

The Hartle–Hawking wavefunction of the universe revisited

Hervé Partouche

CNRS and Ecole Polytechnique

March 31, 2021

In collaboration with Nicolaos Toumbas (Cyprus University)
and Balthazar de Vaulchier (Ecole Polytechnique)
arXiv:2103.15168

Beyond Standard Model: From theory to experiment (BSM-2021)



Introduction

- Wavefunctions in Quantum Mechanics \Rightarrow probabilities

Wavefunctions in Quantum Gravity \Rightarrow probabilities favoring realistic aspects of the Universe?

- **Hartle–Hawking proposal** for spatially closed universes with cosmological constant $\Lambda > 0$. [’83]

- Homogeneous and isotropic $ds^2 = -N(t)^2 dt^2 + a(t)^2 d\Omega_3^2$

Euclidean path integral

$$\Psi(a_0) = \int_{\substack{a_i=0 \\ a_f=a_0}} \frac{\mathcal{D}N \mathcal{D}a}{\text{Vol}(\text{Diff})} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} S_E[N,a]}$$

obeying the **“No-boundary proposal”** : Probability amplitude for creating a Universe of scale factor a_0 from “nothing.” [Vilenkin, ’82]

- **Fix the gauge consistently:** Result independent of the gauge.
- Field redefinitions of the scale factor are symmetries of the classical action but

$$q = Q(a) \implies \mathcal{D}q \neq \mathcal{D}a$$

We obtain **different results for the wavefunctions** at the semi-classical level.

- However, all prescriptions yield **same quantum predictions, at least at the semi-classical level.**

Gauge fixing of Euclidean time

■ The Euclidean action

$$S_E = 6\pi \int_{x_{Ei}^0}^{x_{Ef}^0} dx_E^0 \sqrt{g_{00}} \left[a g^{00} \left(\frac{da}{dx_E^0} \right)^2 + a - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^3 \right]$$

describes a non-linear σ -model:

- The base is a **line segment** $[x_{Ei}^0, x_{Ef}^0]$ of metric $g_{00} \equiv N^2$.
- **The target space is parametrized by the scale factor a .**

■ All metrics g_{00} are not equivalent up to a change of coordinate, since the proper length ℓ of a line segment is invariant under a change of coordinate.

Choose a metric $\hat{g}_{00}[\ell]$ in each equivalence class (= choice of gauge) and replace

$$\int \frac{\mathcal{D}N}{\text{Vol}(\text{Diff})} = \int_0^{+\infty} d\ell \int_{\text{Diff}} \frac{\mathcal{D}\xi}{\text{Vol}(\text{Diff})} \Delta_{\text{FP}}[\hat{g}_{00}[\ell]]$$

■ Fadeev–Popov determinant

$$1 = \Delta_{\text{FP}}[\hat{g}_{00}[\ell]] \int_0^{+\infty} d\ell' \int_{\text{Diff}} \mathcal{D}\xi \delta[\hat{g}_{00}[\ell] - \hat{g}_{00}^{\xi}[\ell']]$$

■ Introducing anticommuting ghosts b^{00} , c_0 ,

$$\Delta_{\text{FP}}[\hat{g}_{00}[\ell]] = \int_{c^0(\hat{x}_{\text{Ei}}^0)=0}^{c^0(\hat{x}_{\text{Ef}}^0)=0} \mathcal{D}c \int \mathcal{D}b \left(b, \frac{\hat{g}[\ell]}{\ell} \right) \exp \left\{ 4i\pi (b, \hat{\nabla}c) \right\}$$

where $(f, h) \equiv \int_{\hat{x}_{\text{Ei}}^0}^{\hat{x}_{\text{Ef}}^0} d\hat{x}_{\text{E}}^0 \sqrt{\hat{g}_{00}[\ell]} f^{00} h_{00}$

■ By expanding in Fourier modes on $[\hat{x}_{\text{Ei}}^0, \hat{x}_{\text{Ef}}^0]$ and using gauge-invariant measures,

$$\Delta_{\text{FP}}[\hat{g}_{00}[\ell]] = 1$$

NB: For a base with topology of a circle, the result is $1/\ell$.

Path integral over the scale factor

■ Gauge $\hat{g}_{00}[\ell] = \ell^2$

$$\Psi(a_0) = \int_0^{+\infty} d\ell \int_{\substack{a(0)=0 \\ a(1)=a_0}} \mathcal{D}a e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} S_E[\ell, a]}$$

where the action

$$S_E[\ell, a] = 6\pi \int_0^1 d\tau \left[\frac{a}{\ell} \left(\frac{da}{d\tau} \right)^2 + \ell V(a) \right], \quad V(a) = a - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a^3$$

is not quadratic \implies **semi-classical approximation**

■ **steepest-descent method**

- Find all instanton solutions $(\bar{a}, \bar{\ell})$: Two solutions.
- Develop at quadratic order and integrate over fluctuations.

$$S_E[l, a] = \bar{S}_E + 6\pi^2 \int_0^1 d\tau \bar{\ell} \left[\delta a \mathcal{Q} \delta a + 2 \delta a \frac{V_a(\bar{a})}{\bar{\ell}} \delta \ell + \delta \ell \frac{V(\bar{a})}{\bar{\ell}^2} \delta \ell \right] + \dots$$

- Diagonalizing,

$$\Psi(a_0) = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} \bar{S}_E^\epsilon} \int_{\substack{\delta a(0)=0 \\ \delta a(1)=0}} \mathcal{D}\delta a \exp \left\{ -\frac{6\pi^2}{\hbar} (\delta a, \mathcal{Q}_\epsilon \delta a) \right\} \\ \int d\delta \ell \exp \left\{ -\mathcal{K}_\epsilon \delta \ell^2 \right\} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar))$$

