vec{k}) \delta(\vec{k}') \right> = (2\pi)^3 \delta_D^3(\vec{k} + \vec{k}') P(k)$ 



Drew Jamieson, Stony Brook University

# The matter field





#### Halos in the matter field

Matter + halos



### Voids in the halo field

Matter + halos + voids



### Local observables in the matter field



Underdense region:

- $\rightarrow$  Fewer massive halos
- $\rightarrow$  More large voids
- $\rightarrow$  Lower power

#### Overdense region:

- $\rightarrow$  More massive halos
- $\rightarrow$  Fewer large voids
- $\rightarrow$  Higher power

# Separate universe simulations

Linear mode  $\rightarrow$  shift in background, Run p

Run pairs of simulations,

$$\bar{\rho}_{su} = \bar{\rho} \left( 1 + \delta_L \right)$$

 $a_{su} = a\left(1 - \frac{1}{3}\delta_L\right)$ 

 $H_{su}(a_{su}|\pm\delta_L)$ 

Measure the response of small-scale observables,



Li, Hu, Takada arXiv:1401.0385 Wagner, Schmidt, Chiang, Komatsu arXiv:1409.6294

#### Local halo mass function $\rightarrow$ halo bias



Li, Hu, Takada arXiv:1511.01454 Baldauf, Seljak, Senatore, Zaldarriaga arXiv:1511.01465

#### Local void size function $\rightarrow$ void bias



D. J. and M. Loverde arXiv:1909.05313 Chan, Li, Biagetti, Hamaus arXiv:1909.03736

# Advantages of the separate universe

- $\rightarrow$  Only need to know evolution of linear mode  $\delta_L$
- $\rightarrow$  Small scale physics is simulated using standard N-body codes
- $\rightarrow$  Include beyond  $\Lambda {\rm CDM}$  physics through effects on  $\delta_L$

#### Massive neutrino cosmologies

Chiang, Hu, Li, Loverde arXiv:1609.01701

#### Dynamical dark energy fluctuations

Chiang, Hu, Li, Loverde arXiv:1710.01310

D. J. and M. Loverde arXiv:1812.08765

#### Radiation

C. Shiveshwarkar, D. Jamieson, M Loverde (in preparation)

#### Dark energy perturbations and scale dependent growth

Gordon, Hu arXiv:0406496

Scalar field dark energy with sound speed  $c_Q$ ,

$$\mathcal{L}_Q = \frac{2c_Q^2 \Lambda}{1 + c_Q^2} \left( -\frac{1}{2\Lambda} g^{\mu\nu} \nabla_\mu Q \nabla_\nu Q \right)^{\frac{1 + c_Q^2}{2c_Q^2}} - V(Q)$$



Drew Jamieson, Stony Brook University

### Scale dependent bias from quintessence isocurvature

Clustering dark energy perturbations  $\rightarrow$  scale dependent matter growth  $\rightarrow$  scale dependent bias



Can predict k-dependence of bias from effect on <u>linear</u> power spectrum

Drew Jamieson, Stony Brook University

Effects of new physics on large scale structure may be small

- 1) How do we obtain optimal constraints?
- 2) Which regions/features/environments are most sensitive?

3) How do long wavelength modes affect the small scale density field?

### The matter density PDF



 $\rho(\vec{x})/\bar{\rho} = 1 + \delta(\vec{x})$ 

Density field smoothed over radius R.

Estimate probability distribution function (PDF),

$$\mathscr{P}(1+\delta)$$





Underdense region:  $\rightarrow$  Reduced clustering  $\rightarrow$  Narrower distribution

Overdense region:

- $\rightarrow$  Enhanced clustering
- $\rightarrow$  Broader distribution



D. J. and M. Loverde (in preparation)

Drew Jamieson, Stony Brook University

# PDF response from separate universe simulations

#### Model from,

Ivanov, Kaurov, Sibiryakov arXiv:1811.07913

 $10^{0}$ z = 0.0 $z \pm 0.5$ z = 1.0 $\otimes 10^{-1}$ Simulations  $10^{-4}$ Model 10 $R_{\mathscr{P}}$  $10^{-1}$  $10^{0}$  $10^{h}0^{-1}$  $10^{0}$  $10^{h}10^{-1}$  $10^{0}$  $10^{1}$  $1 + \delta$  $1 + \delta$  $1 + \delta$ Drew Jamieson, Stony Brook University 17/17

D. J. and M. Loverde (in preparation)

 $\rightarrow$  Effects of beyond ACDM physics may be detectable on large scales through scale dependent bias

 $\rightarrow$  These effects can be characterized using separate universe simulations

 $\rightarrow$  We have made the first measurement of the separate universe response of the matter density PDF in simulations

 $\rightarrow$  This new observable may be useful for obtaining optimal constraints on cosmological parameters

#### Thank you!