ELENA Source Charging Up status and plans

D. Gamba, R. Gebel, B. Lefort, F. Wenander

29th of Sep. 2020



Just a few slides with pictures and previous observations





- Charging up typically takes hours, then beam becomes unstable on "short" time scales: from a few hours to a few minutes.
- Beam movements on the ring (BTV) are visible on LNS quadrupoles power supplies and are correlated with movements on the SEM in front of the source.
- Having strong (>1kV) steering in the source correctors is typically bad: when in this condition, is very likely that beam will move in a few minutes.
- After opening the source, it might be that the source looks more unstable for a few weeks, than things becomes more quiet.
 - □ Is it because we find back a "sweet working point" or because something gets "conditioned"?

Some obs. with screen in -19/10/20





First checks:

- **all quadrupole's electrode are well connected** and steer the beam as expected
 - \Box They also do focus/defocus the beam as expected
- All the visible metallic parts (but "triple junction" shielding not checked) are grounded
 Additional observations:
- "dark current" poorly visible, but when enhanced (e.g. bad negative puller settings), several spots appear on the screen (no picture available)
- Possible to measure beam current (and probably dark current) on the screen plate uses as Faraday cup.

Some observations <u>18/09/20</u>



- charging up is surely due to "negative" puller settings, i.e. not due to the short beam we produce.
 - □ It **can be reproduced**, for example, by "charging up" with negative puller at 400 V instead of 250 for a few seconds. with or without pulsing the actual beam (i.e. the positive puller).
- 2. the charging up seems to be faster for higher filament currents.
 - \Box still to be checked carefully.
- 3. the charging up happens at least at 60 kV (maybe even at 100 kV).
 - □ It **can be reproduced** by stop pulsing the HV, setting the puller negative at 400 V, back to 250, HV on, beam, ... moved. then slowly recovering initial position (more or less)
- 4. charging up is certainly generated in the source
 - □ it **can be reproduced** by positive puller at 400 V for a few seconds without main beam with source valve closed. (in same condition, but with normal puller at 250 V, nothing happens)
- 5. using the steerers is very bad! with a few seconds on very high voltages, the beam then becomes much more unstable.
 - Did not manage to reproduce! To be checked.
 - □ Are we maybe looking at two kind of charging up: one that can be controlled (e.g. puller negative at 400 V) and one not ("fast") move of the beam spot.



- Get a digital camera from Stephane B. and configure it on local windows computer
 - □ Ongoing
- See if we can have a screen always in:
 - □ Should we just produce the same size screen with a 10 mm diameter hole in the middle?
- Add additional feedthrough and cabling for "Faraday cup" measurement
 - We have everything. Just matter of doing it next time we open.
 Suggestion to use isolated feedthrough for Pearson signal and present not-isolated one for Faraday cup

Long term: brainstorming



- Is there something in this region but the ceramic that could charge up?
- Should we bring the quad assembly closer to the puller?
- Should we install a "proper puller" instead of the simple "ground electrode" presently used to shield the quads.



- In 2013 <u>link</u> Ralf mentioned that H- deflection needs correction. Can something "inside" the puller and/or magnetic filter region "charge up" and change the "angle" of the extracted beam?
 - □ Should we remove the source and check if there are spots/damages between puller and the source body or between the puller and ceramic?



Some comments from the meeting:



- Add tube to reduce aperture toward ring
 - □ To improve vacuum in the transfer line and be more comfortable on high gas pressure operation
- Don't try to clean insulator!!!
 - $\hfill \Box$ Will likely damage the insulator
- Add better insulation of quadrupole wires with simple metallic/grounded wire as already done, but extended.
- Change ground electrode:
 - 1. Fredrik will look if we can adapt some "proper puller"
 - 2. We can indeed think of moving in the quads a bit and see what happens
 - No real concern about alignment
- Puller material maybe important for secondary particle production and so dark current. In principle one could investigate different materials, but finally best from experience is polished stainless steel...
- Work with much higher positive puller settings, but looking at radiation level as a mean to optimize dark current emission

Some pictures







Puller electrode





Maybe some surface degradation, indication of beam dumped here?

Quadrupole shielding

29th Sep. 2020 - ELENA Source Status and Plans

(Before installation)

Some pictures