- Gaussian (path) integrals $\implies \frac{1}{\sqrt{\det \mathcal{Q}_\epsilon}} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathcal{K}_\epsilon}}$

$$\Psi(a_0) = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \frac{\exp\left[\epsilon \frac{12\pi^2}{\hbar\Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a_0^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right]}{a_0^{\frac{1}{8}} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a_0^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar))$$

■ Classically, the action is invariant under redefinitions $q = Q(a)$

At the quantum level $\mathcal{D}q \neq \mathcal{D}a$ due to a Jacobian

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\Psi}(q_0) &= \int_0^{+\infty} d\ell \int_{\substack{q(0)=Q(0) \\ q(1)=Q(a_0)}} \mathcal{D}q e^{-\frac{1}{\hbar} S_E[\ell^2, q]} \\ &= \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\epsilon}} \frac{\exp\left[\epsilon \frac{12\pi^2}{\hbar\Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a_0^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right]}{|Q'(a_0)|^{\frac{1}{4}} a_0^{\frac{1}{8}} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a_0^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar)) \end{aligned}$$

There are **infinitely many different prescriptions for the wavefunctions!**

Wheeler–DeWitt equation

- For each prescription $\mathcal{D}q$, **all possible states/wavefunctions satisfy an equation** similar to Schrödinger in quantum mechanics

To derive it,

$$0 = \int \frac{\mathcal{D}N \mathcal{D}q}{\text{Vol}(\text{Diff})} \frac{\delta}{\delta N} e^{iS[N,q]} = -i \int \frac{\mathcal{D}N \mathcal{D}q}{\text{Vol}(\text{Diff})} \frac{H}{N} e^{iS[N,q]} \quad (1)$$

where the **classical Hamiltonian is**

$$\frac{H}{N} = -\frac{1}{24\pi} \frac{\pi_q^2}{AA'^2} - 6\pi V \quad \text{where} \quad A = Q^{-1}$$

⇒ **The quantum Hamiltonian vanishes on all states of the Hilbert space.**

- Classically, we have for arbitrary functions $\rho_1(q), \rho_2(q)$

$$\pi_q^2 = \frac{1}{\rho_1 \rho_2} \pi_q \rho_1 \pi_q \rho_2$$

- **canonical quantization**

$$q \longrightarrow q_0, \quad \pi_q \longrightarrow -i\hbar \frac{d}{dq_0}$$

yields an **ambiguity**

$$\frac{\hbar^2}{24\pi} \frac{1}{AA'^2} \frac{1}{\rho} \frac{d}{dq_0} \left(\rho \frac{d\Phi}{dq_0} \right) + \left(\hbar^2 \omega - 6\pi V \right) \Phi = 0$$

where Φ is an arbitrary wavefunction of the Hilbert space.

■ We can find ρ by solving this equation at the semi-classical level using the **WKB method**

$$\Phi(q_0) = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} N_\epsilon \frac{\exp \left[\epsilon s \frac{12\pi^2}{\hbar \Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a_0^2 \right)^{\frac{3}{2}} \right]}{|\rho(q_0) A'(q_0)|^{\frac{1}{2}} a_0^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a_0^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar))$$

Comparing with a particular wavefunction, the “no-boundary state”

$$\implies \rho(q_0) = a_0^{-\frac{3}{4}} |A'(q_0)|^{-\frac{3}{2}}$$

■ Different wavefunction prescriptions $\mathcal{D}q$ and Wheeler–DeWitt equations \implies different quantum gravities with same classical limits?

- To discuss probabilities, we **define inner product in each Hilbert space**. Denoting $\Phi(q_0) \equiv \Phi_A(a_0)$, $(a_0 = A(q_0))$

$$\langle \Phi_{A1}, \Phi_{A2} \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} da_0 \mu(a_0) \Phi_{A1}(a_0)^* \Phi_{A2}(a_0)$$

- Imposing **Hermiticity of the Hamiltonians**

$$\left\langle \Phi_{A1}, \frac{H}{N} \Phi_{A2} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{H}{N} \Phi_{A1}, \Phi_{A2} \right\rangle$$

\implies Differential equation $\implies \mu = a_0 |A'| \rho$

$$\Rightarrow \sqrt{\mu(a_0)} \Phi_A(a_0) = \sum_{\epsilon=\pm 1} N_\epsilon \frac{\exp\left[\epsilon \frac{12\pi^2}{\hbar\Lambda} \left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a_0^2\right)^{\frac{3}{2}}\right]}{\left(1 - \frac{\Lambda}{3} a_0^2\right)^{\frac{1}{4}}} (1 + \mathcal{O}(\hbar))$$

is independent of ρ and A *i.e.* is independent of the choice of field redefinition, at the semi-classical level

So is the inner product $\langle \Phi_{A1}, \Phi_{A2} \rangle = \int_0^{+\infty} da_0 \mu \Phi_{A1}^* \Phi_{A2}$

\Rightarrow All probabilities are independent of the choice of measure $\mathcal{D}q$, at least at the semi-classical level

Conclusion

- We have considered the **Hartle–Hawking wavefunction for spatially closed universes, with $\Lambda > 0$.**
- We focussed on a simpler version, for **homogeneous and isotropic universes.**
- The system can be seen as a non-linear σ -model with a line segment for the base and a target space parametrized by the scale factor.
- The **gauge fixing of time reparametrization** is done by:
 - Integrating over the proper length of the line-segment base.
 - The Faddeev–Popov determinant is trivial.
 - Using gauge invariant measures.
- The **reparametrizations of the scale factor** (*i.e.* coordinate in the target space) **yield different measures and path integrals, but the Hilbert spaces are equivalent at least semi-classically.**