



Quarkonia and heavy quark diffusion in the hot gluonic medium

Hai-Tao Shu¹

in collaboration with

H.-T. Ding², O. Kaczmarek^{1,2}, R. Larsen³, A.-L. Lorenz¹, H. Ohno⁴, H. Sandmeyer¹, S. Mukherjee⁵


¹ University of Bielefeld, ² CCNU, ³ University of Stavanger, ⁴ Tsukuba University, ⁵ BNL



SQM2021

USA, 17-22 May, 2021

Heavy quarks in heavy ion collisions



Heavy quarkonia are produced only in the early stage of collisions


- Some remain as bound state in the whole evolution
- Some **dissociate** in the hot medium and release constituents which travel through QGP, thermalize via **diffusion**
- Form open charm/bottom mesons during hadronization
 - ➔ Heavy quarkonia as thermometer of QGP
 - ➔ Heavy quark diffusion coefficient as crucial input for hydro/transport models to describe the experimental data

Hadron spectral functions

- Carry all information about the in-medium properties of quarkonia


- * Deformation of SPF
 - > dissociation temperature
- * Transport peak of SPF:
 - > heavy quark diffusion coefficient

$$D = \frac{1}{3\chi_q} \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{ii}^{trans}(\omega)}{\omega}$$



- Analytic continuation and spectral reconstruction

$$G_H(\tau, \vec{p}) = \sum_{x,y,z} \exp(-i\vec{p} \cdot \vec{x}) \langle J_H(0, \vec{0}) J_H^\dagger(\tau, \vec{x}) \rangle = \int \frac{d\omega}{\pi} K(\omega, \tau, T) \rho_H(\omega, \vec{p}, T)$$



- * Backus-Gilbert Method B. B. Brandt, et al., PRD93, 054510(2016)
- * Maximum Entropy Method M. Asakawa, et al., PPNP. 46(2001) 445-508
- * New Bayesian Method Y. Burnier and A. Rothkopf, PRL 111,18,182003
- * Stochastic Approaches H.-T. Ding, et al., PRD97, 094503
- * ...
- * **Fit with theoretically inspired ansatz**

Lattice setup


β	r_0/a	$a[\text{fm}](a^{-1}[\text{GeV}])$	N_σ	N_τ	T/T_c	# confs
7.192	26.6	0.018(11.19)	96	48	0.75	237
				32	1.1	476
				28	1.3	336
				24	1.5	336
				16	2.25	237
7.394	33.8	0.014(14.24)	120	60	0.75	171
				40	1.1	141
				30	1.5	247
				20	2.25	226
7.544	40.4	0.012(17.01)	144	72	0.75	221
				48	1.1	462
				42	1.3	660
				36	1.5	288
				24	2.25	237
7.793	54.1	0.009(22.78)	192	96	0.75	224
				64	1.1	291
				56	1.3	291
				48	1.5	348
				32	2.25	235

β	κ	$m_V[\text{GeV}]$	β	κ	$m_V[\text{GeV}]$
7.192	0.13194	3.21(1)	7.394	0.132008	3.38(2)
	0.1315	3.59(1)		0.1315	3.94(2)
	0.131	4.01(1)		0.131	4.47(2)
	0.13	4.81(1)		0.129	6.50(2)
	0.128	6.34(1)		0.124772	10.04(1)
	0.12257	10.11(1)			
7.544	0.13236	3.06(2)	7.793	0.13221	3.37(1)
	0.1322	3.28(1)		0.13209	3.59(1)
	0.1318	3.82(2)		0.13181	4.11(1)
	0.131	4.86(2)		0.13125	5.11(1)
	0.1295	6.70(2)		0.13019	6.92(1)
	0.12641	10.23(2)		0.12798	10.42(1)

- Large, fine, isotropic lattices in the quenched approximation (for large N_t)
- Five different temperatures
- Clover improved Wilson fermions
- Wide kappa (quark mass) range


Interpolation to physical masses

- Several heavy quark masses (κ) at each lattice spacing
- Pole masses not at exact physical masses
- Inter/extra-polate correlators to physical J/ψ , Υ mass



Extrapolation to continuum limit



- Extrapolate the correlators to continuum limit using spline interpolations



Combined spline fits taking care of separation interpolation and respecting the lattice action:

$$G_{ii}(\tau T) = \sum_{i=0}^d a_i (\tau T - (\tau T)_0)^i + \sum_{j=0}^n c_j (\tau T - t_j)_+^d \quad a_i = \frac{m}{N_\tau^2} + b$$


Temperature dependence of correlators



- Extract spectral functions from correlators via:

$$G_H(\tau) = \int \frac{d\omega}{\pi} K(\omega, \tau, T) \rho_H(\omega, T)$$

- Use a perturbatively inspired model spectral function





- transport peak
- bound state region
- continuum part

Perturbative spectral functions

- pNRQCD calculations applicable around the threshold [M. Laine, JHEP05(2007)028]
- Ultraviolet asymptotics valid well above the threshold [Y. Burnier and M. Laine, EPJC72, 1902(2012)]
- Combine two parts by interpolation: [Y. Burnier et al., JHEP11(2017)206]

$$\rho_V^{pert}(\omega) = A^{match} \Phi(\omega) \rho_V^{pNRQCD}(\omega) \theta(\omega^{match} - \omega) + \rho_V^{vac}(\omega) \theta(\omega - \omega^{match})$$

$m(\bar{u}_{ref}) = 5 \text{ GeV}$







- No transport peak in this channel:

$$\rho_{PS}^{mod}(\omega) = A \rho_{PS}^{pert}(\omega - B)$$

- Separate from the (sharp) transport peak:

$$\rho_{ii}(\omega) = \rho_{ii}^{trans}(\omega) + \rho_{ii}^{mod}(\omega)$$

Dissociation temperatures



- Fit model to the difference of adjacent correlators



$$G_{ii}^{diff}(\tau/a) = G_{ii}(\tau/a + 1) - G_{ii}(\tau/a) \approx G_{ii}^{mod}(\tau/a)$$

