# Quarkonium as a probe of the QGP and of the initial stages of the heavy-ion collision with ALICE

### Ingrid McKibben Lofnes for the ALICE collaboration University of Bergen, Norway



Online Strangeness in Quark Matter Conference 17-22 May 2021

# Quarkonium production in heavy-ion collisions

- Heavy quarks (charm and beauty)
  - Produced in initial hard partonic scattering
     → experience full evolution of heavy-ion collision
  - Natural probe to study the properties of the hot and dense medium

### Quarkonium production

- · Sensitive to medium produced in heavy-ion collisions
- → Suppression: color screening and medium-induced dissociation Matsui and Satz: PLB 178 (1986) 416-422

A. Rothkopf: PR 858 (2020) 1-117

→ At LHC energies: (re)combination of uncorrelated heavy-quark pairs

P. Braun-Munzinger, J. Stachel, PLB 490 (2000) 196 Thews, Schroedter, Rafelski: PRC 63 (2001) 054905







# Quarkonium production in heavy-ion collisions

• The medium effect is quantified using the nuclear modification factor:

$$R_{
m AA} = rac{{
m d}N_{
m AA}/{
m d}p_{
m T}}{\langle N_{
m coll}
angle imes {
m d}N_{
m pp}/{
m d}p_{
m T}}$$

- ${\it R}_{{\sf A}{\sf A}}
  eq 1$  means that there are cold or hot matter effects
- Anisotropic flow:
  - Look at the azimuthal dependence of particle production

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}N}{\mathrm{d}\phi} \sim 1 + 2\sum_{n} \left[ v_n \cos[n(\phi - \Psi_n)] \right]$$

- Initial spatial anisotropy ightarrow momentum-space anisotropy
- Polarization:
  - · Measure anisotropies in the angular distribution of the decay products

$$W(\cos heta, \phi) \propto rac{1}{3 + \lambda_{ heta}} \cdot (1 + \lambda_{ heta} \cos^2 heta + \lambda_{\phi}) \sin^2 heta \cos 2\phi + \lambda_{ heta \phi} \sin 2 heta \cos \phi)$$





# Quarkonium measurements in ALICE





# ${\rm J}/\psi$ nuclear modification factor, ${\it R}_{\rm AA}$





- Weaker suppression at lower p<sub>T</sub>, especially at midrapidity
  - $\rightarrow$  Consistent with J/ $\psi$  (re)generation scenario
- J/ $\psi$  R<sub>AA</sub> larger at LHC than at RHIC despite much larger energy density
- Similar suppression observed at  $\sqrt{s_{\rm NN}} = 2.76$  TeV and 5.02 TeV

Published results using 2015 data: PLB805 (2020) 135434, JHEP02 (2020) 041

# Quarkonium nuclear modification factor



- J/ $\psi$  R<sub>AA</sub> shows a strong  $p_{\rm T}$  dependence
- $\Upsilon(1S) R_{AA}$  shows a flat  $p_T$  dependence
- Models describe trend within uncertainties
   → Unable to discriminate between
   (re)generation inside QGP or at phase boundary

Published results using 2015 data: PLB805 (2020) 135434 Ingrid Lofnes — University of Bergen — Online SQM 2021



### $\Upsilon(1S)$ $R_{AA}$ , forward rapidity



Statistical Hadronization Model PLB797 (2019) 134836 All charmed particles generated at chemical freeze-out

 $\label{eq:product} \begin{array}{c} \mbox{Transport Model} \\ \mbox{NPA943 (2015) 147, PRC96 (2017) 054901} \\ \mbox{Interplay of dissociation and regeneration inside QGP} \end{array}$ 

Hydrodynamics Universe (2016) 2(3) 16

Thermal modification of heavy-quark potential inside anisotropic plasma

### $\Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(2S)$ nuclear modification factor Forward rapidity



- Stronger suppression towards more central collisions
  - $\rightarrow$  Models reproduce observed trend within uncertainties
- Hint of decreasing  $\Upsilon(1S) R_{AA}$  at forward rapidity
  - $\rightarrow$  Coupled Boltzmann eq. predict a slight increase (hydrodynamics model shows similar issue vs y)

# 0.5 1 1.5 2 2.5 3 3.5 4 y Transport Model PRC96 (2017) 054901 Interplay of dissociation and regeneration inside QGP

**Coupled Boltzmann equations** JHEPO1 (2021) 046 Regeneration dominated by real-time recombination of correlated heavy-quark pairs







- Positive J/ $\psi$  v<sub>2</sub> up to high- $p_{\mathsf{T}}$  ightarrow underestimated by transport model above 4 GeV/c
  - Described by spatial-momentum correlations?
- $\Upsilon(1S) v_2$  compatible with 0 with large uncertainties
  - $\rightarrow$  significantly lower than inclusive J/ $\psi~v_2$
  - $\rightarrow$  consistent with models predicting little or no (re)generation

