Classifying Standard Model Extensions Effectively with Precision Observables

Joydeep Chakrabortty
IIT Kanpur, India

The EFT WG Area 5 meeting

8 February 2021

Talk is based on:

1. Classifying Standard Model Extensions Effectively with Precision Observables

Supratim Das Bakshi, Joydeep Chakrabortty, Michael Spannowsky
arXiv:2012.03839 [hep-ph]

2. CoDEx: Wilson coefficient calculator connecting SMEFT to UV theory

Supratim Das Bakshi, Joydeep Chakrabortty, Sunando Kumar Patra
arXiv:1808.04403 [hep-ph].
Eur.Phys.J. C79 (2019) no.1, 21.

3. A Step Toward Model Comparison: Connecting Electroweak-Scale Observables to BSM through EFT and Bayesian Statistics

Anisha, Supratim Das Bakshi, Joydeep Chakrabortty, Sunando Kumar Patra
arXiv:2010.04088 [hep-ph]

Points to note...

- Bottom-Up vs Top-Down
- BSMs as Effective Theories
- Observables (set of operators) as “Response Screen”
- Classifications of BSMs
- Future directions: Relying on the presence of new particle(s)
- Operator driven BSM construction: Reverse engineering

Bottom-Up approach: *SMEFT*

★ **Knowledge of exact nature of new physics is not required**

✤ **Wilson coefficients are free parameters: *origin-less***

$$\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM} + \sum_{j=5,\ldots} \sum_{i} \frac{C_i^{(j)}}{\Lambda^{j-4}} Q_i^{(j)}$$

Effective operators



Effective operators

SMEFT

$\mathcal{L}_{\rm SM}$



Low Energy Theory

Top-Down approach: *SMEFT*

★ **The Wilson coefficients known in terms of BSM parameters**

✤ **The UV complete Lagrangian must be known**



CoDEx : Wilson coefficient calculator

Complete 1-loop Wilson coefficients within seconds !

Manually matching BSMs to SMEFT is involved.

Package for automization is much needed.

CoDEx: Wilson coefficient calculator connecting SMEFT to UV theory

Supratim Das Bakshi, JC, S K Patra
Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019) 1, 21 • e-Print: 1808.04403



https://effexteam.github.io/CoDEx/



CoDEx: Wilson coefficient calculator connecting SMEFT to UV theory

Supratim Das Bakshi, Joydeep Chakrabortty, Sunando Kumar Patra

Eur.Phys.J.C 79 (2019) 1, 21 ; e-Print: 1808.04403 [hep-ph]

Available at: https://effexteam.github.io/CoDEx/

With "***CoDEx***" and "***GrIP***" in arsenal one can use effective field theory to connect **UV** and ***IR*** theories very easily and of course automatically.

Characters and Group Invariant Polynomials of (Super)fields: Road to "Lagrangian"

Upalaparna Banerjee, Joydeep Chakrabortty, Suraj Prakash, Shakeel Ur Rahaman

Accepted in Eur. Phys. J. C ; e-print: 2004.12830[hep-ph]

Available at: https://teamgrip.github.io/GrIP/

Observable-Operator correspondance

EWPO-LO : $\{Q_{HD}, Q_{HWB}, Q_{Hq}^{(1)}, Q_{Hq}^{(3)}, Q_{Hl}^{(1)}, Q_{Hl}^{(3)}, Q_{He}, Q_{Hu}, Q_{Hd}, Q_{ll}\}$

EWPO-NLO-I : $\{Q_{HB}, Q_{HW}, Q_{H\Box}\}$

Higgs Signal Strength (HSS) : EWPO-LO + EWPO-NLO-I + $\{Q_H, Q_{uH}, Q_{dH}, Q_{eH}, Q_G, Q_{HG}\}$

EWPO-NLO-II : $\{Q_{ed}, Q_{ee}, Q_{eu}, Q_{lu}, Q_{ld}, Q_{le}, Q_{lq}^{(1)}, Q_{lq}^{(3)}, Q_{qe}, Q_{uB}, Q_{uW}, Q_W, Q_{qd}^{(1)}, Q_{qq}^{(1)}, Q_{qq}^{(3)}, Q_{qu}^{(1)}, Q_{ud}^{(1)}, Q_{uu}, Q_{dd}\}$

Additional Operators (AdOps) : $\{Q_{ud}^{(8)}, Q_{qd}^{(8)}, Q_{qu}^{(8)}, Q_{quqd}^{(1)}, Q_{lequ}^{(1)}, Q_{quqd}^{(8)}, Q_{ledq}\}$

