

Science and Technology Facilities Council

Resources Review Meeting: 2020Q3 ATLAS RAL

James Walder XX YY 2020

- Reporting period:
 - Q3 2020: 1 July 30 September
- Highlights:
 - Deletion campaign on MCTAPE (DATATAPE and additional MCTAPE round in Q4)
 - Data carousel performance at RAL noted again: "Staging throughput at RAL has been high and stable. What are your secrets to reach such a good performance ? :)"
 - Data reprocessing (in Data Carousel model) for full Run-2 data, for RPVLL analysis
- Major issues / events:
 - Occasional ATLAS/CERN issues, time taken for ATLAS to recover to fairshare
 - Upgrade to ARC-CE6 (smooth from VO perspective).
 - RAL (Scheduled) downtime for network switch firmware upgrades (Sept. 8th); all went well.
- New/Ongoing Issues
 - (Low-level of) failures related to Direct-io access (similar to LHCb issues).
 - RAL to be able to run singularity in unpriviliged mode; Experiments will then use own version of Singularity (from CVMFS) Rolled into updating to Centos7 (and related batch farm services).





Batch farm CPU commitment

- 2020–21 pledge: 156.4 kHS06 (13.9% of ATLAS T1 pledge)
- Average over period: 161.2 = 137.8*(11.7/10) kHS06:
 - Scaling accounts for difference between site-reported Corepower (HS06/core) and farm average.



• MC reconstruction, Derivation production, RAW re-processing (for RPVLL) dominate HS06 time.

- MC Reconstruction 307 148.7 K 47.7 K 105.2578 Mil - Group Production 200 128.8 K 43.5 K 96.0925 Mil - Data Processing 0 116.0 K 28.9 K 63.8282 Mil - Pledges 156.4 K 156.4 K 156.4 K 57.5684 Mil - User Analysis 20 32.7 K 11.1 K 24.4572 Mil - MC Simulation Full 0 81.9 K 3.5 K 7.6658 Mil - Group Analysis 0 15.4 K 2.1 K 4.7419 Mil - MC Merge 0 20.8 K 374 825.1 K - MC Simulation Fast 0 21.3 K 371 819.9 K - MC Event Generation 0 3.9 K 251 554.1 K - COVID 0 773 49 107.1 K		min	max	avg	total ~
- Group Production 200 128.8 K 43.5 K 96.0925 Mil - Data Processing 0 116.0 K 28.9 K 63.8282 Mil - Pledges 156.4 K 156.4 K 156.4 K 57.5684 Mil - User Analysis 20 32.7 K 11.1 K 24.4572 Mil - MC Simulation Full 0 81.9 K 3.5 K 7.6658 Mil - Group Analysis 0 15.4 K 2.1 K 4.7419 Mil - MC Merge 0 20.8 K 374 825.1 K - MC Simulation Fast 0 21.3 K 371 819.9 K - MC Event Generation 0 3.9 K 251 554.1 K - COVID 0 773 49 107.1 K	 MC Reconstruction 	307	148.7 K	47.7 K	105.2578 Mil
- Data Processing 0 116.0 K 28.9 K 63.8282 Mil - Pledges 156.4 K 156.4 K 156.4 K 57.5684 Mil - User Analysis 20 32.7 K 11.1 K 24.4572 Mil - MC Simulation Full 0 81.9 K 3.5 K 7.6658 Mil - Group Analysis 0 15.4 K 2.1 K 4.7419 Mil - MC Merge 0 20.8 K 374 825.1 K - MC Simulation Fast 0 21.3 K 371 819.9 K - MC Event Generation 0 3.9 K 251 554.1 K - COVID 0 773 49 107.1 K	- Group Production	200	128.8 K	43.5 K	96.0925 Mil
- Pledges 156.4 K 156.4 K 156.4 K 57.5684 Mil - User Analysis 20 32.7 K 11.1 K 24.4572 Mil - MC Simulation Full 0 81.9 K 3.5 K 7.6658 Mil - Group Analysis 0 15.4 K 2.1 K 4.7419 Mil - MC Merge 0 20.8 K 374 825.1 K - MC Simulation Fast 0 21.3 K 371 819.9 K - MC Event Generation 0 3.9 K 251 554.1 K	 Data Processing 	0	116.0 K	28.9 K	63.8282 Mil
- User Analysis 20 32.7 K 11.1 K 24.4572 Mil - MC Simulation Full 0 81.9 K 3.5 K 7.6658 Mil - Group Analysis 0 15.4 K 2.1 K 4.7419 Mil - MC Merge 0 20.8 K 374 825.1 K - MC Simulation Fast 0 21.3 K 371 819.9 K - MC Event Generation 0 3.9 K 251 554.1 K - COVID 0 773 49 107.1 K	- Pledges	156.4 K	156.4 K	156.4 K	57.5684 Mil
- MC Simulation Full 0 81.9 K 3.5 K 7.6658 Mill - Group Analysis 0 15.4 K 2.1 K 4.7419 Mill - MC Merge 0 20.8 K 374 825.1 K - MC Simulation Fast 0 21.3 K 371 819.9 K - MC Event Generation 0 3.9 K 251 554.1 K - COVID 0 773 49 107.1 K	 User Analysis 	20	32.7 K	11.1 K	24.4572 Mil
- Group Analysis 0 15.4 K 2.1 K 4.7419 Mil - MC Merge 0 20.8 K 374 825.1 K - MC Simulation Fast 0 21.3 K 371 819.9 K - MC Event Generation 0 3.9 K 251 554.1 K - COVID 0 773 49 107.1 K	 MC Simulation Full 	0	81.9 K	3.5 K	7.6658 Mil
- MC Merge 0 20.8 K 374 825.1 K - MC Simulation Fast 0 21.3 K 371 819.9 K - MC Event Generation 0 3.9 K 251 554.1 K - COVID 0 773 49 107.1 K	 Group Analysis 	0	15.4 K	2.1 K	4.7419 Mil
- MC Simulation Fast 0 21.3 K 371 819.9 K - MC Event Generation 0 3.9 K 251 554.1 K - COVID 0 773 49 107.1 K	 MC Merge 	0	20.8 K	374	825.1 K
- MC Event Generation 0 3.9 K 251 554.1 K - COVID 0 773 49 107.1 K	 MC Simulation Fast 	0	21.3 K	371	819.9 K
- COVID 0 773 49 107.1 K	 MC Event Generation 	0	3.9 K	251	554.1 K
	- COVID	0	773	49	107.1 K

