Testing HPK Planar Pixel Sensors for the CMS Phase 2 Upgrade



SPONSORED BY THE



Federal Ministry of Education and Research

Finn Feindt On behalf of the CMS Tracker Group

University of Hamburg

Febuary 16, 2021 - 16th Trento Workshop, Online

Finn Feindt (University of Hamburg)

Introduction – CMS Phase-2 Upgrade

High-Luminosity LHC

• Lumi. = $7.5 \times 10^{34} \, \text{cm}^{-2} \, \text{s}^{-1}$; Pileup = 200

To avoid cluster merging and improve spatial resolution \Rightarrow reduce pixel size by factor 6

• $25 \times 100 \,\mu\text{m}^2$ or $50 \times 50 \,\mu\text{m}^2$

To deal with trapping due to radiation damage \Rightarrow reduce drift distance and enlarge electric fields

- Thickness of 150 µm for planar sensors
- Or 3D sensors (layer 1)

Key criterion

• Efficiency $> 99\,\%$ for bias voltages $< 800\,V$



Expected radiation damage in barrel (TDR numbers)

Sensor Design – Cross Section for ROC4SENS

CMS Phase-2 Prototype Design Variants

- Manufactured by Hamamatsu
- $\bullet~n^+p\text{-pixel sensors}~(n^+ \Rightarrow \text{collecting electrons})$
- Geometry: $100{\times}25\,\mu\text{m}^2$ and $50{\times}50\,\mu\text{m}^2$
- Pixel isolation schemes, p-stop and p-spray
- With and without biasing scheme
- Goal: Find best design for CMS Phase-2

Two Readout Chips

- PSI ROC4SENS readout chip No zero suppression, 12 bit digitization
- RD53A 65 nm, prototype Threshold $< 1000 \, e^-, \, 4$ bit digitization

Top view 100x25 µm², ROC4SENS



Cut image along red arrow

UH Universität Ham Der Forschung | Der Lehre | Der

Sensor Design – Variants for ROC4SENS

CMS Phase-2 Prototype Design Variants

- Manufactured by Hamamatsu
- $\bullet~n^+p\text{-pixel sensors}~(n^+ \Rightarrow collecting electrons)$
- Geometry: $100 \text{x} 25 \, \mu m^2$ and $50 \text{x} 50 \, \mu m^2$
- Pixel isolation schemes, p-stop and p-spray
- With and without biasing scheme
- Goal: Find best design for CMS Phase-2

Two Readout Chips

- PSI ROC4SENS readout chip No zero suppression, 12 bit digitization
- RD53A 65 nm, prototype Threshold $< 1000\,e^-,\,4$ bit digitization



Sensor Design – Two Submissions



- Test large variety of design variants
- Study sensor physics
- Work with new RD53A

2019 HPK for RD53A and CROC

- Several enhancements implemented:
 - Bitten implants to reduce crosstalk
 - Implant size increased
- Limited to most promising design choices:
 - $100 \text{x} 25\,\mu\text{m}^2$ and $50 \text{x} 50\,\mu\text{m}^2$
 - With and <u>without</u> punch through
 - Bricked 100x25 μm²



Standard design ROC4SENS



Standard design RD53A 2017



Standard design RD53A 2019



Beam Test Setup – In Pictures





Most studies: Beam incidence 0° to sensor normal, temperature T ≈ -24 °C, no annealing

Finn Feindt (University of Hamburg)

Efficiency Measurements – Summarv

Learnings from Previous Results*

- Larger n^+ implants reduce efficiency losses at the pixel boundaries
- Efficiency losses at the bias dot, especially for small track angles

Measurements with ROC4SENS

- $100 \times 25 \,\mu\text{m}^2$, $\phi_{eg} = 5.4 \times 10^{15} \,\text{cm}^{-2}$
- Results in a difference of 100 V to reach efficiency = 99%

Measurements with RD53A (lin FE)

- $100 \times 25 \,\mu\text{m}^2$, $\phi_{eg} = 5.6 \times 10^{15} \,\text{cm}^{-2}$
- Losses up to $\approx 30\%$ at bias dot







Efficiency Measurements – Exploring the Final Fluence

Conditions

- RD53A readout chip (lin FE)
- Various designs, irradiation facilities and fluences
- $\bullet\,$ Vertical incidence, T $\approx -26\,^\circ\text{C}$
- At best achievable threshold (varies $pprox 900 1300 \, \mathrm{e}$)
- Different numbers of masked pixels
- Requirements are fulfilled even at $\phi_{eq} = 2 \times 10^{16} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$
- $\bullet\,$ Track angles above 30° need to be studied

Finn Feindt (University of Hamburg)

