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## 1. Introduction

- Discovery of Higgs boson $(2012$, LHC $)$ : last fundamental particle in SM
- Experiments at the ATLAS and CMS: agrees with the result SM predicted
- Problems not solved: electroweak symmetry breaking, Higgs coupling to SM particles/DM, hierarchy problem... Require new physics beyond SM
- One promising way probing new physics: precision measurements of the properties of H
- LHC is difficult to reach very high precision due to complicated background



## 1. Introduction

- FCC-ee, CEPC, ILC: e+e- collider, large statistics, high luminosity, clean environment, measure H properties with very high precision ( $\sqrt{s}=240-250 \mathrm{GeV}$ )
- ILC: $\sigma_{Z H} \sim 1.2 \%, 250 \mathrm{fb}-1$ (H. Baer et al. [arxiv:1306.6352 [hep-ph]])
- FCC-ee: $\sigma_{Z H} \sim 0.4 \%, 5 a b-1$ (A.Abada et allfcc collaboration])
- CEPC: $\sigma_{Z H} \sim 0.5 \%, 5.6 a b-1$ (arxiv:1811.10545)



## 1. Introduction

- LO on $\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z H\right)$ : only consider s channel t , u channel amplitude is zero due to small Yukawa coupling
- NLO on $\sigma\left(e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow Z H\right)$ : unpolarized beam: 5-10\%;
(A. Denner et al,Phys. C 56, 261(1992)) polarized beam: 10-20\%;
(S.Bondarenko, Phys. Rev. D 100,073002(2019))
$\sigma\left(P_{e^{-}}, P_{e^{+}}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \sum_{\chi_{1} \chi_{2}}\left(1+\chi_{1} P_{e^{+}}\right)\left(1+\chi_{2} P_{e^{-}}\right) \sigma_{\chi_{1<2}}$,


TABLE I. Hard ( $E_{\gamma}>1 \mathrm{GeV}$ ), Born, and one-loop cross sections in fb and relative corrections $\delta$ in $\%$ for the c.m. energy $\sqrt{s}=250 \mathrm{GeV}$ and various polarization degrees of the initial particles.

| $P_{e^{-}}$ | $P_{e^{+}}$ | $\sigma^{\text {hard }}, \mathrm{fb}$ | $\sigma^{\text {Born }}, \mathrm{fb}$ | $\sigma^{\text {one-loop }}, \mathrm{fb}$ | $\delta, \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: | :--- | ---: |
| 0 | 0 | $82.0(1)$ | $225.59(1)$ | $206.77(1)$ | $-8.3(1)$ |
| -0.8 | 0 | $96.7(1)$ | $266.05(1)$ | $223.33(2)$ | $-16.1(1)$ |
| -0.8 | -0.6 | $46.3(1)$ | $127.42(1)$ | $111.67(2)$ | $-12.4(1)$ |
| -0.8 | 0.6 | $147.1(1)$ | $404.69(1)$ | $334.99(1)$ | $-17.2(1)$ |

## 1. Introduction

- NNLO:(s=240-250GeV)

EW+QCD:0.4-1.3\% $\left(\alpha(0), \alpha\left(M_{z}\right), G_{\mu}\right)$
(Q.F.Sun, Phys.Rev.D 96,051301(2017))

EW+QCD:1.3\% ( $\left.\overline{M S}, \alpha\left(M_{z}\right)\right)$
(Q.F.Sun, Phys.Rev.D 96,051301(2017))

| $\sqrt{s}$ | schemes | $\sigma_{\mathrm{LO}}(\mathrm{fb})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{NLO}}(\mathrm{fb})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{NNLO}}(\mathrm{fb})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240 | $\alpha(0)$ | $223.14 \pm 0.47$ | $229.78 \pm 0.77$ | $232.21_{-0.75-0.21}^{+0.75+0.10}$ |
|  | $\alpha\left(M_{Z}\right)$ | $252.03 \pm 0.60$ | $228.36_{-0.81}^{+0.82}$ | $231.28_{-0.79-0.25}^{+0.80+12}$ |
|  | $G_{\mu}$ | $239.64 \pm 0.06$ | $232.46_{-0.07}^{+0.07}$ | $233.29_{-0.06}^{+0.07+0.03}$ |
| 250 | $\alpha(0)$ | $223.12 \pm 0.47$ | $229.20 \pm 0.77$ | $231.63_{-0.75-0.21}^{+0.05+0.12}$ |
|  | $\alpha\left(M_{Z}\right)$ | $252.01 \pm 0.60$ | $227.67_{-0.81}^{+0.82}$ | $230.58_{-0.79-0.25}^{+0.80+14}$ |
|  | $G_{\mu}$ | $239.62 \pm 0.06$ | $231.82 \pm 0.07$ | $232.65_{-0.07}^{+0.07}+0.04$ |
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TABLE II. Total cross sections at various collider energies in the $\alpha\left(m_{Z}\right)$ scheme.