TAMU PRC96 (2017) 054901 BBJS PRC 100 (2019) 051901 ALICE

# ${\rm J}/\psi$ elliptic flow (v\_2)



### Forward and midrapidity



- v<sub>2</sub> grows from central to semicentral collisions
- Clear mass hierarchy at low p<sub>T</sub>: v<sub>2</sub>(π) > v<sub>2</sub>(D) > v<sub>2</sub>(J/ψ)



• Species independent  $v_2$  at high  $p_T \rightarrow$  suggests path-length dependent energy-loss effects

# ${\rm J}/\psi$ triangular flow (v\_3)



### Forward rapidity

 $J/\psi - forward rapidity$  $\pi - midrapidity$ D - midrapidityD - midrapidity, CMSPRL 120 (2018) 202301

- Little centrality dependence
- Positive  $v_3 \rightarrow$  initial state energy-density fluctuations reflected in charm quark flow



- Observed mass hierarchy for  $v_2$  and  $v_3$ 
  - $\rightarrow$  supports hypothesis of charm quarks being kinetically equilibrated in QGP medium

# Constituent-quark scaling



JHEP10 (2020) 141



- Flow of light and strange particles scale approximatly with number of constituent quarks (NCQ)
- Extend NCQ scaling to D mesons: •  $\rightarrow$  Assume  $v_2(p_T)$  derived by NCQ scaling

$$\begin{split} v_2^c(p_{\mathsf{T}}^c) &= v_2^{\mathsf{J}/\psi}(2p_{\mathsf{T}}^c)/2 \\ v_2^q(p_{\mathsf{T}}^q) &= v_2^\pi(2p_{\mathsf{T}}^q)/2 \\ & \downarrow \\ v_2^D(p_{\mathsf{T}}^D) &= v_2^q(p_{\mathsf{T}}^q) + v_2^c(p_{\mathsf{T}}^c) \end{split}$$

- Scaling depends on the assumed  $p_{T}$  fraction carried by each constituent
  - $\rightarrow$  Best agreement with measured D-meson flow for equal or nearly equal  $p_{\rm T}$  fraction

### First measurement of $J/\psi$ polarization in Pb–Pb collisions



#### Forward rapidity

 $egin{aligned} & (\lambda_{ heta},\lambda_{\phi},\lambda_{ heta\phi}) = (0,0,0) 
ightarrow \mbox{No polarization} \\ & (\lambda_{ heta},\lambda_{\phi},\lambda_{ heta\phi}) = (-1,0,0) 
ightarrow \mbox{Pure longitudinal} \\ & (\lambda_{ heta},\lambda_{\phi},\lambda_{ heta\phi}) = (+1,0,0) 
ightarrow \mbox{Pure transverse} \end{aligned}$ 

- · Polarization parameters are close to zero
- λ<sub>θ</sub> shows a maximum 2σ deviation w.r.t zero in both reference frames for 2 < p<sub>T</sub> < 4 GeV/c</li>
- Compatible with ALICE pp results
- 3σ difference with LHCb pp results in Helicity → reflect different production and suppression mechanisms Pb–Pb w.r.t pp collisions?



### First measurement of J/ $\psi$ polarization in Pb–Pb collisions



#### Forward rapidity

 $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}) = (0, 0, 0) \rightarrow \text{No polarization}$  $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}) = (-1, 0, 0) \rightarrow \text{Pure longitudinal}$  $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}) = (+1, 0, 0) \rightarrow \text{Pure transverse}$ 

- Flat trend for all polarization parameters as a function of centrality
- Non-zero  $\lambda_{\theta}$  observed in both reference frames
- Polarization measurement w.r.t. event plane underway → study effects related to the large angular momentum of the two colliding ions and the intense magnetic field produced in heavy-ion collisions



### First measurement of $\Upsilon(1S)$ polarization in Pb–Pb collisions



#### Forward rapidity

PLB 815 (2021) 136146

 $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}) = (0, 0, 0) \rightarrow \text{No polarization}$  $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}) = (-1, 0, 0) \rightarrow \text{Pure longitudinal}$  $(\lambda_{\theta}, \lambda_{\phi}, \lambda_{\theta\phi}) = (+1, 0, 0) \rightarrow \text{Pure transverse}$ 

- Polarization parameters compatible with zero •
- Significantly limited by available statistics •



# Low $\textit{p}_{\rm T}~{\rm J}/\psi$ excess



### Forward rapidity



- Preliminary measurement of J/ $\psi$   $R_{\rm AA}$  at very low  $p_{\rm T}$ 
  - Increasing R<sub>AA</sub> in peripheral collisions for  $p_T < 0.3 \text{ GeV}/c$  $\rightarrow$  Systematically larger than the hadronic R<sub>AA</sub> in the  $p_T$  reference interval 1-2 GeV/c
- Coherent J/ $\psi$  photoproduction suggested as underlying physics mechanism  $\rightarrow$  photonuclear cross section probes the gluon density at very low Bjorken-x (LHC energies:  $x \sim 10^{-5} - 10^{-2}$ )

# Coherent ${\rm J}/\psi$ photoproduction cross section



### Forward rapidity



- Increase in cross section with increasing collision energy
- Models implementing modification of photon flux (purely electromagnetic) w.r.t. ultra-peripheral collisions (UPC)
- Qualitative agreement in peripheral collisions
- Deviations in semicentral events
   → insufficient model description?

