Seeing the dark: Gravitational relics of dark photon production



Zach Weiner • University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign

BSM PANDEMIC Seminars

October 20, 2020











Gravitational waves constrain resonant dark photon production from axions



Gravitational waves constrain resonant dark photon production from axions



Gravitational waves constrain resonant dark photon production from axions

reheating inflation

PHYSICAL REVIEW LETTERS 124, 171301 (2020)

Constraining Axion Inflation with Gravitational W

Peter Adshead, ^{1,*} John T. Giblin, Jr. ^{2,3,†} Mauro Pier

³CERCA/ISO, Department of Physics, Case Western Reserve

⁶Theoretical Physics, Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College,

Instituto de Física Teórica UAM/CSIC, Calle Nicolás Cabrera I

⁵Departamento de Física Teórica, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid (UAN

(Received 4 October 2019; revised manuscript received 17 February 2020;

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevLett.124.171301

Department of Physics, University of Illinois at Urbana-Ch

²Department of Physics, Kenyon College, Gan

on the initiation is possible axial coupling to Abenan gauge needs, we demonstrate that gauge preneating completely reheat the Universe regardless of the inflationary potential. Further, we quantify the variation of the officiance of any theta is in considered.

of the efficiency of gravitational wave production from model to model and show that it is correlated

or the efficiency of gravitational wave production from model to model and snow that it is correlated with the tensor-to-scalar ratio. In particular, when combined with constraints on models whose

with the tensor-to-scalar ratio. In particular, when combined with consulating on models who tensor-to-scalar ratios would be detected by next-generation cosmic microwave background experiments.

 $r \gtrsim 10^{-3}$, constraints from $N_{\rm eff}$ will probe or rule out the entire coupling regime for which

early dark energy for the Hubble tension

Bravinanion waves in the second

unannes suescor man gravmanour a unique probe of these models.

gravitational waves

cently propos

of the Universe & Department of Physi USA Illinois 61801, USA nampaign, Urbana, Ohio 43022, USA n College, Gambier, Cleveland Ohio estern Reserve University. Photon production and the associated gravitational wave out constraints for the entire parameter space we consider. Out we production from the decay of early dark energy may provide Why invoke this model? Production, finding the signals to constraints for the entire parameter space we consider. Our nay provide dark energy may provide a unique probe of these models. To transition from something like We demonstrate that gravitational waves generated by efficient gauge preheating after axion inflation $N = W_0$ show the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom $N = W_0$ show We demonstrate that gravitational waves generated by efficient gauge preneating after axion initiation generically contribute significantly to the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom $N_{\rm eff}$. We show dark energy to radiation generically contribute significantly to the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom *N*eff. We show that, with existing Planck limits, gravitational waves from preheating already place the strongest constraints that inflaton's possible avial coupling to A ballon power fields. We demonstrate that some preheating already place the strongest constraints and the inflaton's possible avial coupling to A ballon power fields. We demonstrate that some preheating already place the strongest constraints and the inflaton's possible avial coupling to A ballon power fields. We demonstrate that some preheating already place the strongest constraints and the inflaton's power fields. that, with existing Planck finnes, gravitational waves from preneating already place the strongest constraints on the inflaton's possible axial coupling to Abelian gauge fields. We demonstrate that gauge preheating can completely when the training prevention of the industries prevention. Further was prevented to constraints

Weine

lea 27 April 2020)

with gravitational waves before recombination

n College, Gambier, Ohio 43022, USA estern Reserve University, Cleveland, Ohio 44106, USA

ay of ultralight scalars into Abelian gauge bosons, re-he Hubble tension, produces a stochastic background of

Av of ultralight scalars into Abelian gauge bosons, re-he Hubble tension, produces a stochastic background the by the cosmic microwave background. We simulate

the Hubble tension, produces a stochastic background of the simulate the by the cosmic microwave background. We simulate wave by the cosmic microwave background or avitational wave by the production and the associated or avitational photon production and the associated or avitation by the photon production and the associated or avitation by the photon production and the photon production and the photon production by the photon by the cosmic microwave background. We simulate the gravitational wave photon production and the associated gravitational voic consider. Our constraints for the entire narameter space we consider.

bad,^{1,†} and John T. Giblin, Jr.^{2,3,‡} of the Universe & Department of Physics, The Universe of This are 61001

Cosmological scalar fields

$$\phi'' + 2aH\phi' + a^2m^2\phi = 0$$



Cosmological scalar fields



Cosmological scalar fields



Tachyonic production of gauge bosons



Beyond the linear regime

- Mode amplitudes quickly grow
 - System becomes nonlinear
- Gauge fields will backreact onto the axion
 - Exert friction on the axion's homogeneous motion
 - The axion itself will fragment
- Highly inhomogeneous field configurations
 - Source gravitational perturbations!
- Must employ numerical simulations to capture the physics



Application I: reheating after inflation

Why (p)reheating?