| $\sqrt{s}(\mathrm{GeV})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{LO}}(\mathrm{fb})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{NLO}}(\mathrm{fb})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{NNLO}}(\mathrm{fb})$ | $\sigma_{\mathrm{NNLO}}^{\exp }(\mathrm{fb})$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240 | 252.0 | 228.6 | 231.5 | 231.5 |
| 250 | 252.0 | 227.9 | 230.8 | 230.8 |
| 300 | 190.0 | 170.7 | 172.9 | 172.9 |
| 350 | 135.6 | 122.5 | 124.2 | 124.0 |
| 500 | 60.12 | 54.03 | 54.42 | 54.81 |

## 1. Introduction

- EW+QCD:0.4-1.3\% $\left(\alpha(0), \alpha\left(M_{z}\right), G_{\mu}\right)$ (Q..f.Sun, Phys.Rev.D 96,051301(2017))

EW+QCD:1.3\% ( $\left.\overline{M S}, \alpha\left(M_{z}\right)\right)($ Q.F.Sun, Phys.Rev.D 96,051301(2017))

- EW+EW: ~1\% (arxiv:1906.05379) (25377 diagrams(arxiv:2102.15213))
challenging type: 2250 diagrams with 7 denominators, 4 independent mass scale, 2 independent energy scale diagrams with closed fermion loop dominant due to large top-quark Yukawa coupling and large number of fermions in SM
$\rightarrow$ planar \& Non-planar diagrams with closed top-quark loop (18+9)


## 1. Introduction


$e \quad e \rightarrow Z H$


Planar double-box diagrams


## 1. Introduction

- Analytical calculation: can be done for 1-loop, but difficult in 2-loop: require more knowledge about special functions(harmonic polylogarithmic functions, iterated elliptic integrals)
- Numerical calculation: use Feynman parametrization. Box diagram is equal to integration over 6 Feynman parameters. It requires large computing resources and takes few days because the integrand converges slowly
(F. Yuasa et al; Comput. Phys. Commun. 183, 2136-2144 (2012))

$$
I_{\text {planar }}=-\int_{0}^{1} d \rho \int_{0}^{1} d \xi \int_{0}^{1} d u_{1} \int_{0}^{1-u_{1}} d u_{2} \int_{0}^{1} d u_{3} \int_{0}^{1-u 3} d u_{4} \frac{\mathcal{C}}{(\mathcal{D}-i \epsilon \mathcal{C})^{3}} \rho^{3} \xi^{2}(1-\xi)^{2}
$$

- Our method: simplify the integrand with Feynman parametrization and dispersion relation. The box diagram is reduced to 3 -fold integration, which takes few minutes to calculate.


## 1. Introduction



- Feynman diagrams $\rightarrow$ FeynArts (т. Hahn, Comput. Phys. Commun. 140, 418 (2001). [hep-ph/0012260])
- Square amplitude $\rightarrow$ FeynCalc ( $\operatorname{dim}=4$ )
(V. Shtabovenko, R. Mertig and F. Orellana, "FeynCalc 9.3: New features and improvements", arXiv:2001.04407.)
- (...) $\rightarrow$ dispersion relation and Feynman parameterization(Mathematica)
- Numerical calculation $\rightarrow$ C++, LoopTools, Gauss-Kronrod quadrature In Boost package
(Comput.Phys.Commun.118(1999)153)
(https://www.boost.org/doc/libs/master/libs/math/doc/html/index .html)


## 2. Evaluation Method - planar diagram

According to Feynman rules, the amplitude for planar diagram can be written as $I_{\text {plan }}$.
Use Feynman parametrization to simplify the denominators only involve q2
Feynman parametrizaiton: $\frac{1}{a b c}=\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \frac{1}{(a x+b y+c(1-x-y))^{3}}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{p l a n}=\int d_{q_{1}}^{D} d_{q_{2}}^{D} \frac{1}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{V_{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{V_{2}}^{2}\right)\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}} \\
& \quad \underbrace{1-x d y \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \frac{1}{\left(q_{2}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}}}_{\left.\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \frac{1}{\left(\left(q_{2}^{2}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}}=\int_{0}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{2}+k_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{t}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{2}+k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{t}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$



$$
=\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \int d_{q_{1}}^{D} \frac{B_{0}\left(\left(q_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}, m^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{V_{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{V_{2}}^{2}\right)}
$$

