# Parameterization for ATLAS EMEC

Yan Jiang SLAC ATLAS Forum 13 Dec 2006

# Why parameterization?

| Release | CPU time per event (kSI2K) |                |          |        |        |             |               |
|---------|----------------------------|----------------|----------|--------|--------|-------------|---------------|
|         | B4 (jets)                  | H(130)<br>->4l | min bias | susy   | Z->ee  | Z-><br>mumu | Z-><br>tautau |
| 12.0.3  | 765.06                     | 776.72         | 263.35   | 921.64 | 949.58 | 736.68      | 668.64        |

- Full simulation time increases almost **linearly** with the energy.
- Parameterization: generating the energy profile of the shower rather than tracking every secondary particles.



# How to parameterize? - longitudinal

The mean longitudinal profile of a shower is described by a gamma function

$$< \frac{1}{E} \frac{dE(t)}{dt} >= f(t) = \frac{(\beta t)^{\alpha - 1} \beta e^{-\beta t}}{\Gamma(\alpha)}$$

- t the shower depth in units of radiation length
- T= $(\alpha-1)/\beta$  the depth of shower maximum.



## How to parameterize? - longitudinal

- T and α are dependent on shower energy and the sampling frequency, which is related to the direction of the incident particle.
- T and α are calculated at each point (energy, direction). And

$$dE(t) = E \int_{t_{i-1}}^{t_j} \frac{(\beta_i t)^{\alpha_i - 1} \beta_i e^{-\beta_i t}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i)} dt$$



#### How to parameterize? - radial

- Also described by gamma function. But it consists of two parts, core and tail.
- r is in the unit of Molière radius

$$\left\langle \frac{1}{dE(t)} \frac{dE(r,t)}{dr} \right\rangle = p^r g_1(r) + (1 - p^r) g_2(r)$$
$$g_i(r) = \frac{1}{2\lambda_i^r} \left(\frac{r}{\lambda_i^r}\right)^{\alpha_i^r/2 - 1} \frac{e^{-\sqrt{r/\lambda_i^r}}}{\Gamma(\alpha_i^r)}$$

$$\lambda_i^r = \lambda_i^r(t); \ \alpha_i^r = \alpha_i^r(t);$$



Barberio and Straessner, ATL-Com-Phys-2004-015

Yan Jiang: SLAC ATLAS Forum

#### Shower parameters - longitudinal



In α and In T are Gaussian variables.
Thus we look into the logarithm rather than α and T.

#### Shower parameters - longitudinal

- At each point, generate a sample of 1000 showers by full simulation.
- For each shower, calculate the moments. Get T and α from first and second moments.

$$Z_{n} = \int_{m}^{\infty} f(t)t^{n} dt = \beta^{-n} \Gamma(\alpha + n) / \Gamma(\alpha), \ T = \frac{2Z_{1}^{2} - Z_{2}}{Z_{1}}, \ \alpha = \frac{Z_{1}^{2}}{Z_{2} - Z_{1}^{2}}$$

 Fit the distribution of ln(T) and ln(α) as Gaussian to get mean value and variance.

Shower parameters - longitudinal Unfortunately,  $\ln(T)$  and  $\ln(\alpha)$  are not independent. We have to deal with another variable, the correlation between  $\ln(T)$  and  $\ln(\alpha)$ .  $\rho = \rho(\ln T, \ln \alpha) = \frac{\left\langle \left(\ln \alpha - \left\langle \ln \alpha \right\rangle\right) \left(\ln T - \left\langle \ln T \right\rangle\right) \right\rangle}{\sqrt{\left(\left\langle \ln \alpha^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \ln \alpha \right\rangle^2\right) \left(\left\langle \ln T^2 \right\rangle - \left\langle \ln T \right\rangle^2\right)}}$  $\square$  For each parameterized shower, T and  $\alpha$  are calculated as ulated as  $\begin{pmatrix} \ln T_i \\ \ln \alpha_i \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \langle \ln T \rangle \\ \langle \ln \alpha \rangle \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \sigma_{\ln T} & 0 \\ 0 & \sigma_{\ln \alpha} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{\frac{1+\rho}{2}} & \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{2}} \\ \sqrt{\frac{1-\rho}{2}} & \sqrt{\frac{1+\rho}{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} n_1 \\ n_2 \end{pmatrix}$ 

where n<sub>1</sub> and n<sub>2</sub> are normally distributed random numbers
Deposit energy to every spot of detectors as the profile shows to get a parameterized shower.