B,L volating Operators (BLV) : $\{Q_{qqq}, Q_{duu}, Q_{qqu}, Q_{duq}\}$

S Dawson, P P Giardino

arXiv:1909.02000

B Grzadkowski, M Iskrzynski, M Misiak, J Rosiek

arXiv:1008.4884

J Ellis, C Murphy, V Sanz, T You

arXiv:1803.03252

BSM Classifications

SM
+
Heavy Scalars

BSMs	$\mathcal{S}$	$\mathcal{S}_2$	Δ	$\mathcal{H}_2$	Δ_1	Σ
$\mathcal{G}_{3,2,1}$	1,1,0	1,1,2	1,3,0	1,2,-1/2	1,3,1	1,4,1/2

Color-singlets

BSMs	φ_1	φ_2	Θ_1	Θ_2	Ω	χ_1	χ_2	χ_3	χ_4
$\mathcal{G}_{3,2,1}$	3,1,-1/3	3,1,-4/3	3,2,1/6	3,2,7/6	3,3,-1/3	6,3,1/3	6,1,4/3	6,1,-2/3	6,1,1/3

Colored

Unfurling the Effective Vertex





T — Tree-level effective operators



HH — Only heavy field propagator in the loop



HL — Both heavy and light field propagators in the loop



		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	16	17	18	19
Heavy BSM fields	$\mathcal{G}_{3,2,1}$	Q_{HD}	Q_{ll}	Q_{Hu}	Q_{Hd}	Q_{He}	$Q_{Hq}^{(1)}$	$Q_{Hl}^{(1)}$	$Q_{Hl}^{(3)}$	$Q_{Hq}^{(3)}$	Q_{HWB}	$Q_{H\Box}$	Q_{HB}	Q_{HW}	Q_{H}	Q_{G}	Q_{HG}	Q_{eH}	Q_{uH}	Q_{dH}
$\mathcal{S}$	(1,1,0)	HL	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	HL	T	HL	HL	T	✗	✗	HL	HL	HL
$\mathcal{S}_2$	(1,1,2)	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	HH	HH	✗	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Δ	(1,3,0)	T	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	HH	HH	HL	T	HL	HH	T	✗	✗	T	T	T
$\mathcal{H}_2$	$(1,2,-\frac{1}{2})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	✗	✗	T	T	T
Δ_1	(1,3,1)	T	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	T	✗	✗	T	T	T
Σ	$(1,4,\frac{1}{2})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	HH	HH	HH
φ_1	$(3,1,-\frac{1}{3})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	HH	HH	✗	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗
φ_2	$(3,1,-\frac{4}{3})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	HH	HH	✗	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗
Θ_1	$(3,2,\frac{1}{6})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH
Θ_2	$(3,2,\frac{7}{6})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH
Ω	$(3,3,\text{-}\frac{1}{3})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH
χ_1	$(6,3,\frac{1}{3})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH
χ_2	$(6,1,\frac{4}{3})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	HH	HH	✗	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗
χ_3	$(6,1,\text{-}\frac{2}{3})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	HH	HH	✗	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗
χ_4	$(6,1,\frac{1}{3})$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	HH	HH	✗	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗

Class-I:$\{\mathcal{H}_2, \Delta_1, \Sigma, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Omega, \chi_1\}$

Class-II:$\{\mathcal{S}_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4\}$

Class-III:$\{\Delta\}$

Class-IV:$\{\mathcal{S}\}$

Class-A:$\{\mathcal{S}, \Delta, \mathcal{H}_2, \Delta_1, \Sigma, \Theta_1, \Theta_2, \Omega, \chi_1\}$

Class-B:$\{\mathcal{S}_2, \phi_1, \phi_2, \chi_2, \chi_3, \chi_4\}$



(A) EWPO-LO



(B) EWPO-NLO-I



EWPO-NLO-II

AdOps

BLV

	20	21	22	23	24	25	26	27	28	29	30	31	32	33	34	35	36	37	38	39	40	41	42	43	44	45	46	47
Heavy BSM fields	$Q_{qq}^{(1)}$	$Q_{qq}^{(3)}$	Q_{uu}	Q_{dd}	$Q_{ud}^{(1)}$	$Q_{lq}^{(1)}$	Q_{ee}	Q_{eu}	Q_{ed}	Q_{le}	Q_{lu}	Q_{ld}	Q_{qe}	$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$	$Q_{qd}^{(1)}$	$Q_{lq}^{(3)}$	Q_W	$Q_{ud}^{(8)}$	$Q_{qd}^{(8)}$	$Q_{qu}^{(8)}$	$Q_{quqd}^{(1)}$	$Q_{lequ}^{(1)}$	$Q_{quqd}^{(8)}$	Q_{ledq}	Q_{qqq}	Q_{duu}	Q_{qqu}	Q_{duq}
$\mathcal{S}$	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
$\mathcal{S}_2$	HH	✗	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Δ	✗	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
$\mathcal{H}_2$	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	T	T	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	T	T	✗	T	✗	✗	✗	✗
Δ_1	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Σ	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
φ_1	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	✗	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	T	T	T	T
φ_2	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	T	✗	✗
Θ_1	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Θ_2	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
Ω	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	T	✗	✗	✗
χ_1	T	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
χ_2	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
χ_3	HH	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗
χ_4	T	T	HH	HH	T	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	HH	✗	✗	T	HH	HH	T	✗	T	✗	✗	✗	✗	✗