Loop momentum q1 appears in B0 functions, so cannot integrate over q1. $\rightarrow$ use dispersion relation to put q1 outside BO function

## 2. Evaluation Method - planar diagram

dispersion relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{0}\left(p^{2}, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{C} d_{\sigma} \frac{B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}\right)}{\sigma-p^{2}-i \varepsilon} \\
& =\int_{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \frac{\Delta B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}\right)}{\sigma-p^{2}-i \epsilon} \\
& =\int_{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im} B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}\right)}{\sigma-p^{2}-i \epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
I_{\mathrm{plan}}=\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \int_{\left(m^{\prime}+m_{q^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \Delta B_{0}\left(s, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right) D_{0}(\ldots, \sigma)
$$

$$
\left.=\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \int_{\left(m^{\prime}+m_{q^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \Delta B_{0}\left(s, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(D_{0}(\ldots, \sigma)-\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} D_{0}\left(\ldots, \sigma_{0}\right)\right)\right)
$$

$$
+\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \sigma_{0} D_{0}\left(\ldots, \sigma_{0}\right) \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} B_{0}\left(0, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)
$$

## 2. Evaluation Method - non-planar diagram

Similarly, use Feynman parametrization to simplify the denominators including q2 and integrating loop momentum q2 gives BO function

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{N P} & =\int d_{q_{1}}^{D} d_{q_{2}}^{D} \frac{1}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{V_{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{V_{2}}^{2}\right)} \\
& \underbrace{\left.\left.1-q_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}-q_{2}+k_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)}_{\int_{0}^{1} d x \partial_{m^{\prime} \frac{1}{1}} \frac{1}{\left(\left(q_{1}-q_{2}+(1-x) k_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{\prime 2}\right.}} \underbrace{1}_{\left.\int_{0}^{1} d y \partial_{m^{\prime} \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{\left(q_{2}+y k_{2}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}}}^{\left(q_{2}^{2}\right.}-m_{t}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{2}+k_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{t}^{2}\right)} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \partial_{m_{1}^{\prime 2}} \partial_{m^{\prime 2}} \int d_{q_{1}}^{D} B_{0}\left(\left(q 1+(1-x) k_{1}+y k_{2}\right)^{2}, m_{1}^{\prime 2}, m_{2}^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{V_{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{V_{2}}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$



## 2. Evaluation Method - non-planar diagram

- For non-planar double box including $\gamma \gamma, \gamma Z, Z Z$, use the same dispersion relation as planar diagram
- For non-planar double-box including WW:
$m_{1}^{\prime 2}=m_{b}^{2}-x(1-x) m_{Z}^{2}<0$
Branch cut changes, we use a new dispersion relation
$B_{0}\left(p^{2}, m^{\prime}{ }_{1}^{2}, m^{\prime 2}{ }_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{C} d \sigma \frac{B_{0}\left(\sigma, m^{\prime}{ }_{1}^{2}, m^{\prime}{ }_{2}^{2}\right)}{\sigma-p^{2}-i \varepsilon}$
$=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \sigma \frac{B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{\prime 2}, m_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)}{\sigma-p^{2}-i \epsilon}$
$I_{N P-W W}=\frac{-1}{2 \pi i} \int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \sigma \partial_{m_{1}^{\prime 2}} \partial_{m^{\prime 2}} B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{\prime 2}, m_{2}^{\prime 2}\right) D 0(\ldots, \sigma-i \epsilon)$



## 2. Evaluation Method

$$
I=\underbrace{\int d x \int d y \int d \sigma}_{\text {Gauss-kronrod quadrature(Boost) }} \underbrace{B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}\right) \text { or } \Delta B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}\right)}_{\text {analytical expression is known }} \times \underbrace{\left(c_{A} A_{0}+c_{B} B_{0}+c_{C} C_{0} \ldots\right)}_{\text {LoopTools package }}
$$

- Programming using C++
- Running time: few minutes to half an hour(non-planar WW)
- Advantages: low requirement of computer, short running time
- Precision: 4-digit, the precision is confined by the Looptools(double-precision)
- Stability: integrand is smooth


