



Geant4 in ATLAS Report

Geant4 Technical Forum 21st January 2021



Marilena Bandieramonte (University of Pittsburgh) On behalf of the ATLAS collaboration



Computing complexity challenge



- The upgrade to the HL-LHC for Run 4 produces a step change for ATLAS.
- The beam intensity will rise substantially, giving bunch crossings where the number of discrete proton-proton interactions (pileup) will rise **to about 200**, from about 35 today (2018 and foreseen for 2022)
- Accurate simulations and larger Monte Carlo samples will be needed to achieve the desired precision in physics measurements, while avoiding that simulation dominates the systematic uncertainties
 - ATLAS plans different R&D lines to reduce the need for detailed full simulation





New Geant4 version for Run3



- We are testing different Geant4 versions to decide which will be the default for RUN3. Likely:
 - Geant4.10.6
 - Geant4.10.7
- Geant4.10.6.patch03.atlas01 in the process of become the default version in Athena master
- Plan to have a local build with Geant4.10.7 as well
- Birk's constant tuning
 - Effects still to be fully understood (comparison with default MC16 Geant4 version)





New Geant4 Optimization Task Force



- The Geant4 (G4) Optimisation Task Force (TF) is responsible for optimising the performance of the ATLAS G4 simulation software:
 - investigating configuration options and simplified geometries and magnetic-field descriptions
 - improving the ATLAS interface code to G4.
- The TF's one-year mandate is to achieve for Run 3 >30% CPU performance improvements compared to the comparable Run-2 simulation
- Kick-off meeting was held on 1 September 2020.
- Some of the activities:
 - Taking advantage of **intrinsic performance optimizations** coming with newer Geant4 versions
 - Optimization with tuning of G4 parameters (physics models, physics lists per regions)
 - Neutron and Photon Russian Roulette + EM range cuts (ongoing physics validation)
 - Geometry optimisations (new EMEC variants + R&D on ML guided steppers in geometry)
 - Magnetic field tailored switch-off
 - Geant4 linking as static library (a.k.a. Big library)
 - Explore machine learning options especially for simulations optimization:
 - Machine learning solutions to optimise the detector simulation and optimally tune/re-weight parameters (i.e. physics models, physics lists per regions, range cuts, magnetic field)



Approximate timeline

- The new G4 Optimization task force main goal is to speedup ATLAS Geant4 simulation by >30%
 - By the start of RUN3 MC campaign (End of Sept 2021)

undergoing a physics

validation campaign

• Expected speedup from ongoing optimization activities with respective risk-level:



make it tested and ready for

production

FullSimLight



FullSimLight[^]: a light full simulation prototype





*Including the new EMEC variants:

- Wheel
- Cone
- Slices

M. Bandieramonte, University of Pittsburgh

**# Number of primaries per event (default [1, 10])
Primary particle energy (default [1 GeV, 100 GeV])
Primary particle direction (default isotropic distribution)
Primary particle type (currently e-, e+ and gamma, geantino)

***Mean energy deposit

Mean charged and neutral step lengths Mean number of steps made by charged and neutral particles Mean number of secondary e-, e+ and gamma particles



Intrinsic Geant4 10.6 performance improvements



EM physics reduced number of calls to calculation of log (particle_kineticEn):

- Run with *FullSimLight*
 - Geant4.10.6.p00.atlas01/Geant4.10.5.p00.atlas01
- full ATLAS geometry
- constant MagField (4 Tesla) or ATLAS MagField (AtlasRK4 with NEW way of setting the stepper)
- 10k mixed 10 GeV primaries (e+,e-, gamma), isotropic distribution
- Perf stat with 10 runs
- Speedup with constant magnetic field ~ 7.86%
- Speedup with ATLAS magnetic field ~5.04%

General Gamma Process:

- Run with *FullSimLight* + Geant4.10.6.2 + full ATLAS geometry + constant MagField (4 Tesla)
- 10k mixed 10 GeV primaries (e+,e-, gamma), isotropic distribution
- Perf stat with 10 runs: Speedup ~6.54%
- Run with **Athena**, private build with geant4.10.6
- 100 tt-bar events
- Perf stat with 10 runs: speedup ~4.35%

Ger	neral Gamma Process	OFF		
INFO Statistics f	or 'evt': (nbr en	tries = 99)		INF
INFO <cpu>:</cpu>	(390761.616 +/-	14102.411)	ms	INF
INFO <cpu user="">:</cpu>	(390358.081 + / -	14086.755)	ms	INFO
INFO <cpu sys="">:</cpu>	(403.535 +/-	28.740)	ms	INFO
INFO <real>:</real>	(390805.980 +/-	14102.045	ms	INF
INFO <vmem>:</vmem>	(2843.980 +/-	0.413)	MB	INFO
INFO <malloc>:</malloc>	(0.000 +/-	0.000	MB	INF
INFO <nalloc>:</nalloc>	(0.000 +/-	0.000)	calls	INFO
INFO <rt>:</rt>	(390762.326 +/-	14102.472)	ns	INFO

1.1

 G4GammaGeneralProcess 				
Photoeffect	Rayleigh			
Compton	e+e- pair			
Gamma.nuclear	Mu+Mu- pair			

General Gamma Process	ON
-----------------------	----

0 Statistics for 'evt': (nbr entries = 99) 0 <cpu>: 373643.475 +/- 13356.019) ms 373380.545 +/-<cpu user>: 13352.104) 262.929 +/-0 <cpu_sys>: 35.477) ms 374230.626 +/- 13374.682) ms 0 <real>: 0 <vmem>: 2848.944 +/-0.438) MB 0 <malloc>: 0.000 + / -0.000) MB 0 <nalloc>: 0.000 + / -0.000) calls 0 <rt>: 373643.823 + / - 13356.004)



Benchmarks new/old way of setting stepper/driver



- Run with **FullSimLight** + full ATLAS geometry + ATLAS MagField
- Geant4 versions:
 - Geant4.10.1.patch03.atlas07: MC16 default production version
 - Geant4.10.4.patch03.atlas01: currently in master
 - Geant4.10.5.patch01.atlas01
 - Geant4.10.6.patch00.atlas01
- NEW and OLD way of setting the AtlasRK4 stepper
- 10k mixed 10 GeV primaries (e+,e-, gamma), isotropic distribution
- Perf stat with 10 runs

Geant4 version	OLD WAY	NEW WAY	Speedup NEW vs OLD	Speedup OLD way	Speedup NEW way
g4.10.1p03.atl07	5669.88+- 50.35 sec				
g4.10.4.p03.atl01	5143.27+-19.52 sec.	5303.06+- 24.06 sec.	+3.10%	10.5 vs 10.4 = +3.04%	10.5 vs 10.4 = -0.7%
g4.10.5.p00.atl01	5299.94 +- 30.54 sec.	5265.06 +- 21.36 sec.	-0.65%	10.6 vs 10.5 = -4.15%	10.6 vs 10.5 = -5.04%
g4.10.6.p00.atl01	5079.57 +- 29.25 sec.	4999.33 +- 23.73 sec.	-1.58%	10.6 vs 10.4 = -1.95%	10.6 vs 10.4 = -5.72%

New way in Geant4.10.6 vs old way in Geant4.10.4 : -2.8%

New way in Geant4.10.6 vs old way in Geant4.10.1.patch03.atlas07 : -11.82%



Steppers performances across G4 versions



• Comparison of old/new way of setting different steppers across different Geant4 versions



Magnetic Field tailored Switch-off

- Speedup on simulation (~10%) observed after switching off the magnetic field in LAr calorimeter.
 - Simply turning the field off in a volume was not successful.
 - Workaround: create a *"transition region"*, where the field smoothly decays until zero value deep inside the calorimeter
 - Problems with G4 exceptions raised by the G4Transport solved
 - So far, there seems to be no gain in terms of CPU time
 - The switch-off not correctly passed through the whole stack





Magnetic Field tailored switch-off [1]

- The field in the barrel region is low and doesn't impact much the shower shapes (info):
 - Shower lateral width distributions of different calorimeter layers unchanged.
 - Rphi slightly affected in high eta.







