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## Physics motivation

- Precise understanding of top quark.
- Analytic form of 2-loop t̄̄gg amplitudes is important. What are the mathematical properties?
- How complicated is the use of these amplitudes, i.e. numerical evaluation, stability etc?


## State of the art

- Fully differential NNLO predictions for $t \bar{t}$ production available for comparison with experimental data. [Bämreutier, Crakon, Mitov, '12]
[Czakon, Mitov, '12][Czakon, Fiedler, Mitov, '13] [Czakon, Heymes, Mitov, '15].
- Complete 2-loop amplitudes have been computed only numerically [Czakon, '08] [Bärnreuther, Czakon, Fiedler, '13] [Chen, Czakon, Poncelet, '17] •
- More complicated class of special functions begin to appear with amplitudes containing internal masses.
- These functions have been identified to involve integrals over elliptic curves [Adams, E.C., Weinzierl, ${ }^{177]}$ [Bogner, Schweitzer, Weinzierl, ${ }^{177]}$ [Broedel, Duhr, Dulat, Marzucca, Penante, Tancredi, '19] [Abreu, Becchetti, Duhr, Marzucca, '19].


## Highlights

- We discuss a set of helicity amplitudes for top-quark pair production in the leading colour approximation.
- A compact helicity amplitudes obtained by sampling Feynman diagrams with finite field arithmetic [Peraro, ${ }^{19]}$.
- The helicity amplitudes contain complete information about top quark decays in the narrow width approximation.
- One 2-loop integral topology containing two elliptic curves previously unknown presented.
- Numerical evaluation of the amplitudes presented.


## The setup

$0 \rightarrow \bar{t}\left(p_{1}\right)+t\left(p_{2}\right)+g\left(p_{3}\right)+g\left(p_{4}\right)$

$$
p_{1}^{2}=p_{2}^{2}=m_{t}^{2}, \quad p_{3}^{2}=p_{4}^{3}=0
$$

$$
s=\left(p_{1}+p_{2}\right)^{2}, \quad t=\left(p_{2}+p_{3}\right)^{2},
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& A^{(L)}\left(1_{\bar{t}}, 2_{t}, 3_{g}, 4_{g}\right)=n^{L} g_{s}^{2}\left[\left(T^{a_{3}} T^{a_{4}}\right)_{i_{2}}^{\overline{1}_{1}} A^{(L)}\left(1_{\bar{t}}, 2_{t}, 3_{g}, 4_{g}\right)+(3 \leftrightarrow 4)\right] \\
& A^{(1)}\left(1_{\bar{t}}, 2_{t}, 3_{g}, 4_{g}\right)=N_{c} A^{(1), 1}+N_{l} A^{(1), N_{l}}+N_{h} A^{(1), N_{h}},
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
A^{(2)}\left(1_{\bar{t}}, 2_{t}, 3_{g}, 4_{g}\right)=N_{c}^{2} A^{(2), 1}+N_{c} N_{l} A^{(2), N_{l}}+N_{c} N_{h} A^{(2), N_{h}}
$$

$$
+N_{l}^{2} A^{(2), N_{l}^{2}}+N_{l} N_{h} A^{(2), N_{l} N_{h}}+N_{h}^{2} A^{(2), N_{h}^{2}}
$$



$$
A^{(1), 1}
$$



$$
A^{(2), 1}
$$


$A^{(2), N_{l}^{2}}$

$A^{(1), N_{l}}$

$A^{(2), N_{l} N_{h}}$

$A^{(1), N_{h}}$



## Amplitude Reduction <br> See also Bayu's talk

After colour ordering and helicity amplitude processing:

$$
A^{(L), h}=\int \prod_{j=1}^{L} d^{d} k_{j} \frac{N_{T}^{h}}{\prod_{\alpha \in T} D_{\alpha}}
$$

Suitable for IBP:

$$
A^{(L), h}=\sum_{T} \sum_{i} c_{T, i}^{h} G_{T, i}
$$

In terms of Master Integrals (MIs):

$$
A^{(L), h}=\sum_{k} c_{k}^{\mathrm{IBP}, h} \mathrm{MI}_{k}
$$

In terms of special functions:

$$
A^{(L), h}=\sum_{k} \sum_{l=n(L)}^{0} \epsilon^{l} c_{k l}^{h} m_{k}+O(\epsilon)
$$

