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Final focus quadrupoles

- Two main units on each side of the IP and for each beam, $e^+$ (P) and $e^-$ (E): QC1LE, QC2LE, QC1RE, QC2RE, QC1LP, QC2LP, QC1RP, QC2RP
- QC1 is inside the detector and itself comprises three units per side per beam: QC1L1P, QC1L2P, QC1L3P, QC1L1E, QC1L2E, QC1L3E, QC1L1E, QC1L2E, QC1L3E
- There are 5x2x2=20 single aperture units in total

From the FCC CDR update 13/12/2019, Katsunobu Oide

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Start position</th>
<th>Length (m)</th>
<th>B' @Z (T/m)</th>
<th>B' @W (T/m)</th>
<th>B' @ H (T/m)</th>
<th>B' @ tt (T/m)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>QC2L2</td>
<td>-8.44</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>25.05</td>
<td>43.82</td>
<td>61.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC2L1</td>
<td>-7.11</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC1L3</td>
<td>-5.56</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-19.35</td>
<td>-34.38</td>
<td>-53.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC1L2</td>
<td>-4.23</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-18.57</td>
<td>-32.94</td>
<td>-53.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC1L1.1</td>
<td>-2.9</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-40.95</td>
<td>-70.00</td>
<td>-99.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC1L1.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>-40.95</td>
<td>-70.00</td>
<td>-99.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC1R2</td>
<td>2.98</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-25.44</td>
<td>-37.25</td>
<td>-51.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC1R3</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>-19.54</td>
<td>-39.51</td>
<td>-53.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC2R1</td>
<td>5.86</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>14.64</td>
<td>16.85</td>
<td>-2.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>QC2R2</td>
<td>7.19</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>19.50</td>
<td>44.32</td>
<td>67.52</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Optics design is such that E and P quads have the same strength
- Maximum strength is 100T/m
- The most difficult element is QC1L1, the closest to the beam and where the E and P quads are closer together

The updated parameters are rather different than the CDR for QC1L1: its length is now 70cm from 120cm

I have used the CDR values
Choice of technology for QC1L1

- The pivotal requirement for the design is the close proximity of the QC1L1 quads, only 66 mm at the front tip. Moreover, the two quads are at an angle.
- There should be no crosstalk between the quads, otherwise performance will be affected.
- There is not enough space to shield the two quads using an iron yoke.
- All requirements are fulfilled with a CCT iron-free design.
CCT accelerator magnets

- A CCT (Canted Cosine Theta) is a type of accelerator magnet where the multipole mix is a *local* attribute of a magnet. (One can trivially design a magnet which is a dipole on one side and a quadrupole in the other.)
- The QC1L1 magnets are NOT quadrupoles. They are quads minus the field due to the other aperture. But together they make two nearly perfect quadrupoles
- Other important advantages of CCTs:
  - Cheap to make – from the magnet design program to CAD to CNC machine with no manual interventions
  - Easy to make – no pre-stress! Stress management is trivial in CCTs
  - Fast to make – few steps, no expensive equipment
  - Excellent field quality – please see further
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QC1L1

QC1L1 is the first and most demanding pair of quadrupoles of the final focus system of FCC-ee

Iron-free design

Inner bore: 40mm (diameter)
Fits outside the warm water-cooled beam pipe of inner diameter 30mm
Why prototype?

• Although it works very well on paper, we need to test it in practice
• The first prototype is a single aperture magnet. So how can we test the crosstalk performance?
• The specific prototype employs a technique similar to the crosstalk compensation: edge correction
• One end of the magnet is corrected for local multipoles, which are present on every accelerator magnet design
• Exactly the same technique and tools are used for the crosstalk compensation of a double aperture design. ➔ If the edge correction works as expected, so will the crosstalk compensation
By design, a CCT magnet has all integral multipoles vanish (with the exception of the main one).

However, the skew (A) components of the magnetic field compensate only because they have opposite signs at the entry and exit of the magnet.

QC1L1 sits in an area of rapidly-changing optics functions: the change of beam size between the entry and exit of the magnet is a factor of ~2. ➔ a local correction is needed.
The first FCC-ee Final Focus prototype is a single-aperture version of QC1L1, with identical aperture (40mm) but one-third of the length (26% of the quadrupole strength). It has asymmetric edges.

\[ I_{\text{max}} = 725\, \text{A} \]

Max. gradient: 100T/m
Design, manufacture and winding
Testing at warm

• The first magnetic quality tests were performed at warm
• A rotating coil arrangement was used:
  – The magnet is powered with a current of 5 A (0.7% of maximum current) at room temperature
  – We measure the magnetic flux as the coil is rotated.
  – Each measurement is averaged over 100 revolutions
  – Then the data is post-processed to calculate the first 15 multipoles.
  – Then current is reversed and another (100-revolution) measurement is taken
  – The final numbers are the average over the two polarities – This eliminates the contribution due to the earth’s magnetic field and any other static fields.
• All measurements made at a radius of 10mm
• Rotating coil length: 200mm (194mm active), width 35mm
• The rotating coil can be moved to measure the (integrated) field at different areas of the magnet: the middle, the corrected side and the uncorrected side
MAGNETIC MEASUREMENTS AT WARM OF THE FIRST FCC-EE FINAL FOCUS QUADRUPOLE PROTOTYPE

M. Koratzinos¹, MIT, G. Kirby, C. Petrone and M. Liebsch, CERN

Abstract

The first FCC-ee final focus quadrupole prototype has been designed, manufactured, assembled and tested at warm. The prototype is a single aperture quadrupole magnet of the CCT type. One edge of the magnet was designed with local multipole cancellation, whereas the other was left with the conventional design. An optimized rotating induction-coil sensor was used. A technique was developed to take into account field distortions due to the environment of the test and distinguish them from magnet effects, demonstrating an excellent field quality for the prototype.

