



# NuPECC collaboration feedback

- Summary of meeting on 7 Oct 2020
- Written feedback received from 9 out of 22 collaborations, ALICE still not received
- one new collaboration joined, NUMEN



# Discussion

- process is in flow, answers are not final
- awards vs. rewards: talks at conferences
  - recommendation: hand invitations to younger colleagues
  - organizers should consider "age" profile of invited speakers
- recommendation letters
  - definition of un-biased letters: collaboration peers know contribution of individual best
  - give formal responsibilities (leaders of analysis/detector groups, convenors, ...)



# Discussion ctd.

- analysis notes and technical notes
  - long discussion
  - important for internal recognition
  - full content not understandable to outsiders, publish only names and topics of notes
  - but: open science policy of EU will ultimately require publication
  - large collaborations: 100s of people involved in the work: divide notes into smaller pieces?
  
- *collaborations ask for feedback from us*