Geometry Optimisations: TRT and EMEC



TRT

- Currently the TRT geometry is described using Boolean operations. This approach is not optimal as Boolean operations are slow.
- Idea: describe these volumes using alternative shapes:
 - extruded solid (e.g. BREP);
 - generic trapezoid (e.g. arb8);
 - tessellated solid.
- This can lead to:
 - significant reduction of the amount of code needed to describe the geometry
 - (~ hundreds of lines) -> gain in terms of code maintenance;
 - gain in computational terms (to be investigated).

EMEC

- EMEC detector is implemented with as a custom shape:
 - Recent optimisation (new variants) gives ~ 5% speedup
 - NEW Idea: implement it with std Geant4/VecGeom shapes/volumes
 - Twisted Trapezoids
 - Trapezoids
 - Tessellated Solids
 - Potential gain coming from
 - Use of standard shapes
 - Acceleration/vectorization (GPUs/VecGeom)











Quasi-stable particles



- Some long-lived b-hadrons may travel far enough to interact with the detector before decaying, so some energy deposits may be missed.
- Observed difference between the generator level decay length and the decay length after G4 simulation for quasi stable particle simulation
- Calculation of the proper lifetime of the pre-defined decay used to be done with:

```
const auto& prodVtx = genpart->production_vertex()->position();
const auto& endVtx = genpart->end_vertex()->position();
const G4LorentzVector lv0( prodVtx.x(), prodVtx.y(), prodVtx.z(), prodVtx.t() );
const G4LorentzVector lv1( endVtx.x(), endVtx.y(), endVtx.z(), endVtx.t() );
double proptime=(lv1-lv0).mag()/Gaudi::Units::c_light;
```

- Along the chain the precision of the **vertex time and position** was reduced to **float**, which caused the issue for highly boosted vertices
- If instead of using only the vertex position and time, the proper lifetime is calculated from the **3-distance of the vertices and the beta/gamma factors for the tau 4momentum**, the difference in decay length between the HepMC record and the predefined decay in G4 essentially disappears.
- Suggestion/Request to add a new Geant4 method
 - G4PrimaryParticle::SetProperTimeFromDetectorFrameDecayLength(double GeneratorDecayLength)



Difference in decay length [mm]



New ideas (I) : EMEC photon optimisation



- Photons don't interact during transport (no continuous energy deposition) and it seems that they are dominated by the transportation process
- 2 NEW IDEAS
 - Woodcock tracking:
 - Build a parallel geometry that shows all the EMEC as a large **volume** of **lead**
 - If a physics interaction happens for a photon:
 - check in which material the photon actually is
 - only accept this interaction with a probability proportional to the cross section ratio of the photon process in the "real" material compared to in lead
 - Kill photons that undergo to multiple transport steps (deposit energy locally). Similar to range cuts
 - Correct the accuracy with ML correction techniques in a highly parallel way





New ideas (II) : new ISF particle filter



- Kill primary particles generating secondaries close to the beam-pipe at 5-6 m
- There is a huge amount of secondaries being created 5-6m away from (0,0,0) close to the beampipe
- Many of these secondaries will never cause any energy in the calo or a muon hit
 - the primary particles that caused these interactions could just be dropped directly
- It would be worth generating a large sample of single particles with eta value 4.5-6 and different energies and then map out which eta/energy combinations can produce a relevant signal and then drop the rest directly with a new ISF particle filter.
- We already kill all particles at eta>6
 - Particles at eta>5 and pT < 10 GeV?
 - Or/and particles at eta>4 and pT < 1 GeV?
- To be investigated