Planar Pixel Sensors - CMS Phase 2 Upgrade



Parameter	Value	Measured at
polarity	n ⁺ p	
active thickness	150 µm	
pixel size	$\begin{array}{l} 50\times 50\ \mu\text{m}^2\\ 100\times 25\ \mu\text{m}^2 \end{array}$	
breakdown voltage	300 V	before irradiation
breakdown voltage	800 V	after $5 \times 10^{15} \text{ n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$
leakage current at V _{depl} +50 V	0.75 μA/cm ²	before irradiation
leakage current at 600 V	45 μA/cm ²	after $5 \times 10^{15} \text{ n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$
hit ϵ before irradiation	99%	V_{depl} +50 V
hit $\epsilon > 5 \times 10^{15} \text{ n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$	99%	800V, -20°C
hit $\epsilon > 1 \times 10^{16} \text{ n}_{eq}/\text{cm}^2$	98%	800V, -20°C



Feb. 16, 2021 - TREDI2021 7 / 15

Efficiency Measurements – Exploring the Final Fluence



Conditions

- RD53A readout chip (lin FE)
- Various designs, irradiation facilities and fluences
- $\bullet\,$ Vertical incidence, T $\approx -2\,^\circ C$
- Threshold range 1200 1320 e)
- Different numbers of masked pixels
- Requirements are fulfilled even at $\phi_{eq} = 2 \times 10^{16} \, {\rm cm}^{-2}$
- $\bullet\,$ Track angles above $30^\circ\,$ need to be studied



Spatial Resolution – Non-Irradiated Samples

 $\approx 17^{\circ}$



Conditions

- RD53A readout chip (lin FE)
- Room temperature, 120 V
- Thresholds 750 e 1250 e

Observations

- For $25 \times 100 \, \mu m^2$ Minimum at $pprox 9^\circ$ Resolution σ_{x} $\approx 2\,\mu m$
- For $50 \times 50 \,\mu\text{m}^2$ Minimum at Resolution σ_{x} $\approx 4\,\mu m$



Finn Feindt (University of Hamburg)

Spatial Resolution – Non-Irradiated Samples



Conditions

- RD53A readout chip (lin FE)
- Room temperature, 120 V
- Thresholds $750 \,\mathrm{e} 1250 \,\mathrm{e}$

Observations

- Standard design: Resolutio σ_y independent of turn angle
- Bricked design: Resolution σ_y improves with increasing angle 30 % - 40 % around 9°



Spatial Resolution – $50 \times 50 \, \mu m^2$ Proton Irradiated

- Is the resolution after irradiation better than for 'digital readout' (14.4 $\mu m)?$ Conditions
 - ROC4SENS readout chip
 - Non-irradiated at 120 V, T \approx 20 $^\circ\text{C}$
 - Irradiated at 800 V, T $\approx -24\,^{\circ}\text{C}$
 - Thresholds at \approx (5), 10 % of the Landau MPV for the (non-)irradiated samples
 - Implant sizes $26 \times 26 \,\mu\text{m}^2$ and $36 \times 36 \,\mu\text{m}^2$

Observations

- Larger implant \Rightarrow better at minimum
- Smaller implant \Rightarrow smaller variations







50x50 µm² Proton Irradiated – Charge Sharing





Spatial Resolution – $25 \times 100 \, \mu m^2$ Proton Irradiated



Is the resolution after irradiation better than for 'digital readout' $(7.2 \,\mu m)$?

Conditions

- RD53A readout chip (lin FE)
- $\phi_{eq} = 1.2 imes 10^{16} \, {
 m cm^{-2}}$ (KIT)
- At 800 V, $\mathcal{T}\approx-27\,^\circ\text{C}$
- Threshold 1250 e

Observations

- Resolution degraded, but still better than 'digital readout'
- Cluster still well above 1

Constrains on setup permit larger angles



- Subtracted $\sigma_{TEL} = 8.9 \, \mu m$ (calculated)
- Find resolution $\sigma_x = 6.3 \, \mu \text{m}$ at 8°

Cross Talk – In $25 \times 100 \,\mu m^2$ Sensors

Test Pulse Measurements on RD53A Modules

- Send test pulse to all pixels (consecutively)
- Count number of pixels above threshold
- Find amplitude μ_{50} required for occupancy of 50 % (correspondingly for 150 %, 250 %)

• Cross talk
$$x = \frac{r}{r+1}$$
, $r = \frac{\mu_{50}}{\mu_{150}}$ for standard
Cross talk $x = \frac{r}{2r+1}$, $r = \frac{\mu_{50}}{\mu_{250}}$ for bricked

Results (similar chip settings, thresholds)

