



#### Talk based on

#### Featured

#### Perspectives on the generation of electron beams from plasma-based accelerators and their near and long term applications C. Joshi, S. Corde, W.B. Mori, Phys. Plasmas 27, 070602 (2020)

C. Joshi, S. Corde and W. B. Mori





Derek S. Thompson, R Khaziev, Miguel Fortn Henriquez, Shane Ken Scime and Davide Cur

ctron and ion densia virtual ground posin an inductively cou-

, Ju-ho Kim, Mood Chin-Wook Chung

Special Thanks to

M. Hogan, T. Katsouleas, W. Lu, C.E. Clayton, K. Marsh, M. Litos, W. An, F. Tsung, F. Albert, B. Dangor V. Yakimenko, R. Siemann, J.M. Dawson

All our former students, postdocs and Colleagues

Accelerator based Experiments are necessary to address Important Science Questions in this Century

Are there additional dimensions of space?

Why is there matter-antimatter imbalance?

How did the universe begin?

What is dark matter?

How were heavy elements created?





## Particle colliders 21<sup>st</sup> Century



#### International Linear e-e+ Collider

Energy Frontier of particle Physics Very large Very Expensive

500 GeV CM 30 km (<20 MeV/m) \$ 20+ B (DOE Estimate)

Can we make accelerators at energy frontier smaller and cheaper?



Can high electric fields of laser pulses or beams be used to accelerate particles?

a) At ultra high gradients?b) To very high energies?



"Yes, but what have you invented lately?"



#### as particle accelerators?







## **RF and Plasma Accelerators**



200 Time [fs] 400 600 800 -60 120 60 0 Radial Distance [µm]

0

#### Plasma: Holographic Interferometry -200

Courtesy M. Downer

TM mode in a SW Structure  $E_z$ ,  $E_r$  and  $B_\theta$ 20 MeV/m 3-30 cm diameter permanent Cu structure

TM mode in Plasma  $E_z$ ,  $E_r$  and  $B_\theta$ 20 GeV/m 30-300 um diameter transient structure

-120

## Multi-stage Plasma based Linear Collider (basic design follows the ILC collider concept)





#### Why Use Wakes in Plasmas for Acceleration? 1D-Linear Plasma Wakefield Theory

$$(\partial_t^2 + \omega_p^2) \frac{n_1}{n_o} = -\omega_p^2 (\frac{n_b}{n_o} + k_p^2 \nabla^2 \sqrt{1 + a_o^2})$$
Laser

For  $\tau_{pulse}$  of order  $\pi \omega_p^{-1} \sim 100$  fs  $(10^{17}/n_o)^{1/2}$  and spot size  $c/\omega_p$ Large wake for a laser amplitude  $a_o = eE_o/m\omega_o c \sim 1$  or a beam density  $n_b \sim n_o$ 

Wakes are said to be linear when  $n_1 < n_o$ 

$$\nabla \bullet E = -4\pi e n_1 \Rightarrow eE = \frac{n_1}{n_o} \sqrt{\frac{n_o}{10^{16} cm^{-3}}} 10 \, GeV/m \cos \omega_p (t - z/c)$$

## Linear Wakes can Accelerate both e- & e+



Linear Wake when  $n_1 < n_o$ 

Sinusoidal longitudinal electric field

Longitudinal and transverse field out of phase by  $\frac{\pi}{2}$  rads.

The e- and e+ can be accelerated in a region  $\frac{\lambda}{4}$  wide but  $\frac{\lambda}{2}$  apart.









What about positron driven wakes? Nonlinear dynamics of positron plasma interactions 28 GeV Positron Beam at SLAC propagates through a dilute plasma





Beam exiting plasma

#### FULL/REDUCED PIC CODES VALIDATED BY NUMEROUS EXPTS and USED TO DESIGN EXPT.s AND CONFIRM NEW IDEAS



#### osiris framework

- Massivelly Parallel, Fully Relativistic Particle-in-Cell (PIC) Code
- Visualization and Data Analysis Infrastructure
- Developed by the osiris.consortium
  - $\Rightarrow$  UCLA + IST





UCLA

Ricardo Fonseca: ricardo.fonseca@tecnico.ulisboa.pt Frank Tsung: tsung@physics.ucla.edu Adam Tableman: tableman@physics.ucla.edu

http://epp.tecnico.ulisboa.pt/ http://plasmasim.physics.ucla.edu/







# Linear wake formation, energy loss and energy gain of a positron bunch in plasma



Nonlinear Wakes in the blowout regime driven by laser pulse or e-bunch

Alch,

Need < 100fs (FWHM) driver beams with  $a_0 > 2$  Or a > 10 KA electron beam



#### Longitudinal and transverse fields in blowout regime

(ideal for preserving emittance or beam quality)