BSM Classification based on Observables









BSM Classification based on Observables



Tag match: {BSM$_i$} vs Bottom-Up

Anisha, S D Bakshi, JC, S K Patra

arXiv:2010.04088



BSM (Fit) Parameters
3
3
3
9



BSM (Fit) Parameters
1
1
1
5

❖ New particle and BSMEFT



★ **SM extended by multiple heavy fields**

★ **Integrate-out heavy field according to spectrum hierarchy: CoDEx**

★ **BSMEFT for desired symmetry and particle content: GrIP**

SM + $\mathcal{S}_1$ (1,1,1)

SM + $\mathcal{S}_2$ (1,1,2)

SM + $\mathcal{H}_2$ (1,2,-1/2)

SM + Δ (1,3,0)

U Banerjee, JC, S Prakash, S U Rahaman, M Spannowsky
arXiv:2008.11512

U Banerjee, JC, S Prakash, S U Rahaman, M Spannowsky

arXiv:2008.11512





❖ Operator driven Heavy Fields

Effective Operator	Lorentz invariant structures	Single heavy field extensions of the SM	Operators & Heavy fields representations $SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y$
$\psi^2\phi^3$ 			Q_{eH} $\left[1,2,\frac{1}{2}\right]_{\Phi}$ $[1,1,0]_{\Psi}$ Q_{uH} $\left[3,1,-\frac{1}{3}\right]_{\Phi}\left[3,3,-\frac{1}{3}\right]_{\Psi}$ Q_{dH} $\left[6,1,\frac{1}{3}\right]_{\Phi}$ $\left[3,2,\frac{7}{6}\right]_{\Psi}$
ψ^4 			$Q_{qu}^{(1)}$ $\left[3,1,-\frac{1}{3}\right]_{\Phi}$ $\left[3,2,\frac{7}{6}\right]_{\Psi}$ Q_{le} $\left[3,2,\frac{7}{6}\right]_{\Phi}$ $\left[1,2,\frac{1}{2}\right]_{\Psi}$ Q_{ee} $[\{1,R_C\},\{1,R_L\},Y]_{\Phi,\Psi}$

Backup slides

EWPO: $M_Z, \Gamma_Z, \sigma^0_{had}, R^0_l, A^{0,l}_{FB}, A_l, sin^2\theta^l_{eff}, A_c, A_b, A^{0,c}_{FB}, A^{0,b}_{FB}, R^0_c, R^0_b$

1803.01853

Higgs signal-strength data:

Higgs signal strengths		References with arXiv #
7 and 8 TeV Run-I data	Combined ATLAS & CMS measurements	Table 8 of 1606.02266
	Combined ATLAS & CMS measurement of $\mu^{\mu\mu}_{pp}$	Table 13 of 1606.02266
	ATLAS measurement of $\mu^{Z\gamma}_{pp}$	Figure 1 of 1507.04548
13 TeV ATLAS Run-II data	$H \to ZZ^*$ at 139 fb^{-1}	Table 8 of 2004.03447
	Measurement of $\mu^{Z\gamma}_{pp}$ at 139 fb^{-1}	2005.05382
	Measurement of $\mu^{\mu\mu}_{pp}$ at 139 fb^{-1}	2007.07830
	$VH \to H \to b\bar{b}$ at 139 fb^{-1}	2008.02508
	Measurements for Higgs production through gluon and vector boson fusions at 80 fb^{-1}	Figure 5 of 1909.02845 [Correlations in Figure 6]
	Associated production of Higgs with $t\bar{t}$	1712.08891, 1806.00425
	$VH \to H \to WW^*$ at 36.1 fb^{-1}	1903.10052
13 TeV CMS Run-II data	Signal strengths data up to 35.9 fb^{-1}	table 3 of 1809.10733 [Correlations in auxiliary material]
	Measurements of μ^{cc}_{ZH} and μ^{cc}_{WH}	1912.01662

Anisha, S D Bakshi, JC, S K Patra

arXiv:2010.04088

SMEFT operators 59 ⊃ 18 (EWPO + Higgs Signal)