## 3. Result: instability

- Upper and lower bound of the integrand, $\delta \sim 10^{-3}, \Lambda \sim 10^{8}$

$$
\int_{\sigma_{0}}^{\infty} f(\sigma)=\int_{\sigma_{0}(1+\delta)}^{\Lambda} f(\sigma)+2 \sigma_{0} \delta f\left(\sigma_{0} \delta\right)+\Lambda f(\Lambda)
$$

- For non-planar diagram, the Gram determinants(tensor decomposition arxiv:0812.2134[hepph])for some Passarino-Veltman tensor functions vanish when $x$ is equal to $y$, and Looptools is not able to give a number.
a) separate the integration region of $x:(0,0.5)(0.5,1)$
b) separate the integration region of $y$ : $(0, x-\delta),(x-\delta, x+\delta),(x+\delta, 1) \delta=10^{-2,-3, \ldots}$
- For non-planar diagram with W bosons, $\sigma-i \epsilon, \epsilon \sim 10^{-9}|\sigma|$ or $\epsilon \sim 10^{-5}$


## 3. Result

few minutes

| Parameter | Value |
| :---: | :---: |
| $M_{Z}$ | 91.1876 GeV |
| $M_{W}$ | 80.379 GeV |
| $M_{H}$ | 125.1 GeV |
| $m_{t}$ | 172.76 GeV |
| $\alpha$ | $1 / 137$ |
| $E_{C M}$ | 240 GeV |
| $m_{\gamma}$ | $10^{-6} \mathrm{GeV}$ |
| $\theta$ | $\pi / 2$ |


| $V_{1} V_{2}$ diagr. class | $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\mathcal{M}_{2} \mathcal{M}_{0}^{*}\right\}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\gamma \gamma$ | $-1.524(1) \times 10^{-7}$ |
| $\gamma Z$ | $-1.537(1) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $Z Z$ planar | $-4.402(4) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $Z Z$ non-planar | $1.724(2) \times 10^{-8}$ |
| $W W$ planar | $-1.1392(8) \times 10^{-6}$ |
| $W W$ non-planar | $-5.577(5) \times 10^{-7}$ |

regulate the IR divergence, no UV divergence

## 3. Result



Dependence of the $\gamma \gamma$ (left) and $\gamma Z$ (right) two-loop boxes on the photon mass $m_{\gamma}$

## 3. Result
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## 3. Summary

- Double-box diagrams can be efficiently evaluated by Feynman parametrization and dispersion relation (For non-planar diagrams with 2 W bosons, dispersion relation is different from other diagrams)
- Takes few minutes for numerical calculation. For non-planar diagrams with 2 W bosons, takes half an hour.
- IR divergence is controlled by giving photon a small mass without loss of numerical precision.
- The evaluation method can also be applied for the calculation of electroweak corrections to other $2 \rightarrow 2$ process, such as $e^{+} e^{-} \rightarrow W^{+} W^{-}$

Thank you!

## 2. Evaluation Method - planar diagram

According to Feynman rules, the amplitude for planar diagram can be written as $I_{\text {plan }}$. Use Feynman parametrization to simplify the denominators only involve q2

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{\text {plan }}=\int d_{q_{1}}^{D} d_{q_{2}}^{D} \frac{1}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{V_{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{V_{2}}^{2}\right)\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}} \\
& \underbrace{\frac{1}{\left(q_{2}^{2}-m_{t}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{2}+k_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{t}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{2}+k_{1}+k_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{t}^{2}\right)}}_{\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \frac{1}{\left(\left(q_{2}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}\right)^{3}}=\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \partial_{m^{\prime}}^{2} \frac{1}{\left(q_{2}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}}} \\
& \text { Feynman parametrizaiton: } \frac{1}{a b c}=\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \frac{1}{(a x+b y+c(1-x-y))^{3}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Evaluation Method - planar diagram

Integrating over loop momentum q2 gets B0 function:

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\text {plan }} & =\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \int d_{q_{1}}^{D} d_{q_{2}}^{D} \frac{1}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{V_{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{V_{2}}^{2}\right)} \\
& \frac{1}{\left(\left(q_{1}-q_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)} \frac{1}{\left(q_{2}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \int d_{q_{1}}^{D} \frac{B_{0}\left(\left(q_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}, m^{\prime 2}\right)}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{V_{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{V_{2}}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$

Loop momentum q1 appears in B0 functions, so cannot integrate over q1.
$\rightarrow$ use dispersion relation to put q1 outside B0 function


