

#### Workshop KIAS Introduction Section 2 Section 3

Section 4

Conclusions

#### Probing $E_6$ Motivated U(1) Theories at the J-PARC KOTO

#### by F.C.C., P. Ko, S. Baek, C. Yu, Y. Omura

Korea Institute of Advanced Study "Higgs as a Probe of New Physics", Osaka University, Japan

March, 2021



#### Workshop KIAS Introduction Section 2 Section 3 Section 4 Conclusions

#### Introduction

 $\ensuremath{\mathbf{2}}$  KOTO Searches and  $U(1)_X$  Theories

- $\textcircled{\textbf{3}} \ E_6 \ \text{Motivated Leptophobic } U(1)_X$
- $\textcircled{0} U(1)_X \ Phenomenology$

#### G Conclusions



#### Introduction

# Workshop

#### Introduction

Section 2 Section 3 Section 4 Conclusions The recent results provided by the J-PARC KOTO experiment could not improve the upper limit on the  $K_L \to \pi \bar{\nu} \nu$  branching fraction, given by  $3.0 \cdot 10^{-9}$  at 90%C.L.. Compared to the SM prediction  $Br(K_L \to \pi^0 \bar{\nu} \nu) = 0.34(6) \times 10^{-10}$ , it allows a noticeable room for NP which can be investigated from:

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{K}_L \to \phi_R \phi_I, \quad \text{followed by} \quad \phi_R \to \phi_I + \pi^0; \\ & \mathsf{K}_L \to \overline{\nu}_i \nu_j, \quad \text{followed by} \quad \nu_j \to \nu_i + \pi^0; \\ & \mathsf{K}_L \to \pi^0 \mathsf{Z}_H, \quad \text{followed by} \quad \mathsf{Z}_H \to \nu + \nu. \end{split}$$

<ロ> <同> < 同> < 三> < 三> < 三> < □> < □> < □> < ○<</p>



Workshop

KIAS Introduction Section 2 Section 3 Section 4

# KOTO Searches and $U(1)_X$ Theories

 $SM\otimes U(1)_X :$  We consider two possible operators for  $\Delta S=1\ s\to d$  transition:

$$O_{V} = \left[ C_{V}^{L}(\overline{s_{L}}\gamma_{\mu}d_{L}) + C_{V}^{R}(\overline{s_{R}}\gamma_{\mu}d_{R}) \right] \times J_{X}^{\mu},$$
(2)

$$O_{S} = \left[C_{S}^{L}(\overline{s_{R}}d_{L}) + C_{S}^{R}(\overline{s_{L}}d_{R})\right] \times J_{X}, \qquad (3)$$

where  $J_X^\mu$  and  $J_X$  denote the missing mass current. In order to generate  $O_V$  type operators from NP, the SM fermions must also be chiral under the new  $U(1)_H$  gauge symmetry. Accordingly, there must be at least two important issues to be addressed during the model building step:

- (i) It should be anomaly free, what in general can be achieved by the introduction of new chiral fermions;
- (ii) It should admit renormalizable Yukawa couplings to all the SM fermions. It will commonly require the introduction of additional Higgs doublets charged under  $U(1)_H$ .



# $\mathsf{E}_6$ Motivated Leptophobic $U(1)_X$

# Workshop KIAS

Section 2

Section 3 Section 4 We seek for a general charge assignment of a particle content satisfying the following conditions:

- 2 The h-charges constrain the Yukawa interactions between the SM fermions to those of a type-II 2HDM;
- $\textcircled{3} \ U(1)_{H} \ \text{is leptophobic over SM leptons.}$



## $E_6$ Motivated Leptophobic $U(1)_X$

Workshop KIAS

Introduction

Section 2

Section 3

Section 4

Conclusions

	$q_L^i$	$u_R^i$	$d_R^i$	$l_L^i$	$e_R^i$	$n_R^i$	$H_1$
$SU(3)_c$	3	3	3	1	1	1	1
SU(2)	2	1	1	2	1	1	2
$U(1)_Y$	1/6	2/3	-1/3	-1/2	$^{-1}$	0	1/2
$U(1)_H$	b(1+a)	-2b(1 + a)	b(1+a)	0	0	$b\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{a(9+14a)}{2}}-3(1+a)\right)$	0

TABLE I: General charge assignments of the SM fermions under the SM gauge group and the Leptophobic  $U(1)_H E_6$  subgroup.

	$H_2$	$D_L^i$	$D_R^i$	$L^i_L$	$L_R^i$	$N_L^i$	$\Phi_k$	$\varphi$
$SU(3)_c$	1	3	3	1	1	1	1	1
SU(2)	2	1	1	2	2	1	1	1
$U(1)_Y$	1/2	-1/3	-1/3	-1/2	-1/2	0	0	0
$U(1)_H$	-3b(1 + a)	-b(2 + 3a)	b	ab	b(3 + 4a)	$b\left(\pm\sqrt{\frac{a(9+14a)}{2}}+3(1+a)\right)$	$X_k$	$X_{\varphi}$

TABLE II: Charge of the new particle content under the SM gauge group and the Leptophobic  $U(1)_H E_6$  subgroup.



