



Meeting Object	IT GLM
Meeting Date	18/05/2010
Chair	David Foster (DF); IT
Attendees	Shaun Roe(SR); ATLAS Dan Noyes (DN); DG-COM Christian Isnard (CI); IT Catharina Hoch (CH); HR David Widegren (DW); GS Gilles Raymond (GR); CMS Tim Smith (TS); IT Steven Goldfarb (SG); ATLAS Kathy Pommes (KP); ATLAS Manuela Cirilli (MC); ATLAS Joao Pequena (JP); ATLAS Lucas Taylor (LT); CMS David Barney (DB); CMS Andreas Wagner (AW); IT Marzena Lapka (ML); CMS
Apologies	Christophe Delamare; James Purvis;
Absent	--
Distribution	
Agenda and material	<a href="http://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confId=95230">http://indico.cern.ch/conferenceDisplay.py?confId=95230</a>

## 1. Objectives

- Understand if there can be a common approach to content management, and what the major requirements would be for IT.

## 2. Discussion

- Lively discussion on the various presentations. Many specific points were made and are in the presentations. Some other points coming from the discussion were:
  - A common solution, architecture and services are seen as desirable.



- Connectors are required to all existing repositories (that should be identified as part of the overall information gathering exercise)
- It may be now “too easy” to create websites and publish content, at least in an unstructured way. What is really official information is unclear.
- The management of content and its tagging etc must be a responsibility of the content providers.
- A clear message was that open-source solutions are mature and credible with major public websites based on them.
  - These require support for a “stack” of technology that is well known, the so-called LAMP stack.
- Sharepoint usage is growing but is still perceived as difficult to go beyond the “out of the box” options and finding external expertise is hard, also considered as windows centric and expensive.
- What would be expected from IT for CM solutions is:
  - Seamless integration with the CERN infrastructure (including authentication etc)
  - Connectors to the content repositories
  - A framework that would allow a support community to develop to share best practices.



### 3. Action List

- CMS indicated they had planned to do a survey to bring together requirements and possible implementation ideas across the experiment. It was decided that this would be good to do together with ENTICE as a single exercise. LT to create a framework for general information gathering by the end of May.
- DB indicated he would have meetings with the ILO on their solutions and DF indicated that James Purvis also has contacts in the content management domain. Feedback and maybe presentations from external organizations would be welcome at the next meeting.
- Next meeting to finalise the framework early June
- Information gathering using the framework in June
- Meeting to discuss results early July