### Conclusions



Quarkonium as a probe of the QGP and initial stages of heavy-ion collisions

- Nuclear modification factor
  - J/ $\psi$  R<sub>AA</sub> consistent with significant contribution from (re)generation
  - $\Upsilon(1S), \Upsilon(2S)$  show strong suppression
- Elliptic and triangular flow
  - $\Upsilon(1S)$  v<sub>2</sub> significantly lower than J/ $\psi$  v<sub>2</sub>
  - Clear mass hierarchy observed for  $J/\psi v_2$  and  $v_3$  w.r.t. D mesons at low  $p_T \rightarrow$  supports hypothesis of charm quark thermalization within the medium
- Polarization
  - First measurement of J/ $\psi$  and  $\Upsilon(1S)$  polarization in Pb–Pb collisions
  - J/ $\psi$  exhibits a maximum 2 $\sigma$  deviation w.r.t. zero for  $\lambda_{ heta}$
- Coherent photoproduction
  - Preliminary measurements of coherent  ${\sf J}/\psi$  photoproduction
  - Qualitatively reproduced by UPC-based models in peripheral collisions
  - Deviations in semicentral collisions

### Thank you for your attention!

# Backup

# Nuclear modification factor models - charmonium





### Statistical Hadronization Model PBL797 (20199 134836

- Heavy quarks produced during initial hard partonic interactions followed by thermalization in QGP
- Subsequent formation of bound states at phase boundary according to thermal weights

### Transport models NPA943 (2015) 147, PRC89 (2014) 054911

- Continuous generation, dissociation and regeneration inside QGP
- Governed by a set of rate equations

### Comover model PBL 731 (2014) 57, JHEP 10 (2018) 094

- Dissociation by scattering of comoving partons and hadrons
- Includes a (re)generation component depending on the primordial charm quark cross section

# Nuclear modification factor models - bottomonium





### Transport models PRC96 (2017) 054901

- Continuous generation, dissociation and regeneration inside QGP
- Band width: modification of the PDF modelled by effective scale factor of initial number of  $b\bar{b}$  pairs

### Comover model JHEP 10 (2018) 094, JHEP 03 (2019) 063

- Dissociation via interaction with surrounding particles in final state
- Uncertainties from nCTEQ15 shadowing

### Hydrodynamics Universe (2016) 2(3) 16

- Thermal modification of complex heavy-quark potential inside anisotropic plasma
- Survival probability evaluated based on the local energy density
- Does not include any modification of nuclear PDFs or regeneration phenomenon

### Coupled Boltzmann equations JHEP 01 (2021) 046

- Regeneration dominated by recombination of correlated heavy-quark pairs
- Derived from open quantum system
- Theoretical bands due to nPDF uncertainties

# NCQ scaling



 $V_2$  $V_3$ S<sup>N</sup> 0.2 ALICE Pb–Pb  $\sqrt{s_{NN}} = 5.02 \text{ TeV}$ 0-10% າ 2><sup>ຕ</sup> Inclusive J/w • 2.5 < y < 4Scaled  $V_{p}^{D} = V_{q}^{q} + V_{q}^{c}$ + |v| < 0.9--- Fitted V 0  $\alpha \pi^{\pm}, |v| < 0.5$ Syst. uncertainty (uncorrelated) -0. 0.1 10-30%  $\frac{-p_{T}^{q}/p_{T}^{D} = 0.5}{-Best \chi^{2}, p_{T}^{q}/p_{T}^{D} = 0.4}$ > 0.2 125  $-p_{\pi}^{q}/p_{\pi}^{D} = 0.2$ 0. -0.1 30-50% ×° 0.2 • Prompt  $D^0$ , |y| < 1, CMS 0.2 > Syst. uncertainty from data Syst. uncertainty non-prompt\_0 1 0. -0. 10 12 14 16 10 12 14 16 8 2 4 4 p<sub>1</sub> (GeV/c) p<sub>1</sub> (GeV/c) ALI-PUB-483386

- Scaling works well for both v<sub>2</sub> and v<sub>3</sub>
- Based on simplified underlying physics assumptions

# Coherent $J/\psi$ photoproduction cross section

centrality 50 - 70%







Models with effective description of the photon flux w.r.t. ultra peripheral collisions (UPC): Energy dependent hot-spot model

PRC97 (2018) 024901

- GG-hs: Glauber Gribov formalism
- GS-hs: Geometric scaling
- Qualitative agreement with models within uncertainties

### Dipole model

PRD97 (2018) 116013

- GBW: Ligth cone color dipole formalism
- IIM: Color Glass Condensate approach