# Radiative Neutrino Masses, Lepton Anomalous Magnetic Moments and Dark Matter

Vandana Sahdev<sup>1</sup>

in collaboration with Kirtiman Ghosh & Debajyoti Choudhury

Department of Physics and Astrophysics, University of Delhi, Delhi, India

## Proposal

A simultaneous explanation of three of the pervading issues of the standard model viz.

- SM neutrino masses
- Anomalous magnetic moments of electron and muon
- Dark matter relic density
- via introduction of vector-like fermions and an inert scalar-doublet.

Model

$$\begin{split} & \mathbf{SM \ Sector \ under \ } SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes Z_2 \\ \hline & l_{iL} \equiv \left(\nu_{iL} \ e_{iL}\right)^T : \ (1,2,-1/2,+), \ e_{iR} : \ (1,1,-1,+) \\ & q_{iL} \equiv \left(u_{iL} \ d_{iL}\right)^T : \ (3,2,1/6,+) \\ & u_{iR} : \ (3,1,2/3,+), \ d_{iR} : \ (3,1,-1/3,+) \\ & H \equiv \left(h^+ \ [h+i\eta]/\sqrt{2}\right)^T : \ (1,2,1/2,+) \end{split}$$

 $\begin{array}{l} \textbf{Exotic Sector under } SU(3)_C \otimes SU(2)_L \otimes U(1)_Y \otimes Z_2 \\ \hline L_{\alpha L/R} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} N_{\alpha L/R}^D & E_{\alpha L/R}^D \end{pmatrix}^T : (1, 2, -1/2, -) \\ E_{\alpha L/R}^S : (1, 1, -1, -), & N_{\alpha R}^S : (1, 1, 0, -) \\ Q_{\alpha L/R} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} U_{\alpha L/R}^D & D_{\alpha L/R}^D \end{pmatrix}^T : (3, 2, 1/6, -) \\ U_{\alpha L/R}^S : (3, 1, 2/3, -), & D_{\alpha L/R}^S : (3, 1, -1/3, -) \\ \Phi \equiv \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ & [\phi_S + i\phi_P]/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}^T : (1, 2, 1/2, -) \end{array}$ 

#### Table: Field content of the model along with their quantum numbers.

## Lagrangian

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{Mass}} \supset m_Q^{\alpha\beta} \overline{Q_{\alpha L}} Q_{\beta R} + m_U^{\alpha\beta} \overline{U_{\alpha L}^S} U_{\beta R}^S + m_D^{\alpha\beta} \overline{D_{\alpha L}^S} D_{\alpha L}^S D_{\beta R}^S \\ &+ m_L^{\alpha\beta} \overline{L_{\alpha L}} L_{\beta R} + m_E^{\alpha\beta} \overline{E_{\alpha L}^S} E_{\beta R}^S + \frac{1}{2} m_N^{\alpha\beta} \overline{\left(N_{\alpha R}^S\right)^c} N_{\beta R}^S + \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \tag{1} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}}^H \supset z_{LU}^{\alpha\beta} \overline{Q_{\alpha L}} \tilde{H} U_{\beta R}^S + z_{RU}^{\alpha\beta} \overline{Q_{\alpha R}} \tilde{H} U_{\beta L}^S + z_{LD}^{\alpha\beta} \overline{Q_{\alpha L}} H D_{\beta R}^S + z_{RD}^{\alpha\beta} \overline{Q_{\alpha R}} H D_{\beta L}^S \\ &+ z_{LN}^{\alpha\beta} \overline{L_{\alpha L}} \tilde{H} N_{\beta R}^S + z_{LE}^{\alpha\beta} \overline{L_{\alpha L}} H E_{\beta R}^S + z_{RE}^{\alpha\beta} \overline{L_{\alpha R}} H E_{\beta L}^S + \text{H.c.} \end{aligned} \tag{2} \\ \mathcal{L}_{\text{Yuk}}^\Phi \supset y_{qU}^{i\alpha} \overline{q_{iL}} \tilde{\Phi} U_{\alpha R}^S + y_{uQ}^{i\alpha} \overline{u_{iR}} \tilde{\Phi}^{\dagger} Q_{\alpha L} + y_{qD}^{i\alpha} \overline{q_{iL}} \Phi D_{\alpha R}^S + y_{dQ}^{i\alpha} \overline{d_{iR}} \Phi^{\dagger} Q_{\alpha L} \\ &+ y_{lN}^{i\alpha} \overline{l_{iL}} \tilde{\Phi} N_{\alpha R}^S + y_{lE}^{i\alpha} \overline{l_{iL}} \Phi E_{\alpha R}^S + y_{eL}^{i\alpha} \overline{e_{iR}} \Phi^{\dagger} L_{\alpha L} + \text{H.c.}, \end{aligned} \tag{3} \\ V(H, \Phi) = -\mu_H^2 H^{\dagger} H + \lambda_H \left(H^{\dagger} H\right)^2 + \mu_\Phi^2 \left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right) + \lambda_\Phi \left(\Phi^{\dagger} \Phi\right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

