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## Overview

We computed massless 5-loop p-integrals in $4-2 \epsilon$ dimensions.

they depend on a single external momenta $p$, which we choose as $p^{2}=1$, as $\left(p^{2}\right)^{-\omega}$ where $\omega=\sum a_{i}-\ell d / 2$.
Then a general p -integral P can be written as

$$
P(d)=\left(p^{2}\right)^{-\omega(P)} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} c_{n}(P) \varepsilon^{j}
$$

where all the $c_{n}$ for our case are rational numbers, $\zeta(i)$ or $\zeta(i, j)$ values.
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- I-loop p-integrals can be used to compute I+1-loop counterterms.
- $\beta$-functions in different theories.
- They appear as boundary conditions in differential equations.
- Can be used for computing different physical quantities (structure constants, anomalous dimension, etc).


## Motivation

- I-loop p-integrals can be used to compute I+1-loop counterterms.
- $\beta$-functions in different theories.
- They appear as boundary conditions in differential equations.
- Can be used for computing different physical quantities (structure constants, anomalous dimension, etc).
- Cross-check that our results for $\hat{\zeta}(i)$ and $\hat{\zeta}(i, j)$ transformation hold for all p-integrals (not only position space) and compute the missing momentum space non-planar contribution.


## How?

We want to use the Glue-and-Cut method to compute the p-integrals.

## Glue-and-Cut

Let us consider a vacuum integrals with $\omega=0$ and no other sub-divergences.

$$
=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D} p}{p^{2}+m^{2}} \frac{P(\epsilon)}{\left(p^{2}\right)^{1+\epsilon \epsilon}}=\frac{c_{0}}{(I+1) \epsilon}+\mathrm{O}(\epsilon)
$$

The value of the p -integral is then:


By cutting different edges we can construct convergent p-integrals that have the same value at $\epsilon^{0}$ order.

We want to use the Glue-and-Cut method to compute the p-integrals.
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- Generate vacuum 6-loop graphs. Check for "convergence" of vacuum integrals, allowing also for numerators.


## Vacuum integrals

We need vacuum integrals with at least 12 propagators. This is the minimal number in 4 dimensions to have $\omega=0$. Higher number of propagators can be generated by adding numerators.
For our computation we used convergent vacuum integrals with up to 2 numerators and 14 propagators.
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We now need to cut all the possible vacuum integrals.


In order to produce p-integrals with a higher number of propagators we also have to blow up higher valence vertices.


We then need to map all the p-integrals into one of the 64 possible 3 -valent maximal topologies.

## How?

We want to use the Glue-and-Cut method to compute the p-integrals.

- Generate vacuum 6-loop graphs. Check for "convergence" of vacuum integrals, allowing also for numerators.
- Construct all possible cuts. Map integrals to a specific p-integral family.


## How?

We want to use the Glue-and-Cut method to compute the p-integrals.

- Generate vacuum 6-loop graphs. Check for "convergence" of vacuum integrals, allowing also for numerators.
- Construct all possible cuts. Map integrals to a specific p-integral family.
- Apply constraints and equivalence of the different cuts of the same vacuum integral.
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## Constraints

How can we learn something from the equivalence of finite p-Integrals? With integral by parts reductions!

- IBPs are integration of a total derivative:

$$
0=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{D} \ell_{1}}{\mathrm{i} \pi^{D / 2}} \cdots \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{D} \ell_{L}}{\mathrm{i} \pi^{D / 2}} \sum_{j=1}^{L} \frac{\partial}{\partial \ell_{j}^{\mu}} \frac{v_{j}^{\mu}}{D_{1}^{\nu_{1}} \cdots D_{m}^{\nu_{m}}},
$$

- They can be used to express a general integral as a combination of Master Integrals:

$$
\mathrm{I}=\sum_{i=1}^{N} d_{i} \mathrm{I}_{i}
$$

## Constraints

The constraints are then:

- Degree of divergence of a I-loop 2-point integral does not exceed I. Important as IBP coefficients can have poles in 4-2 .
- Cancellation of poles as p-integrals obtain through cutting are finite.
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The spurious pole give a constrain on two epsilon orders. Convergence of the starting integral and the insertion of the value of the trivial integral $M_{\text {Dbubble }}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}$ gives:

$$
M_{\text {Sun }}=-\frac{1}{4 \epsilon}-\frac{5}{8}-\frac{27}{16} \epsilon
$$

## Constraints: Example

Reduction of two-loop propagator integral


The spurious pole give a constrain on two epsilon orders. Convergence of the starting integral and the insertion of the value of the trivial integral $M_{\text {Dbubble }}=\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}$ gives:

$$
M_{\text {Sun }}=-\frac{1}{4 \epsilon}-\frac{5}{8}-\frac{27}{16} \epsilon
$$

Same holds at higher loops

## Constraints

The constraints are then:

- Degree of divergence of a l-loop 2-point integral does not exceed I. Important as IBP coefficients can have poles in 4-2 .
- Cancellation of poles as p-integrals obtain through cutting are finite.
- Different cuts of the same vacuum integral are the same.
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The constraints are then:

- Degree of divergence of a I-loop 2-point integral does not exceed I. Important as IBP coefficients can have poles in $4-2 \epsilon$.
- Cancellation of poles as p-integrals obtain through cutting are finite.
- Different cuts of the same vacuum integral are the same.

With this constraint we are able to relate all the coefficients of 5-loop master integrals, up to transcendental weight 9, to recursive 1-loop integrals and a product integral.

## Recursive 1-loop

By recursively applying the bubble integration we can compute several integrals that appear at all loops.

$$
\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{d} \ell}{\pi^{d / 2}} \frac{1}{\ell^{2 a}(p-\ell)^{2 b}}=\left(p^{2}\right)^{d / 2-a-b} G(a, b)
$$

with $G$ defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(a, b)=\frac{\Gamma(a+b-d / 2) \Gamma(d / 2-a) \Gamma(d / 2-b)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(d-a-b)} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$
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\begin{equation*}
G(a, b)=\frac{\Gamma(a+b-d / 2) \Gamma(d / 2-a) \Gamma(d / 2-b)}{\Gamma(a) \Gamma(b) \Gamma(d-a-b)} . \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
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For example we can compute the sunset diagram at two loops:


The same recursion can be done to compute the general watermelon diagram at each loop.
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- Degree of divergence of a I-loop 2-point integral does not exceed I. Important as IBP coefficients can have poles in $4-2 \epsilon$.
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## representation

There seem to exist a ( $\epsilon$ dependent) redefinition of the $\zeta$ values such that the $\pi$ dependent terms cancel for all the p-integrals.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\zeta}(3) & =\zeta(3)+\frac{3 \varepsilon}{2} \zeta(4)-\frac{5 \varepsilon^{3}}{2} \zeta(6)+\frac{21 \varepsilon^{5}}{2} \zeta(8), \\
\hat{\zeta}(5) & =\zeta(5)+\frac{5 \varepsilon}{2} \zeta(6)-\frac{35 \varepsilon^{3}}{4} \zeta(8), \\
\hat{\zeta}(7) & =\zeta(7)+\frac{7 \varepsilon}{2} \zeta(8), \\
\hat{\zeta}(3,5) & =\zeta(3,5)-\frac{29}{12} \zeta(8)-\frac{15 \varepsilon}{2} \zeta(4) \zeta(5), \\
\hat{\zeta}(9) & =\zeta(9) .
\end{aligned}
$$
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\end{aligned}
$$

This type of relations, through the no- $\pi$ theorem are related to some cancellations/relations of $\pi$ terms in correlations functions, anomalous dimensions and $\beta$-functions.

## Conclusions \& Outlook

- Using the Glue-and-Cut method we were able to compute all the 5-loop 2 point master integral. Our result are up to transcendental weight $\zeta(9)$, which is the $\epsilon^{0}$ order of the finite p -integrals.
- We have checked that our $\hat{\zeta}$ representation works also for momentum space p-integrals in the non-planar case.


## Conclusions \& Outlook

- Using the Glue-and-Cut method we were able to compute all the 5-loop 2 point master integral. Our result are up to transcendental weight $\zeta(9)$, which is the $\epsilon^{0}$ order of the finite p -integrals.
- We have checked that our $\hat{\zeta}$ representation works also for momentum space p -integrals in the non-planar case.
- A major bottleneck are the IBP reductions. If one would like to push this to higher loops we need better tools.
- Would be interesting to apply the same method to different dimensions, for example $d=3$.


## Thank You!