INTRODUCTION

The FCC project aims to deliver a high-luminosity $e^+e^-$ storage ring with a range of energies from 45 to 182.5 GeV per beam (FCC-ee) [1] [2]. It incorporates a “crab waist”
The rotating coil

Length is 200mm nominal, 194.25 mm active, width is 35mm, split in five individual coils

- For the quadrupole magnet, the individual coils are combined in such a way, that the coil is immune to the B2 field component, which is the dominant one, to be able to see errors in higher multipoles
- For the transfer function measurement, the coil is combined linearly
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Testing arrangement

1st measurement: Centre

Rotating probe

Three rotating coil measurements at the middle, at the uncorrected edge and at the corrected edge
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Three rotating coil measurements at the middle, at the uncorrected edge and at the corrected edge
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Testing arrangement

Three rotating coil measurements at the middle, at the uncorrected edge and at the corrected edge.
Measurement video
The way that results are traditionally presented is as follows:

- \( a_n, b_n \) are the multipoles of order \( n \) \((n = 1: \text{dipole}; n = 2: \text{quadrupole}; n = 3: \text{sextupole}, \text{etc.})\) they are measured in units of \(10^{-4}\)
- \( a_n \) are the skew components, \( b_n \) the normal components
- Definitions:
  - \( b_n = \frac{B_n}{B_2} \times 10,000 \) @ \( R = 10mm \) where \( B_2 \) is the dominant, quadrupole component
  - Same for the skew components: \( a_n = \frac{A_n}{B_2} \times 10,000 \) @ \( R = 10mm \)
- Traditionally \( R \) is chosen as \(2/3^{rd}\) aperture. Our beam pipe is 15mm radius, so we measure at 10mm
The first test is the (short-term) reproducibility of measurements: The plot shows two successive measurements. Repeatability is excellent, within 0.04 units or better ➔ The sensitivity of the method is at the ~0.02 unit level.

Difference between successive measurements compared to the expected error of the measurement.

The error is taken from the RMS spread of the 200 revolutions comprising each measurement divided by SQRT(200).
We need to make sure that whatever we measure is due to the magnet and not its environment.

Although the mechanical clamping of the magnet is with aluminium claws, we have also used stainless steel high-strength bolts.

At this level of precision, we need to guard against these bolts distorting the field and therefore introducing multipoles.

Do not forget that we are dealing with $10^{-5}$ effects.
A strategy for measuring the pure magnet components

- We decided to take two measurements: one with the magnet in its proper position and the second where the magnet is rotated by ~45 degrees. (suggested by Glyn Kirby, CERN)
- The multipole errors due to the magnet should rotate with the magnet, but the errors due to the environment should not
- We then have two measurements and two unknowns

In our case, $\phi$ was measured to be 41.87 degrees
If a multipole component comes from the magnet and not from the environment, it is expected to change under this rotation.

Example:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Original data (units)</th>
<th>b3</th>
<th>a3</th>
<th>b4</th>
<th>a4</th>
<th>a5</th>
<th>b6</th>
<th>a6</th>
<th>b7</th>
<th>a7</th>
<th>b8</th>
<th>a8</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>-0.22</td>
<td>0.32</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.64</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotated data (units)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>-0.11</td>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Vector rotates by (rotation angle) × n
A big chunk of the measured multipoles can be attributed to the environment.
All multipoles are below 0.15 units and only b3, a3 is above 0.10 units. (this is barely above the sensitivity of the method)
Field quality at the edge, without correction

@10mm radius

For this measurement we do not have rotated data

Multipoles calculated up to B15, A15, but are all zero

3.5 units in A3, 2 units in A4
Field quality at the edge, with correction

Here there is a minor correction due to the fact that the probe was not centred along the magnetic edge of the magnet, so in the ideal case, we do not expect to have measured zero multipoles

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>order</th>
<th>B components</th>
<th>A components</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.06 (expected 0.00)</td>
<td>-0.08 (expected 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.11 (expected 0.00)</td>
<td>-0.04 (expected -0.03)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>-0.01 (expected 0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (expected 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.04 (expected 0.01)</td>
<td>0.00 (expected 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.00 (expected 0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (expected 0.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>0.00 (expected 0.00)</td>
<td>0.00 (expected 0.00)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

For this measurement we do not have rotated data, real magnet errors might be smaller

Multipoles calculated up to B15, A15, but are all zero
Field quality at the edge, with correction

0.1 units maximum. An excellent result.

Multipoles calculated up to B15, A15, but are all zero

For this measurement we do not have rotated data, real magnet errors might be smaller.

@10mm radius
Field quality at the edge, comparison

Corrected side has edge effects that are 0.1 units or less

Reduction by a factor ~50!

Edge correction really works!

For both plots, the normalization is to the full length of QC1L1 (1200mm)
The first FCC-ee final focus prototype has been designed, manufactured and the first tests at warm are available (IPAC paper arXiv:2105.13230).

Field quality is excellent.

All multipoles in the middle of the magnet are 0.15 units or less, approaching the accuracy of the method. These are real measurements, not simulation!

The novel technique of locally correcting each edge for edge effects is working beautifully → this gives us confidence that the crosstalk compensation will also work.

All multipoles of the corrected edge contribute 0.1 units or less. → this is a “perfect edge” magnet.

The CCT technique is very well suited for the final focus quadrupoles of FCC-ee (and also CEPC...).