## BREAKING THE 1 GeV BARRIER

#### With 15 micron long bunches







n<sub>e</sub>≈3.5×10<sup>17</sup> cm<sup>-3</sup> L≈10 cm, N≈ 1.8×10<sup>10</sup>

Charge Fraction >  $E_0$  0.8% 25pC of charge



#### E-167: Energy Doubling with a Plasma Wakefield Accelerator in the FFTB



Drive Electron Beam 42 GeV

Particles in the tail gained 43 GeV in just 85 cm

Acceleration gradient of 50 GeV/m sustained over 85 cm

Beam head erosion limited the propagation of the beam to a distance less than pump depletion length.





Ref. I. Blumenfeld et al. Nature 2007 C. Joshi, CERN Courier2007

## UCLA

#### Compact and Cheaper High-Energy Colliders a Grand Challenge for Science and Engineering in the 21<sup>st</sup> century



Particle Physics Project Prioritization Panel (P5) Report 2014: Building for Discovery

"A primary goal, therefore, is the ability to build the future generation accelerators at dramatically lower cost. ...For e<sup>+</sup>e<sup>-</sup> colliders, the primary goals are improving the accelerating gradient and lowering the power consumption"



NAE Grand Challenges for Engineering Engineer Tools of Scientific Discovery

"..engineers will be able to devise smaller, cheaper but more powerful atom smashers, enabling physicists to explore realms beyond the reach of current technology." Acceleration of a distinct trailing bunch and beam loading of the Wake



M. Tzoufras et al. P.R.L. 2008



## Acceleration of Trailing Bunch of Electrons









M. Litos et al. Nature 2014 M. Litos et al. PPCF 2016

#### Max. Energy gain 9 GeV in 1.3m, energy spread <5%, 25% energy extraction efficiency

## Acceleration of positrons in nonlinear wakes

S. Corde et al. Nature 2016



e+ beam produces wake & a dense region of plasma electrons that traps e+

#### Jet Age of Laser-wakefield Acceleration-LWFA Takes off

RAL/IC, LBNL and LOA Expts show ~ 10% energy spreads



## Monoenergetic beams of relativistic electrons from intense laser-plasma interactions

S. P. D. Mangles<sup>1</sup>, C. D. Murphy<sup>1,2</sup>, Z. Najmudin<sup>1</sup>, A. G. R. Thomas<sup>1</sup>, J. L. Collier<sup>2</sup>, A. E. Dangor<sup>2</sup>, E. J. Divall<sup>2</sup>, P. S. Foster<sup>2</sup>, J. G. Gallacher<sup>3</sup>, C. J. Hooker<sup>2</sup>, D. A. Jaroszynski<sup>1</sup>, A. J. Langley<sup>2</sup>, W. B. Mori<sup>4</sup>, P. A. Norreys<sup>2</sup>, F. S. Tsung<sup>4</sup>, R. Viskup<sup>3</sup>, B. R. Walton<sup>1</sup> & K. Krushenick<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>The Blackett Laboratory, Imperial College London, London SW7 2AZ, UK <sup>2</sup>Central Laser Facility, Rutherford Appleton Laboratory, Chilton, Didcot, Oxon, OX11 0QX, UK

<sup>3</sup>Department of Physics, University of Strathchyde, Glasgow G4 0NG, UK <sup>4</sup>Department of Physics and Astronomy, UCLA, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA

#### High-quality electron beams from a laser wakefield accelerator using plasma-channel guiding

C. G. R. Geddes  $^{1,2}$  , Cs. Toth  $^1$  , J. van Tilborg  $^{1,3}$  , E. Esarey  $^1$  , C. B. Schroeder  $^1$  , D. Bruhwiler  $^4$  , C. Nieter  $^4$  , J. Cary  $^{4,5}$  & W. P. Leemans  $^1$ 

<sup>1</sup>Lawrence Berkeley National Laboratory, 1 Cyclotron Road, Berkeley, California 94720, USA

<sup>2</sup>University of California, Berkeley, California 94720, USA <sup>3</sup>Technische Universiteit Eindhoven, Postbus 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven, the Netherlands

<sup>4</sup>Tech-X Corporation, 5621 Arapahoe Ave. Suite A, Boulder, Colorado 80303, USA <sup>5</sup>University of Colorado, Boulder, Colorado 80309, USA