- For J/psi no resonance peak is needed to describe the lattice data even at $1.1T_c$
- For Upsilon the resonance peak persists to $1.5T_c$

Transport contribution

- Reconstruct the transport contribution: $G_{ii}^{trans}(\tau T) = G_{ii}(\tau T) - G_{ii}^{mod}(\tau T)$
- Solving transport peak using Lorentzian ansatz:


$$\rho_{ii}^{trans}(\omega) = 3\chi_q \frac{T}{M} \frac{\omega\eta}{\omega^2 + \eta^2} \quad \rightarrow \quad D = \frac{1}{3\chi_q} \lim_{\omega \rightarrow 0} \frac{\rho_{ii}^{trans}(\omega)}{\omega} \quad \eta = \frac{T}{MD}$$



- * Difficult to resolve D from the tiny curvature of G^{trans}
- * Not shown here but similar for bottomonium

Estimate transport peak from midpoint correlators

- Transport peak plays its most significant role at midpoint $\tau T=0.5$
- Calculate midpoint correlator by integrating Lorentzian ansatz with varying eta at physical charm&bottom quark mass
- Compare with lattice data and find range for eta from intersections



$$\rho_{ii}^{trans}(\omega) = 3\chi_q \frac{T}{M} \frac{\omega\eta}{\omega^2 + \eta^2}$$

	Charmonium		Bottomonium	
T/T_c	η/T	$2\pi TD$	η/T	$2\pi TD$
1.1	7.37-21.38	0.08-0.24	<0.81	>0.66
1.3	7.75-20.28	0.10-0.26	0.30-2.76	0.22-2.04
1.5	7.93-17.08	0.14-0.29	1.40-4.02	0.18-0.51
2.25	4.98-10.45	0.33-0.70	0.62-3.20	0.33-1.73

* Analysis of the upper integration limit suggests the results for charmonium not trustable

Estimate transport peak from thermal moments (I)

- Expand the correlation/kernel function around the midpoint

$$\begin{aligned}
 G_H(\tau T) &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \rho_H(\omega) \frac{\cosh(\omega(\tau - \frac{1}{2T}))}{\sinh(\frac{\omega}{2T})} \\
 &= \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \frac{\rho_H(\omega)}{\sinh(\frac{\omega}{2T})} \left(1 + \frac{1}{2!} \left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right)^2 (\tau T - 0.5)^2 + \frac{1}{4!} \left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right)^4 (\tau T - 0.5)^4 + \dots \right) \\
 &\approx \underline{G_H^{(0)}} + \underline{G_H^{(2)}} (\tau T - 0.5)^2 + \underline{G_H^{(4)}} (\tau T - 0.5)^4
 \end{aligned}$$

- Thermal moments defined as Taylor coefficients $G_H^{(n)} = \frac{1}{n!} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right)^n \frac{\rho_H(\omega)}{\sinh(\frac{\omega}{2T})}$
- Fit correlators to get the ratio of thermal moments $R_H^{n,m} = G_H^{(n)} / G_H^{(m)}$

$$\frac{\Delta_H(\tau T)}{G_H(\tau T = 0.5)} \approx R_H^{2,0} \left(1 + \sum_{n=1}^N R_H^{2n+2,2n} (\tau T - 0.5)^{2n} \right) \quad \Delta_H(\tau T) = \frac{G_H(\tau T) - G_H(\tau T = 0.5)}{(\tau T - 0.5)^2}$$



- Calculate the ratios from spectral function

$$R^{2,0}(A, B, \eta) = \frac{G_{mod}^{(2)}(A, B) + G_{trans}^{(2)}(\eta)}{G_{ii}^{mod}(\tau T = 0.5) + G_{ii}^{trans}(\tau T = 0.5)}$$

$$G_{mod}^{(2)}(A, B) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{\pi} \left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right)^2 A \rho_{ii}^{pert}(\omega - B) \frac{1}{\sinh(\frac{\omega}{2T})}$$

$$G_{trans}^{(2)}(\eta) = \frac{1}{2} \int_0^\infty \frac{d\omega}{T} \left(\frac{\omega}{T}\right)^2 3\chi_q \frac{T}{M} \frac{\omega\eta}{\omega^2 + \eta^2} \frac{1}{\cosh(\frac{\omega}{2\pi T}) \sinh(\frac{\omega}{2T})}$$



Estimate transport peak from thermal moments (II)



T/T_c	Charmonium		Bottomonium	
	η/T	$2\pi TD$	η/T	$2\pi TD$
1.1	-	-	-	-
1.3	<0.27	>7.48	-	-
1.5	0.85-2.78	0.84-2.73	-	-
2.25	3.32-5.28	0.66-1.05	0.29-1.10	0.97-3.66

- The intersections of different methods determine the range of eta

Combine the results and compare with literature



- The most reliable results from different methods

see talk by L. Alenkort on Thur. for “LQCD, κ^{cont} [Alenkort et al., 2020]”

* Consistent with results from AdS/CFT

* Smaller than results from LQCD at heavy quark mass limit (high order corrections?)

[A. Bouettefeux and M. Laine, JHEP12(2020)150]

Conclusion

- First principle calculations of charmonium and bottomonium correlation functions at physical masses
- Continuum extrapolations based on large & fine quenched lattices
- Well described by perturbatively inspired models
- No resonance peak needed to describe charmonium down to $1.1T_c$ while resonance peak needed for bottomonium up to $1.5T_c$
- Consistent estimates on heavy quark diffusion coefficient