## 2. Evaluation Method - planar diagram

dispersion relation:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{0}\left(p^{2}, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{C} d_{\sigma} \frac{B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}\right)}{\sigma-p^{2}-i \varepsilon} \\
& =\int_{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \frac{\Delta B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}\right)}{\sigma-p^{2}-i \epsilon} \\
& =\int_{\left(m_{1}+m_{2}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \frac{1}{\pi} \frac{\operatorname{Im} B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{2}, m_{2}^{2}\right)}{\sigma-p^{2}-i \epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$



## 2. Evaluation Method - planar diagram

Integrating over q1 gets the D0 function.
Use Leibiniz's rule to put the derivative inside the integral: $\Delta B_{0}$ is divergent at the lower bound, it can be fixed by subtracting one term to make the integrand become 0 at the lower bound.

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{p l a n} & =\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \int_{\left(m^{\prime}+m_{q^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \int d_{q_{1}}^{D} \Delta B_{0}\left(s, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{V_{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{V_{2}}^{2}\right)\left(s-\left(q_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}\right)} \\
& =-\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \int_{\left(m^{\prime}+m_{q^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \Delta B_{0}\left(\sigma, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right) D_{0}\left(p_{1}^{2}, p_{2}^{2}, k_{2}^{\prime 2}, k_{1}^{\prime 2}, s, t, m_{V_{1}}^{2}, m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}, m_{V_{2}}^{2}, \sigma\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Leibiniz's rule:

$$
\frac{d}{d x}\left(\int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} f(x, t) d t\right)=\int_{a(x)}^{b(x)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} f(x, t) d t+f(x, b(x)) \frac{d b(x)}{d x}-f(x, a(x)) \frac{d a(x)}{d x}
$$

## 2. Evaluation Method - planar diagram

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \int_{\left(m^{\prime}+m_{q^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \Delta B_{0}\left(\sigma, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(D_{0}(\ldots, \sigma)-\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} D_{0}\left(\ldots, \sigma_{0}\right)+\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} D_{0}\left(\ldots, \sigma_{0}\right)\right) \\
& =\partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \int_{\left(m^{\prime}+m_{q^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \Delta B_{0}\left(\sigma, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(D_{0}(\ldots, \sigma)-\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} D_{0}\left(\ldots, \sigma_{0}\right)\right) \quad \rightarrow 0 \text { at the lower bound, so derivative van be put } \\
& \text { inside the integral }
\end{aligned} \begin{aligned}
& +\partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \int_{\left(m^{\prime}+m_{q^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \Delta B_{0}\left(\sigma, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} D_{0}\left(\ldots, \sigma_{0}\right) \rightarrow \text { integrate over } \sigma \text { gives } B_{0}\left(0, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \text { (dispersion relation) } \\
& I_{\text {plan }}=\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \int_{\left(m^{\prime}+m_{q^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \Delta B_{0}\left(s, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right) D_{0}\left(p_{1}^{2}, p_{2}^{2},,_{2}^{\prime 2},,_{1}^{\prime 2}, s, t, m_{V_{1}}^{2}, m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}, m_{V_{2}}^{2}, \sigma\right) \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \int_{\left(m^{\prime}+m_{q^{\prime}}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} \Delta B_{0}\left(s, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(D_{0}(\ldots, \sigma)-\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} D_{0}\left(\ldots, \sigma_{0}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1-x} d y \sigma_{0} D_{0}\left(\ldots, \sigma_{0}\right) \partial_{m^{\prime 2}}^{2} B_{0}\left(0, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Evaluation Method - planar diagram