#### Complete Example

Workshop KIAS Introduction Section 2 Section 3 Section 4

Conclusions

$$\begin{split} & \mathsf{a} = \mathsf{-6}: \\ & \mathcal{L} \supset Y_{\nu} \ \overline{l_L} \tilde{H}_1 \mathfrak{n}_R + M_n \overline{\mathfrak{n}_R^c} \mathfrak{n}_R + Y_{2N} \Phi_2 \overline{\mathfrak{n}_R} N_L \\ & + Y_L \Phi^\dagger \overline{L_L} L_R + Y_D \Phi^\dagger \overline{D_L} D_R + Y_{1L} \phi_X \overline{l}_L L_R + Y_{dD} \phi_X \overline{D}_L d_R + h.c., \end{split} \tag{4} \\ & \text{Notice that } \mathbf{c}_\beta = \nu_1 / \nu \text{ is supposed to modulate neutrino} \\ & \text{masses.} \\ & V(H_i, \Phi, \phi) \supset \mathfrak{m}_{\phi}^2 |\phi|^2 + \lambda_{\phi} |\phi|^4 + \lambda_{i\phi} |\phi|^2 H_i^{\dagger} H_i + \lambda_{\Phi\phi} |\phi|^2 |\Phi|^2 \\ & \quad + \left\{ (\lambda_{12\phi} H_1^{\dagger} H_2 + \sqrt{2} \mu_{\Phi\phi} \Phi^{\dagger}) \phi^2 + H.c. \right\} \end{split}$$

 $\phi_I : \mathsf{Light} \ \mathsf{DM} \ \mathsf{candidate}$ 

$$m_I^2 = m_R^2 - 2 \left(\lambda_{12\phi} \nu^2 c_\beta s_\beta + 2 \mu_{\Phi\phi} \nu_\Phi \right), \eqno(5)$$



### KOTO Searches from Dark Fields

Workshop KIAS Introduction Section 2 Section 3

Section 4



- Rare processes are typically related to  $\Delta F = 1, 2$ .
- Universal theories: FCNC at tree-level only from mixing between SM and NP;
- For DM theories, i.e. if NP sector is dark (Z<sub>2</sub> odd), the physics of portals will emerge at the loop-level: Need of generalized formulas for penguins, for both vector and pseudo-scalar mediators;

$$i\Gamma_{A} = g^{2} \frac{V_{Us}^{*} V_{Ud}}{16\pi^{2}} A \left\{ a_{R}[\overline{s_{L}}d_{R}] + a_{L}[\overline{s_{R}}d_{L}] \right\}$$
(6)

$$i\Gamma_{G} = ig^{2} \frac{V_{Us}^{*} V_{Ud}}{16\pi^{2}} z_{L}^{G} G_{\mu}[\bar{s}_{L}\gamma^{\mu}d_{L}].$$
(7)



# $U(1)_H$ Phenomenology



Conclusions



Feynman diagrams for  $K_L \to \phi_I \phi_R.$ 

< □ > < □ > < 三 > < 三 > < 三 > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □



# $U(1)_{\mathsf{H}}$ Phenomenology

# Workshop KIAS

- Section 2
- Section 3
- Section 4
- Conclusions

- i Pseudo-scalar A only, where  $Z_H$  effects are absent and  $s_\theta \to 0.$  This is close to test 2HDM models;
- ii Z,  $\mathsf{Z}_H$  only and a decoupled pseudo-scalar. This is close to test  $U(1)_X$  theories;
- iii All off-shell A, Z,  $Z_H$  contributing. This scenario tests  $2HDM_X$ , and includes massive neutrinos as the source of missing mass;
- iv Light  $Z_H$  resonance mediating fermion production  $(\nu_i)$ .



# $U(1)_{\mathsf{H}}$ Phenomenology



 $K_{\,L}\,\rightarrow\,\phi_{\,R}\,\phi_{\,I}$  at the EW scale, mediated by (a) pseudo-scalar and (b)  $\,G-Z,Z_{\,H}\,.$ 

- At the EW scale, the theory would rely on the presence of a light (MeV) scalar among its spectra, which must regulate φ<sub>I</sub> abundance;
- DM annihilation from light scalar, s-channel: p-wave, safe from CMB bounds;
- The light scalar would enter in the KOTO physics at the three-body level;

# $U(1)_{\mathsf{H}}$ Phenomenology



 $K_L\to \phi_R\,\phi_I$  and  $K_L\to \nu_i\,\nu_j.$  The red band is affected by the mass-mixing suppression in leptophobic theories.

- $\phi_I$  abundance regulated by  $\phi_I \phi_R \rightarrow Z_H^* \rightarrow SM$ .;
- $\phi_R$  decayed away at time of recombination: safe from CMB bounds;
- The  $\nu_j \rightarrow \nu_i \pi$  requires the hierarchy  $(M_{\nu_i}, M_{\nu_j}, M_{\nu_k}) = (0.1 eV, MeV, M_{\nu_k})$ , which can be realized in our setup;



#### Conclusions

# KIAS

Workshop

- Section 2
- Section 3
- Section 4
- Conclusions

- We provide a class of theories of enlarged dark sector;
- We provide generalized formulas of loop-corrected  $\Delta F=1$  vertices;
- We probe the physics of vector and pseudo-scalar portals for a particular DM model at the J-PARC KOTO.