## Iterated integrals

K. T. Chen, '77

$$
I_{\gamma}\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{k} ; \lambda\right)=\int_{0}^{\lambda} d \lambda_{1} f_{1}\left(\lambda_{1}\right) \int_{0}^{\lambda_{1}} d \lambda_{2} f_{2}\left(\lambda_{2}\right) \ldots \int_{0}^{\lambda_{k-1}} d \lambda_{k} f_{k}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)
$$

Multiple polylogarithms (MPLs) [Goncharov, '11]:

$$
G\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{k} ; y\right)=\int_{0}^{y} \frac{d t_{1}}{t_{1}-z_{1}} \int_{0}^{t_{1}} \frac{d t_{2}}{t_{2}-z_{2}} \ldots \int_{0}^{t_{k-1}} \frac{d t_{k}}{t_{k}-z_{k}}
$$



Elliptic polylogarithms [Adams, Bogner, Weinzierl, '14, '15] [Bloch, Vanhove, '13] [Broedel, Duhr, Dulat, Tancredi, '17] [Brown, Levin,'11]

$$
\tilde{\Gamma} \Gamma\left(\begin{array}{l}
n_{1} \ldots n_{k}
\end{array} z_{z_{k}} ; z ; \tau\right)=\int_{0}^{z} d z^{\prime} g^{\left(n_{1}\right)}\left(z^{\prime}-z_{1}, \tau\right) \tilde{\Gamma}\left(\begin{array}{l}
z_{2} \ldots z_{k}
\end{array} n_{2} ; z ; \tau\right)
$$

Dependence of iterated integrals on elliptic curves enter through the appearance of elliptic periods in the integration kernels in $f_{j}(\lambda) d \lambda=\gamma^{*} \omega_{j}$.

## Canonical form for DEs

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d \vec{I}=A \vec{I}, \quad d A-A \wedge A=0 \\
& \vec{J}=U \vec{I}, \quad d \vec{J}(x, \epsilon)=\epsilon(d \tilde{A}) \vec{J}(x . \epsilon) \\
& \tilde{A}=\sum_{k} A_{k} \log \alpha_{k}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

Find a basis that brings DEs to canonical form [Henn, '13].

For algebraic cases (involving roots), transformation to a canonical form may involve: algebraic functions in kinematic variables, period of the elliptic curve and their derivatives [Adams, Weinzierl, '18].

For example,

$$
J=\epsilon^{3} \frac{(1-x)^{2}}{x} \frac{\pi}{\psi},
$$

## Top loop integrals

MIs of topbox solved using DEs \& expressed as iterated integrals in [Adams, E.C., Weinzierl, '18].


$$
\begin{aligned}
& E^{(a)}: w^{2}=(z-t)\left(z-t+4 m^{2}\right)\left(z^{2}+2 m^{2} z-4 m^{2} t+m^{4}\right) \\
& E^{(b)}: w^{2}=(z-t)\left(z-t+4 m^{2}\right)\left(z^{2}+2 m^{2} z-4 m^{2} t+m^{4}-\frac{4 m^{2}\left(m^{2}-t\right)^{2}}{s}\right) \\
& E^{(c)}: w^{2}=(z-t)\left(z-t+4 m^{2}\right)\left(z^{2}+\frac{2 m^{2}(s+4 t)}{\left(s-4 m^{2}\right)} z+\frac{s m^{2}\left(m^{2}-4 t\right)-4 m^{2} t^{2}}{s-4 m^{2}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

DE system simplifies for $t=m^{2}$ as well as for $s=\infty$.

- For $t=m^{2}$ MIs expressible in terms of MPLs.
- For $s=\infty$ MIs expressible in terms of iterated integrals of kernels of sunrise.


## New integrals

Feynman diagram contributing to $A^{(2), N_{h}}$
leads to new MIs.
Two integrals previously missing:


Canonical DEs for these MIs:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& J^{21}=-\epsilon^{4}(1-y) M_{21}, \\
& J^{22}=-\epsilon^{4} \frac{(1-x)^{2}(1-y)}{x} M_{22} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This family is also associated to elliptic curves due to sub-sectors from topbox.