If the numerator is not equal to 1 , integrating over $q 2$ gets $B 1, B 00, B 11$ functions. They have same dispersion relation as BO.
For example, num $=p_{1} \cdot q_{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { num }=p_{1} \cdot q_{2},\left(p_{1} \cdot q_{2}\right)\left(k_{1} \cdot q_{2}\right) \ldots \neq 1 \\
& \int d^{D} q_{2} \frac{p_{1} \cdot q_{2}}{\left(q_{2}^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(q_{2}+q_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}}=p_{1 \mu} \int d^{D} q_{2} \frac{q_{2}^{\mu}}{\left(q_{2}^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(q_{2}+q_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}} \\
& =p_{1 \mu} B_{\mu}\left(\left(q_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \\
& =\underbrace{p_{1 \mu}\left(p_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)_{\mu}}_{p_{1} \cdot\left(p_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)} B_{1}\left(\left(q_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}, m^{\prime 2}, m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right) \Rightarrow \frac{p_{1} \cdot\left(p_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)}{\sigma-\left(q_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}} \int d \sigma \Delta B_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int d^{D} q_{2} \frac{q_{2}^{\mu} q_{2}^{\nu}}{\left(q_{2}^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(q_{2}+q_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}} & \Rightarrow B_{00}, B_{11} \Rightarrow \int d \sigma \Delta B_{00}, \int d \sigma \Delta B_{11} \\
\int d^{D} q_{2} \frac{q_{2}^{\mu} q_{2}^{\nu}, q_{2}^{\rho}}{\left(q_{2}^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(q_{2}+q_{1}+k^{\prime}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}} & \Rightarrow B_{\substack{001 \\
\text { LoopFest2021 }}}, B_{111} \Rightarrow \int d \sigma \Delta B_{001}, \int d \sigma \Delta B_{111}
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Evaluation Method - non-planar diagram

Similarly, use Feynman parametrization to simplify the denominators including q2 and integrating loop momentum q2 gives BO function

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{N P} & =\int d_{q_{1}}^{D} d_{q_{2}}^{D} \frac{1}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{V_{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{V_{2}}^{2}\right)} \\
& \underbrace{\left.\left.1-q_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}-q_{2}+k_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{q^{\prime}}^{2}\right)}_{\int_{0}^{1} d x \partial_{m^{\prime} \frac{1}{1}} \frac{1}{\left(\left(q_{1}-q_{2}+(1-x) k_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{1}^{\prime 2}\right.}} \underbrace{1}_{\left.\int_{0}^{1} d y \partial_{m^{\prime} \frac{2}{2} \frac{1}{\left(q_{2}+y k_{2}\right)^{2}-m^{\prime 2}}}^{\left(q_{2}^{2}\right.}-m_{t}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{2}+k_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{t}^{2}\right)} \\
& =\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \partial_{m_{1}^{\prime 2}} \partial_{m^{\prime 2}} \int d_{q_{1}}^{D} B_{0}\left(\left(q 1+(1-x) k_{1}+y k_{2}\right)^{2}, m_{1}^{\prime 2}, m_{2}^{\prime 2}\right) \\
& \frac{1}{\left(q_{1}^{2}-m_{V_{1}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}\right)^{2}-m_{f^{\prime}}^{2}\right)\left(\left(q_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}-m_{V_{2}}^{2}\right)}
\end{aligned}
$$



## 2. Evaluation Method - non-planar diagram

For non-planar double box including $\gamma \gamma, \gamma Z, Z Z$, use the same dispersion relation. Put the derivative inside the integral by subtracting $\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} D_{0}$ and add it back

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{N P(\gamma \gamma, \gamma Z, Z Z)} & =\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \int_{\left(m_{1}^{\prime}+m_{2}^{\prime}\right)^{2}}^{\infty} d \sigma \partial_{m_{1}^{\prime 2}} \partial_{m_{2}^{\prime 2}} \Delta B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{\prime 2}, m_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)\left(D_{0}(\ldots, \sigma)-\frac{\sigma_{0}}{\sigma} D_{0}\left(\ldots, \sigma_{0}\right)\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \sigma_{0} D_{0}\left(\ldots, \sigma_{0}\right) \partial_{m_{1}^{\prime 2}} \partial_{m_{2}^{\prime 2}} B_{0}\left(0, m_{1}^{\prime 2}, m_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

## 2. Evaluation Method - non-planar diagram

For non-planar double-box including WW:

$$
m_{1}^{\prime 2}=m_{b}^{2}-x(1-x) m_{Z}^{2}<0
$$

Branch cut changes, we use a new dispersion relation.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B_{0}\left(p^{2}, m_{1}^{\prime 2}, m_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \oint_{C} d \sigma \frac{B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{\prime 2}, m_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)}{\sigma-p^{2}-i \varepsilon} \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi i} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \sigma \frac{B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{\prime 2}, m_{2}^{\prime 2}\right)}{\sigma-p^{2}-i \epsilon}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
I_{N P-W W}=\frac{-1}{2 \pi i} \int_{0}^{1} d x \int_{0}^{1} d y \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d \sigma \partial_{m_{1}^{\prime 2}} \partial_{m_{2}^{\prime 2}} B_{0}\left(\sigma, m_{1}^{\prime 2}, m_{2}^{\prime 2}\right) D 0(\ldots, \sigma-i \epsilon)
$$