Wall clock time. All jobs (HS06 seconds)

	total 🔻	percentage 🕶
MC Reconstruction	378 Bil	34%
Group Production	348 Bil	31%
Data Processing	229 Bil	21%
User Analysis	96.4 Bil	9%
MC Simulation Full	28.4 Bil	3%
Group Analysis	17.5 Bil	2%
MC Simulation Fast	3.92 Bil	0%



T1 Comparison

 RAL providing ~10% of ATLAS slots, jobs and walltime

- CPU efficiency rises slightly from Q2:
 - ~70% -> 75%:
 - Efficiency varies substantially across job type; would also need to be extracted



Slots of Running jobs (HS06)



	total 🔻	percentage 🕶
 BNL-ATLAS 	616 Mil	21%
TRIUMF-LCG2	516 Mil	17%
- FZK-LCG2	356 Mil	12%
RAL-LCG2	304 Mil	10%
- IN2P3-CC	279 Mil	9%
- NDGF-T1	220 Mil	7%
- INFN-T1	205 Mil	7%
- pic	141.5 Mil	5%
- Taiwan-LCG2	100.6 Mil	3%
RRC-KI-T1	95.1 Mil	3%
- NIKHEF	83.7 Mil	3%
SARA-MATRIX	52.1 Mil	2%
- BNLLAKE	7.51 Mil	0%



	Core case	hereentende
BNL-ATLAS	2.260 Tri	21%
TRIUMF-LCG2	1.888 Tri	17%
FZK-LCG2	1.300 Tri	12%
RAL-LCG2	1.109 Tri	10%
N2P3-CC	1.028 Tri	9%
NDGF-T1	779 Bil	7%
INFN-T1	734 Bil	7%
pic	506 Bil	5%
Taiwan-LCG2	370 Bil	3%
RRC-KI-T1	346 Bil	3%
NIKHEF	300 Bil	3%
SARA-MATRIX	188 Bil	2%
BNLLAKE	31.8 Bil	0%



Submitted jobs ~

	total 🔻	percentage 🔻
BNL-ATLAS	5.37 Mil	22%
FZK-LCG2	3.13 Mil	13%
TRIUMF-LCG2	3.13 Mil	13%
IN2P3-CC	2.694 Mil	11%
RAL-LCG2	2.502 Mil	10%
- INFN-T1	1.870 Mil	8%
NDGF-T1	1.219 Mil	5%
— pic	862 K	4%
NIKHEF	857 K	4%
 Taiwan-LCG2 	746 K	3%
RRC-KI-T1	660 K	3%
SARA-MATRIX	561 K	2%





Job Failures

- Overall improvement (in all Tier-1s) of lost wall time:
 - RAL's success rate (in walltime) 95% cf 92% average.
- All Tier-1s: 29% walltime lost in User Analysis jobs; cf. RAL: 25% (all failure types)

- Work on ATLAS side to improve resilience to Stage-in, stage-out failures
- Direct-io failures still observed at low-level (relevant mainly to user jobs)
 - Implementation of vector reads should help



WallClock Consumption of Successful and Failed Jobs - Pi.



 finished 	106.1 Bil	95%
failed	5.25 Bil	5%
closed	40.3 Mil	0%
cancelled	00 5 Mil	0%

		total	percenta
_	finished	805 Bil	9
_	failed	63.4 Bil	
_	closed	1.229 Bil	
	cancelled	866 Mil	





92% 0%

ercentage	
	•
64	1%
64	1%)%
64 9	1% 9% 5%
64 9 1	1%)% 5% 1%
64 9 4 2	1% 9% 5% 4%
64 9 4 3 3	4% 9% 5% 4% 3%
64 9 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	1% 9% 5% 4% 3% 3% 2%
	1% 2% 3% 2% 2%
64 9 1 1 1	1% 5% 1% 3% 2% 2%
64 9 1 1 1	1% 5% 4% 3% 2% 2% 1%



- 12PB on DATADISK
- 9.6PB deleted
- More regular use of Lifetime deletion model started



Disk usage



Staging Volume







- 2.9 PB added to TAPE
- 2PB deletion on MCTAPE (secondary data)
 - Deletion on DATATAPE just starting in end Q3
 - Further MCTAPE deletion in Q4
- 26.7 PB total tape usage (~32PB pledged).