#### Parameters as function of $\ln(E/E_c)$ and $\eta$

- Use logarithm of shower energy scaled by critical energy and pseudorapidity of incident particle as variables in the ansatz for <lnT>, <ln α>, σ(ln T), σ(ln α) and ρ.
- Critical energy is from TDR: 0.011122GeV
- Pseudorapidity  $\eta = -\ln(\tan(\arctan(X/3720)/2))$
- Challenge in parameterization
  - 2-dimensional function
  - Jump at **η**=2.5







# $<\ln T > - \eta$ dependence



$$<\ln T>=(c_0+c_1\eta+c_2\eta^2)(1-s(\eta))+[c_4+c_1(\eta-c_3)+c_2(\eta-c_3)^2]s(\eta)$$

# T> - energy dependence



 $\overline{<\ln T} >= d_1 + d_2 \ln(E/E_c)$ 

• 2-dimensional function could be  $< \ln T >= (c_0 + c_1 \eta + c_2 \eta^2)(1 - s(\eta)) + [c_4 + c_1 (\eta - c_3) + c_2 (\eta - c_3)^2] s(\eta) + c_5 \ln(E/E_c)$ 

# $\sigma(\ln T) - \eta$ dependence



 $s(\eta) = \frac{1}{1 + \exp(-(\eta - 2.5)/0.01)} \quad \sigma(\ln T) = (c_0 + c_1\eta)(1 - s(\eta)) + (c_2 + c_1\eta)s(\eta)$ 

Unfortunately, unlike in ln(T), the slope of  $\sigma(\ln T)$  varies greatly at different energy, i.e.  $c_1$  is dependent on ln(E/E<sub>c</sub>)

13 Dec 06

Yan Jiang: SLAC ATLAS Forum

# $\sigma(\ln T)$ - energy dependence



 $\sigma(\ln T) = d_1 + d_2 \ln(E/E_c) + d_3 \left[\ln(E/E_c)\right]^2$ 

The coefficients in this formula also vary greatly at different  $\eta!$ 2-dimensional function could be  $\sigma(\ln T) = \left[ c_0 + (c_1 + c_2 \ln(E/E_c) + c_3 (\ln(E/E_c))^2) \eta + c_4 \ln(E/E_c) + c_5 (\ln(E/E_c))^2 \right]$  $(1-s(\eta)) + (c_6 + (c_1 + c_2 \ln(E/E_c)) + c_3 (\ln(E/E_c))^2)\eta + c_7 \ln(E/E_c) + c_8 (\ln(E/E_c))^2)s(\eta)$ 13 Dec 06 Yan Jiang: SLAC ATLAS Forum 16

# 2D fitting

- We make 2D fits of each quantity as a function of E and eta, using the formula on the previous pages.
- There are 6 parameters in  $<\ln T >$  and  $<\ln \alpha >$  fits and 9 parameters in  $\sigma(\ln \alpha)$  and  $\sigma(\ln T)$  fits.
- We show the quality of the fit by looking at 1D slices.



#### $\rho$ – still a problem



Not satisfactorily solved. We leave it as a constant now.

Yan Jiang: SLAC ATLAS Forum

#### Plots of parameterized shower



Red and green lines are from parameterized showers using old and new parameters. Only energy in sensitive detectors are recorded here!

#### Plots of parameterized shower



E=50GeV, η=2.95
Not so good now. Maybe due to tuning

# Conclusions

- Good approximation using new fits of ln T and ln α.
- Further validation by users.
- Possible improvements
  - Find a reasonable way to fit  $\rho$ ;
  - Better description of radial profile;
  - Consider the difference in longitudinal profiles of full and parameterized showers is well-behaving, a factor may be found to correct the longitudinal ansatz.