- Non-bitten
- Bitten, bricked (each pixel)
- Bitten





Planar Pixel Sensors - CMS Phase 2 Upgrade

x = 13%

x = 6%

x = 8%

Conclusion

Conclusion



- Testing planar pixel prototype sensors for the CMS Inner Tracker Phase 2 Upgrade
 - Pixel size $25{\times}100\,\mu\text{m}^2$ and $50{\times}50\,\mu\text{m}^2$
 - $\bullet\,$ Radiation hardness qualification up to $\phi_{\it eq}=2\times10^{16}\,{\rm cm}^{-2}$
- $\bullet\,$ Concluded on intense sensor studies $\Rightarrow\,$ New submission to Hamamatsu in 2019
 - Bitten implants for reduced crosstalk
 - Increase implant size for better efficiency and resolution
 - Decided for design without punch through bias dot for better efficiency
 - Bricked design is a promising, non-baseline option
 - \Rightarrow better resolution demonstrated for small track angles (central barrel) and reduced crosstalk
- Many efficiency studies with RD53A on sensors from the 2017 Submission
 - Irradiated up to $\phi_{eq}=2 imes 10^{16}\,{
 m cm^{-2}}$ (KIT) \Rightarrow Reach 99% at pprox 620 V
- First sensors from 2019 submission tested
 - Spatial resolution $\sigma_x = 6.3 \,\mu\text{m}$ at 8° after proton irradiation to $\phi_{eq} = 1.2 \times 10^{16} \,\text{cm}^{-2}$ (KIT)

We are finalizing the design of a planar pixel sensor for the CMS Phase-2 Upgrade

Backup

Backup – Beam Test Setup







DESY II Beam Test Facility

- Circumference $u = 293 \, m$
- Electron/ positron beam
- Conversion $e^-(e^+) o \gamma o e^-(e^+)$
- Energies from 1 to 6 GeV
- Peak rates around 10 kHz

Beam Telescope

- EUDET DATURA Telescope for tracking
- Scintillators for triggering
- 6 MIMOSA-26 planes ($t_{int} = 115 \, \mu s$)
- Phase 1 module as time **REF**erence
- Device Under Test

Backup – Efficiency Definition

Hit Efficiency

• Eff:
$$\epsilon = \frac{N_h(hit \ on \ track)}{N(track)}$$
, $\sigma_\epsilon = \sqrt{\frac{\epsilon(1-\epsilon)}{N}}$

- Track definition:
 - Get upstream and downstream triplets
 - Match triplets using 0.1 mm cut
 - Demand REF-link; 0.15 mm cut
 - Demand 0.6 mm isolation at time REF
- Hit on track definition:
 - Extrapolate upstream-track to DUT
 - Demand DUT-link (pixel); 0.2 mm cut
 - Pixel PH cut
 - Significance cut of 4 (RMS \approx 7 ADC)
- Fiducial region 0.2 mm to sensor edges



Signal Definition

- Same selection as for efficiencies
- If efficient ⇒ fill larges cluster charge in 0.2 mm cut (for proton irradiated)
- If inefficient fill 0
- Perform Moyal fit in xy-bins (excl. 0)
- For proton irradiated 2 mm beam-spot radius



Backup – Efficiency Measurements – Implant Size

- Nonuniform irradiation: Efficiency quoted for beam spot region (r = 2 mm)
- 100 V difference for efficiency = 99 %
- Go for p-stop with enlarged implants
- No early breakdown for \approx 70 samples









Backup – Efficiency Measurements – In-Pixel Variations

Where do we lose efficiency?

- $\bullet~300$ and $800\,V$ runs from previous slide
- Efficiency projected into 1 pixel cell in the $100\,\mu m$ direction
- Comparing at 300 V
 - Default design has 3 % more loss than max implant design at pixel edges
 - Larger pixel implant increases efficiency in pixel edge regions

P-Spray would allow even larger implantsChose p-stop (HPK Recommendation)

Implant sizes: 9 x 84.5 μm^2 VS 12.5 x 87.5 μm^2





Backup – Efficiency Loss at Bias Dot



- Using RD53A readout chip (lin FE)
- $\phi_{eq} = 5.6 \times 10^{15} \,\mathrm{cm}^{-2}$ (PS), T $\approx -26\,^{\circ}\mathrm{C}$
- \bullet Inclined in the 100 μm direction
- Up to 30% efficiency drop at bias dot

Decision taken

- Favor design without bias dot
- But bias dot design can be tested
- \Rightarrow include some in the 2019 submission (as backup)



Backup

Backup – Final Fluence Leakage Current



Leakage currents $\cap \cap$ Leakage current [µA] Efficiency [%] 565; 5.0 · 10¹⁵ n_{eq} (Bh) • 542; 7.4 · 10¹⁵ n_{eq} (Ka) 589; 2.1 · 10¹⁶ n_{eq} (Ka) ń ---- m547, 4.4e15 n_{eg}/cm², KAZ -... m564, 5.0e15 n_/cm², BIR m542, 7.4e15 n_/cm², KAZ m589, 2.1e16 n_m/cm², KAZ V_{bias} [V] sensor bias [V]

Finn Feindt (University of Hamburg)

Planar Pixel Sensors - CMS Phase 2 Upgrade

Feb. 16, 2021 - TREDI2021 21 / 15

Backup

Backup – Cross Talk from Test beam





210



Feb. 16. 2021 - TREDI2021

UΗ

Universität Hamburg DER FORSCHUNG | DER LEHRE | DER BILDUNG

22 / 15

Planar Pixel Sensors - CMS Phase 2 Upgrade

Finn Feindt (University of Hamburg)

linked 1-col clusters

2000 -1800

1600

1400

1200

1000

800

600 -

400

200

200 205