## Results

All four orders of $J_{21}: \quad J_{21}^{(0)}=0, \quad J_{21}^{(1)}=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{21}^{(2)}= & 0, \quad J_{21}^{(3)}=0, \\
J_{21}^{(4)}= & -\frac{\pi^{4}}{60}+(G(0, y)-2 G(1, y)) \zeta_{3}+G(0,0,0,1, y)-2 G(1,0,0,1, y) \\
& +\frac{\pi^{2}}{36} I_{\gamma}\left(g_{0}, f_{3} ; \lambda\right)+\frac{1}{18} I_{\gamma}\left(g_{0}, f_{3}, \eta_{0}^{(a)}, f_{3} ; \lambda\right), \\
& \underbrace{}_{\text {Sunrise kernels }}
\end{aligned}
$$

The kernels are given by: $g_{0}=d y \frac{y+3}{y(1-y)}, \quad f_{3}=\frac{\left(3 d y \psi_{1}^{a}\right)}{\pi}, \quad \eta_{0}^{(a)}=-\frac{\left(2 d y \pi^{2}\right)}{\psi_{1}^{a^{2}}(-9+y)(-1+y) y}$
Results for $J_{22}$ given by:

$$
\begin{aligned}
J_{22}^{(0)} & =0, \quad J_{22}^{(1)}=0, \\
J_{22}^{(2)} & =-\frac{1}{2} G(0,0, x), \\
J_{22}^{(3)} & =G(1, y) G(0,0, x)+3 G(0,-1,0, x)-\frac{3}{2} G(0,0,0, x)+\frac{\pi^{2}}{4} G(0, x) \\
& +\frac{9}{2} \zeta_{3} . \\
J_{22}^{(4)} & =I_{\gamma}\left(\ldots, \eta^{\frac{b}{a}}, \ldots\right)+\ldots
\end{aligned} \underbrace{}_{\text {Topbox kernels }}
$$

$$
\eta^{\frac{a}{b}}=f(x, y) \frac{\psi_{1}^{(b)}}{\psi_{1}^{(a)}} d x+g(x, y) \frac{\psi_{1}^{(b)}}{\psi_{1}^{(a)}} d y
$$

## Numerical evaluation

Series expand the kernels around some points to compute iterated integrations.

Use the properties of iterated integrals.
Path decomposition formula,
$\int_{a b} I\left(\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{n} ; \lambda\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{n} \int_{b} I\left(\omega_{1} \ldots \omega_{i} ; \lambda\right) \int_{a} I\left(\omega_{i+1} \ldots \omega_{n} ; \lambda\right)$,

where 0 -fold integrals are defined by $I_{\gamma}(; \lambda)=1$.

Need to make sure singularities of the kernels taken into account.
Properties of integrals often make evident which path to choose.

## Euclidean region

For Euclidean region, $x=\frac{7}{120}, \quad y=\frac{10}{11} \quad \frac{s}{m_{t}^{2}}=-\frac{(1-x)^{2}}{x}, \quad \frac{t}{m_{t}^{2}}=y$
we may choose $a:=d y=0, \quad b:=d x=0$

Series expand the kernels along $b$.

Use path decomposition formula iteratively for points far away.

We check the results in this region by comparing the numerical results for the squared matrix element against an independent computation.

## Physical region

Analytic continuation of integrals around physical branch points needed.

Use multiple (1-dimensional) path segments and use series expansion of integrands on each.

We evaluate at the point

$$
\frac{s}{m_{t}^{2}}=5, \quad \frac{t}{m_{t}^{2}}=-\frac{5}{4}, \quad \mu=m_{t}, \quad m_{t}=1
$$

Euclidean region
take the path shown, using the path decomposition formula recursively.

## Results for the physical region

- We were able to analytically continue all the integrals associated with elliptic curves a and b to the physical region.
- For the MIs containing curve c, we use fiesta and PySecDec.
- We compared the finite remainder of the squared matrix element at the physical point against [Bärneeuther, Czakon, Fiedler, $\left.{ }^{\prime} 13\right]$ and found good agreement.


## Lessons

- The choice of number of path segments and their sizes is important.
- Analytic continuation of integrals depending on multiple elliptic curves not straightforward.
- Numerical evaluation in other regions needs automation.
- Stable and efficient evaluation over the whole physical scattering regions still needs work.


## Summary \& Outlook

- First analytic results of 2-loop t $\overline{t g g}$ amplitude with top quark loops.
- New integrals containing elliptic curves found and evaluated.
- Explored an approach for a direct numerical evaluation of iterated integrals with highly nontrivial kernels.
- Complications involved in analytic continuation of integrals with multiple elliptic curves studied.
- Construction of a nicer basis of transcendental function to remove some observed redundancy is under examination.


## Thanks!