A laser–plasma accelerator producing monoenergetic electron beams

J. Faure  $^1$  , Y. Glinec  $^1$  , A. Pukhov  $^2$  , S. Kiselev  $^2$  , S. Gordienko  $^2$  , E. Lefebvre  $^3$  , J.-P. Rousseau  $^1$  , F. Burgy  $^1$  & V. Malka  $^1$ 

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire d'Optique Appliquée, Ecole Polytechnique, ENSTA, CNRS, UMR 7639, 91761 Palaiseau, France <sup>2</sup>Institut fur Ihoretische Physik, 1, Heinrich-Heine-Universitat Duesseldorf, 40225 Duesseldorf, Germany <sup>3</sup>Département de Physique Théorique et Appliquée, CEA/DAM Ile-de-France, 91680 Brujøres-le-Châtel, France

#### IC Rutherford

#### LBNL



## Coming of age of Laser Wakefield Accelerators 2003-today

Maximum energy gain of 8 GeV seen at BELLA. Percent level energy spreads @4 hour operation of a LWFA in Germany

Numerous new ideas: colliding pulse injection, self beam loading, Photon acceleration and deceleration, overcoming dephasing ,DLA wake assisted relativistic guiding. Ionization injection

A phenomenological theory for nonlinear wakes, nonlinear beam loading, beam matching, transverse beam instabilities -essential to our understanding and charting a path forward.

## Plasma Accelerator Progress UCLA

"Accelerator Moore's Law"



## U.S. Plasma Accelerator Scenario Big picture: R&D still Discovery

#### Science



C. Joshi Scientific American

Can critical physics issues for a multi-stage e+e- collider be quantified via experiments and/or simulations in the next decade : 2017-2027?

Can the then remaining critical issue be solved by 2032 ?

Can an important enough first application of a plasma accelerator be identified?

Can a plasma accelerator built for this application (for << \$1B) serve as a prototype for a LC by 2035?

Can the community come up with a CDR for a Plasma-Based LC by 2035?

Next big challenge for Plasma-Accelerators is demonstration of All the necessary parameters for a single stage of a multi-stage collider

No single experimental facility is suitable such a demonstration So must rely on a combination of experiments and 1:1 PIC simulations

#### Experiments

More than 90% energy extraction From the pump to the wake

Extraction of 90% of the energy from the wake by the accelerating beam

Emittance preservation at 1um level

Nearly 100% charge throughput

Less than 1% energy spread

0.5 nC charge accelerated per shot

#### Simulations

Generation and acceleration of ultra-low emittance beams

Generation and acceleration of highly polarized e- and e+ beams

Techniques for high quality beam generation in linear wakes

Staging, radiation loss

Transverse beam instabilities

UCLA

Do all this with both e- and e+

Energy Doubling (10-20+ GeV) with <1% Energy Spread ,Pump Depletion and > 40% energy transfer efficiency

Plasma and beam density with on-axis Ez line out

Beam Energy



Simulations by W. An UCLA, .Joshi et al. PPCF 2018

Beam Matching in and out of plasma accelerator And emittance preservation



Simulation Results: Weiming An



## Colliders and 5<sup>th</sup> Generation Light Sources both need Cheaper and more Compact Accelerators

A true 5<sup>th</sup> Gen. Light Source that will compete with X-FELs may be the 1<sup>st</sup> grand application of the advanced accelerator concepts technology.

**COLLIDERS** e and e+ TeV beams Spin polarization Multiple stages High Luminosity -nC charge per beam -nm emittance -kHZ Rep. rate ->20 MW Average power -> need 90% driver beamaccelerated bunch energy extraction efficiency

5th Gen Light Source e 1GeV beams 10 pC charge per beam Single stage High beam brightness -Extremely low energy spread -nm emittance -kHZ Rep. rate Synchrotrons and X-FELs poised to be Breakthrough Tools of Discovery in 21<sup>st</sup> century





structure analysis with highest resolution Ribosome molecule

Deciphered by Venky Ramakrishnan's Team: Nobel in Chemistry 2009

# 100,000 proteins in human body75,000 enzymes25,000 Genes in each cell



Undulator to enhance the synchrotron radiation in e+/e- storage rings



### Conclusions



- Developing plasma accelerators that will disrupt an established technology is a long-term endeavor. Beautiful science but limited resources.
- 2) A team that has diverse expertise, bright young people eager to make their mark and good leadership is essential to success.
- 3) Long term funding and access to facilities is essential

4) Important to have goals to judge progress but must also have the freedom to pursue unexpected avenues as they open up.

5) The prognosticators must come up with a "killer app" such as 5<sup>th</sup> Generation Light Source to secure funding for continued R&D within 10 years.