Conclusions

- ATLAS will likely adopt Geant4.10.6/10.7 for RUN3
- Speedups coming from intrinsic **Geant4 improvements**:
 - GammaGeneralProc from Geant4.10.6 (~4.35% speedup): needs physics validation
 - Reduced n. of log calls in EM physics (~5.04% speedup)
 - Important: New stepper/driver should not penalise CPU performance:
 - New way in Geant4.10.6 vs old way in Geant4.10.1.patch03.atlas07 : ~11.82% speedup (NB:
 - this includes ~10% performance improvements across different G4 versions)
- Geant4 simulation related optimisations
 - NRR+PRR and EM range cuts (~20% speedup): validation ongoing
 - Geometry:
 - Ready to test the new EMEC variants implementations (~5% speedup): needs physics validation
 - Early stage for the other Geometry optimisations (TRT, EMEC with GPUs)
 - Big Library (~7% speedup):
 - Confirmed ~7% speedup with static linking and full ATLAS geometry
 - Currently running benchmarks in FullSimLight with full Geometry+ATLAS mag field
 - Next steps: implement within Athena
 - Magnetic Field switch-off, ISF particle killer and Gamma transport in the EMEC:
 - Very early stages



Thanks for your attention!

Marilena Bandieramonte marilena.bandieramonte@cern.ch





EM Range cuts + Neutron Russian Roulette



Miha Muskinja

- EM Range cuts + Neutron Russian Roulette
 - Physics Validation done for both separately: <u>ATLPHYSVAL-603</u>, <u>ATLPHYSVAL-604</u>
 - Physics Validation for both together almost done: <u>ATLPHYSVAL-632</u> – can be used for RUN3
 - Gain of ~20% in total CPU time
 - Lessons learned in validation:
 - Very important to suppress random fluctuations
 - Run without pileup to remove random fluctuations in pileup
 - Implement special Geant4 Stacking Action to simulate 'rouletted' particles last
 - Photon Russian Roulette:
 - First tests show 3% speedup for a weight of 10 and Eth of 0.5 MeV and 10% speedup for 1 MeV,
 - Going beyond the annihilation peak at 0.511 MeV might have bad effects on physics performance
 - Validation was paused until we resolved issues in random fluctuations
 - Validation continuing now: <u>ATLPHYSVAL-614</u>





Improved EMEC geometry

Andrei Sukharev [talk]



- LArWheelSolid uses helper G4Polycone for some internal calculations. •
 - The idea (issue ATLASSIM-3778): to replace these objects with a Ο
 - simpler (and thus faster) shape (i.e. a cone).
 - To keep local coordinate system (z = 0 at front face) we need a
 - G4ShiftedCone it was developed from standard G4Cons.
- Recently Implemented custom solid variants (selection is at job options level): •
 - Wheel the default LArWheelSolid with G4Polycone Ο
 - Cone improved LArWheelSolid with G4ShiftedCone outer wheel divided into two conical-shaped Ο sections
 - Slices new LArWheelSliceSolid each wheel is divided into many thick slices along Z axis Ο
- But also: •
 - code cleanup: reorganize cycles, remove unnecessary calculations Ο
 - optimized sincos calculation Ο
- Physics Validation will start when rel22 sim+reco version will be . validated(ATLPHYSVAL-651)
- Preliminary Performance test (thanks to Serhan Mete) •
 - ISF_FullG4 default vs. new EMEC Slices variant Ο
 - gave ~5-6% improvement on a quiet desktop machine





Geant4 as a static/single-dynamic library



Caterina Marcon & Ben Morgan



- Three different build types, default dynamic multi-library, dynamic single library and static library, have been used.
 - 2500 initial events and 4 threads.
 - Full GDML ATLAS model without EMEC.
 - The dynamic single library approach, for both GCC versions, increases the execution time up to 10%.
 - The dynamic single lib has been compiled with the PIC option enabled; previous studies, using non-PIC objects, found an improvement of 10% event/s -> under investigation.
 - The dynamic single library is 998 MB, the default dynamic multi-library is 1007 MB and the static library is 1.8 GB.