$$V(H,\Phi) = -\mu_{H}^{2}H^{\dagger}H + \lambda_{H} (H^{\dagger}H)^{2} + \mu_{\Phi}^{2} (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) + \lambda_{\Phi} (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi)^{2} + \lambda_{1} (H^{\dagger}H) (\Phi^{\dagger}\Phi) + \lambda_{2} |H^{\dagger}\Phi|^{2} + \lambda_{3} [(H^{\dagger}\Phi)^{2} + h.c.].$$

$$(4)$$





$$y_{lN} = \left(\frac{4\pi}{v}\lambda_3^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right) U_{MNS}^* D_{\sqrt{\nu}} R M_{\sqrt{\Delta}} \tag{6}$$

In the simplified scenario (i.e.,  $m_L = \widetilde{m}_L \times I_{n \times n}$  and  $m_N = \widetilde{m}_N \times I_{n \times n}$ )

$$M_{\sqrt{\Delta}} = \left[\frac{\widetilde{m}_N}{I\left(\frac{m_{\phi_S}^2}{\widetilde{m}_N^2}\right)}\right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \times \mathbf{I}_{n \times n} \tag{7}$$

## Lepton Flavor Violation & Anomalous Magnetic Moments



Figure: Feynman diagrams generating the process  $l_j \rightarrow l_i \gamma$ .

Discrepancies  $\Delta a_{\mu} = 2.61 \times 10^{-9}$  and  $\Delta a_{e} = -0.88 \times 10^{-12}$  [1] are explained at 1-loop level as shown above while surviving constraints from LFV decays.

## Dark Matter Relic Density

With **DM candidate** as an admixture of singlet-doublet  $Z_2$ -odd neutral fermions,  $\Omega h^2 = 0.119$  [2] is explained.

$$z_{LN} = z. \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad z_{LE} = 0.3 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \quad y_{lE} = \begin{pmatrix} 0.1 & -0.1 \\ 1 & 1 \\ 0.0 & 0.0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 (8)



Figure: Values of  $\widetilde{m}_L$  and  $\widetilde{m}_N$  satisfying relic density of DM for fixed parameters  $\mu_{\Phi}=1200$  GeV,  $z_{LE}=0.3$ ,  $z_{LN}=0.001$  and  $\widetilde{m}_E=500-2000$  GeV.

m, [GeV]

## Conclusion

With the available particle spectrum, a feasible parameter space exists whereby it is possible to generate neutrino masses, address the discrepancy in anomalous magnetic moment of muon and electron as well as explain the observed relic density of dark matter within the purview of constraints from lepton flavour violating decays and direct detection of dark matter.

## References

- [1] P. A. Zyla *et al.* [Particle Data Group], PTEP **2020**, no.8, 083C01 (2020) doi:10.1093/ptep/ptaa104
- [2] M. Tanabashi *et al.* [Particle Data Group], Phys. Rev. D 98, no.3, 030001 (2018) doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.98.030001