Why (p)reheating?



Preheating into gauge bosons

- Toy model: add another scalar field
 - Long history of study in a variety of models
- Why gauge fields?
 - Need to eventually populate Standard Model (or SM-like) degrees of freedom
 - Any dark/hidden sector's forces would likely be mediated by gauge fields
 - Naturally realizes radiation-dominated era
- More exciting phenomenology, even during inflation!

Polarized electromagnetic fields Non-Gaussianity Chiral gravitational waves Primordial black holes





Lattice simulations of preheating

classical fields: PDE initial-value problem discretize onto a 3D grid

$$\begin{split} \phi'' - \partial_i \partial_i \phi + 2\mathcal{H}\phi' + a^2 \frac{\mathrm{d}V}{\mathrm{d}\phi} &= -a^2 \frac{\alpha}{4f} F_{\mu\nu} \tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \\ A_i'' - \partial_j \partial_j A_i - \frac{\alpha}{f} \epsilon^{ikl} \phi' \partial_k A_l + \frac{\alpha}{f} \epsilon^{ikl} \partial_k \phi \left(A_l' - \partial_l A_0\right) = 0 \\ &+ \text{Friedmann equations, gravitational waves...} \end{split}$$

Lattice simulations of preheating

classical fields: PDE initial-value problem discretize onto a 3D grid coupled field inflaton 0.20 0.2 0.15 0.1 0.10 0.05 -0.1 -0.05 -0.2 -0.10 small fluctuations small fluctuations about mean about zero Zach Weiner, UIUC

Lattice simulations of preheating

classical fields: PDE initial-value problem discretize onto a 3D grid

inflaton

coupled field



Gauge preheating after inflation



Generation of gravitational waves

Preheating generates anisotropic stress

$$T_{ij}^{A} = -\frac{1}{a^{2}} \left[E_{i} E_{j} + B_{i} B_{j} + \frac{\delta_{ij}}{2} \left(\mathbf{E}^{2} + \mathbf{B}^{2} \right) \right]$$
$$T_{ij}^{\phi} = \partial_{i} \phi \partial_{j} \phi - a^{2} \delta_{ij} \left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \phi + V(\phi) \right)$$

which sources gravitational waves

$$h_{ij}'' - \nabla^2 h_{ij} + 2\mathcal{H}h_{ij}' = \frac{2}{M_{\rm pl}^2}T_{ij}^{\rm TT}$$

$$Iinear, inhomogeneous PDE$$

$$transverse-tracele$$

ransverse-traceless projection of the stress tensor





Constraints from $N_{\rm eff}$

• As radiation, GWs contribute to the effective number of relativistic degrees of freedom:

$$\frac{\Omega_{\rm gw,0}h^2}{\Omega_{\gamma,0}h^2} = \frac{7}{8} \left(\frac{4}{11}\right)^{4/3} \Delta N_{\rm eff}$$

• CMB-S4 will probe $\Delta N_{
m eff}$ to a level that would constrain*

$$\Omega_{\rm gw,0}h^2 \lesssim 7.6 \times 10^{-8}$$

$$\left(\Omega_{\mathrm{radiation},0}h^2 \sim 10^{-5}\right)$$

Constraints from $N_{\rm eff}$



*arXiv:1508.02393

Dependence on the potential



● N_{*}=60

1.00

Planck 2018 results X

 Tensor-to-scalar ratio (r_{0.002})

 05
 0.10
 0.15

0.94

0.96

Primordial tilt (n_s)

0.98

Dependence on the potential



Planck 2018 results X

1.00

-to-scalar ratio (r_{0.002}) 0.10 0.15

Tensor 05

0.94

0.96

Primordial tilt (n_s)

0.98

In the future: probe/rule out regime of complete preheating

Application II: early dark energy and the Hubble tension

Early dark energy

- Discrepancy between local and CMB measurements of Hubble constant
- Likely calls for new physics to modify sound horizon at recombination
 - Something which transiently increases expansion rate
- First models: cosmological scalar field
 - Invoke an exotic potential → oscillations decay faster than radiation



etc.

The decaying ultralight scalar model

2006.13959: Gonzalez, Hertzberg, Rompineve

- Trade a funny potential for our favorite coupling to dark photons
- EDE still from scalar frozen up its potential
 - Resonant dark photon production \rightarrow radiation
- 2006.13959: employed an effective fluid description
 - But the dynamics which deplete the axion are inherently nonlinear
 - Gravitational waves at scales entering the horizon around MR equality

Dynamics of the dULS model



Dynamics of the dULS model



Gravitational wave emission



Thank you!