Talk at the kick-off meeting



Detector simulation requirements: ATLAS



- Very active Geant4 Full Simulation Optimization work ongoing
 - tackling RUN3 but also RUN4
- Taking advantage of **intrinsic performance optimizations** coming with newer Geant4 versions:
 - confirmed ~5% speedup coming from new GammaGeneralProcess + ~7% speedup btw Geant4.10.5 and Geant4.10.6 due to logarithmic calls reduction in EM physics
- Optimization with tuning of G4 parameters (physics models, physics lists per regions)
- Neutron and Photon Russian Roulette + EM range cuts (ongoing physics validation)
- Geometry optimisations (new EMEC variants + R&D on ML guided steppers in geometry)
- Magnetic field tailored switch-off
- Geant4 linking as static library (a.k.a. Big library)
- Explore machine learning options especially for simulations optimization:
 - Machine learning solutions to optimise the detector simulation and optimally tune/re-weight parameters (i.e. physics models, physics lists per regions, range cuts, magnetic field)





Benchmarks different steppers



- Run with **FullSimLight** + full ATLAS geometry + ATLAS MagField
- Geant4 versions:
 - Geant4.10.4.patch03.atlas01: currently in master
 - Geant4.10.5.patch01.atlas01
 - Geant4.10.6.patch00.atlas01
- NEW and OLD way of setting the AtlasRK4, DormandPrince745 and DormandPrinceRK56 steppers
- 10k mixed 10 GeV primaries (e+,e-, gamma), isotropic distribution
- Perf stat with 10 runs

Geant4 version	ATLASRK4(OLD)	DPRK56 (OLD)	DPK56/ATLASRK4 OLD way	ATLASRK4 (NEW)	DPRK56 (NEW)	DPK56/ATLASRK4 NEW way
g4.10.4.p03.atl01	5143.27+-19.52 sec.	6216.55+- 68.22 sec.	+20.86%	5303.06+- 24.06 sec.	6340.25 +- 153.74	
g4.10.5.p00.atl01	5299.94 +- 30.54 sec.	6345.10 +- 82.74 sec.	+19.72%	5265.06 +- 21.36 sec.	6544.45 +- 169.34	
g4.10.6.p00.atl01	5079.57 +- 29.25 sec.	5973.12 +- 108.6 sec.	+17.59%	4999.33 +- 23.73 sec.	6210.21+-116	

Geant4 version	ATLASRK4(OLD)	DP745 (OLD)	DP745/ATLASRK4 (OLD)	ATLASRK4 (NEW)	DP745(NEW)	DP754/ATLASRK4 (NEW)
g4.10.4.p03.atl01	5143.27+-19.52 sec.	5692.87 +- 131.20 sec	+10.68%	5303.06+- 24.06 sec.	5882.78+- 131.93	+10.93%
g4.10.5.p00.atl01	5299.94 +- 30.54 sec.	5834.20 +- 150.63 sec	+10.08%	5265.06 +- 21.36 sec.	5714.14 +- 128.57	+8.53%
g4.10.6.p00.atl01	5079.57 +- 29.25 sec.	5686.46 +- 143.76 sec	+11.94%	4999.33 +- 23.73 sec.	5391.98 +- 118.81	+7.85%

M. Bandieramonte, University of Pittsburgh

Using the InterpolationDriver



New Geant4 version for Run3



- We are testing different Geant4 versions to decide which will be the default for RUN3. Likely:
 - Geant4.10.6
 - Geant4.10.7
- Geant4.10.6.patch03.atlas01 in the process of become the default version in Athena master
- Plan to have a local build with Geant4.10.7 as well
- Birk's constant tuning
 - Effects still to be fully understood (comparison with default MC16 Geant4 version)

