Discussion on the Solid servers

2020/11/17 Maria Dimou, Michiel de Jong, Jan Schill Notes by Maria - Definitions by Michiel.

Definitions

- 1. **Node Solid Server (NSS)** was started 5 years ago by the Solid team at MIT. It is still the only *open source* server that really works. The code was mostly written by PhD students.
- Enterprise Solid Server (ESS) is inrupt (https://inrupt.com/)'s commercial *closed* source alternative, based on Trellis. They only just launched it in November 2020. <u>Article (https://sdtimes.com/data/inrupt-launches-enterprise-solid-server-to-restore-trust-in-data/)</u>.
- 3. **Community Solid Server (CSS)** is an *open source* project by Ghent University, paid for by Inrupt, to rewrite NSS from scratch in TypeScript. It passes some of the tests, but only for a small subset of the functionality so far.
- 4. **php-solid-server (PSS)** is *open source*, passes more tests, but is also still incomplete. It's the basis for the Nextcloud app that makes Nextcloud compatible with Solid.

Discussion

- The Solid specifications are currently incomplete and experimental, mostly defined by PhD students.
- Some security issues remain open, at least, as far as we know, with NSS and CSS, as *Web Access Control (WAC)* is not implemented yet. There are vulnerabilities related to *WebSockets* as well, <u>as per this issue (https://github.com/solid/solid-ui/issues/360)</u>.
- The test suites show different behaviour for each of the, above mentioned, Solid server implementations, for those where tests were officially run.
 - These tests were not run on the ESS officially so far, inrupt hasn't given demo accounts yet.
 - <u>Pete Edwards (https://github.com/edwardsph)</u>, hired by inrupt this week, will add tests for Access Control policies.
- Links to existing tests:
 - https://github.com/solid/test-suite#introduction
 - https://gitter.im/solid/test-suite
- Tim Berners-Lee, Michiel and 3 more people work on the SolidOS development. Code is included in the UI (https://github.com/solid/solid-ui).

Conclusions

- 1. inrupt is not like Mozilla, which does have paid members but makes open source products. inrupt gives priority to its own customers, hence the closed source implementation.
- 2. Data portability for different data formats will be an attractive asset for Solid adoption.
- 3. One doesn't get a fully working environment by switching to Solid yet. It is at the stage

Linux was in the 1990ies.

- 4. Still the need to defend personal data stores and adherence to well defined web standards is becoming increasingly obvious and a strategic choice for the future.
- 5. For the next 5 years Solid will remain "philosophical". Still, it is an investment, which *shall* eventually work. We shall then be happy that we had the vision to embrasse Solid early.