

# Standard Model Processes

## Course on Physics at the LHC


---

Jonathan Hollar (LIP)  
March 22, 2021




# The Standard Model is...

---



One of the most predictive,  
precisely tested theories of nature in  
human history

# The Standard Model is...



One of the most predictive,  
precisely tested theories of nature in  
human history

$$\begin{aligned}
 & 1 \quad -\frac{1}{2}\partial_\nu g_\mu^a \partial_\nu g_\mu^a - g_s f^{abc} \partial_\mu g_\nu^a g_\mu^b g_\nu^c - \frac{1}{4}g_s^2 f^{abc} f^{ade} g_\mu^b g_\nu^c g_\mu^d g_\nu^e + \\
 & \quad \frac{1}{2}ig_s^2 (\bar{q}_\mu^\alpha \gamma^\mu q_\mu^\beta) g_\mu^\alpha + \bar{G}_\mu^a \partial^2 G_\mu^a + g_s f^{abc} \partial_\mu \bar{G}_\mu^a G_\mu^b g_\mu^c - \partial_\nu W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_\mu^- - \\
 & 2 \quad M^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\nu Z_\mu^0 \partial_\nu Z_\mu^0 - \frac{1}{2c_w^2} M^2 Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0 - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu A_\nu \partial_\mu A_\nu - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu H \partial_\mu H - \\
 & \quad \frac{1}{2} m_h^2 H^2 - \partial_\mu \phi^+ \partial_\mu \phi^- - M^2 \phi^+ \phi^- - \frac{1}{2} \partial_\mu \phi^0 \partial_\mu \phi^0 - \frac{1}{2c_w^2} M \phi^0 \phi^0 - \beta_h \left[ \frac{2M^2}{g^2} + \right. \\
 & \quad \left. \frac{2M}{g} H + \frac{1}{2}(H^2 + \phi^0 \phi^0 + 2\phi^+ \phi^-) \right] + \frac{2M^4}{g^2} \alpha_h - ig c_w [\partial_\nu Z_\mu^0 (W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- - \\
 & \quad W_\nu^+ W_\mu^-) - Z_\mu^0 (W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_\nu^- - W_\mu^- \partial_\nu W_\mu^+) + Z_\mu^0 (W_\nu^+ \partial_\nu W_\mu^- - \\
 & \quad W_\nu^- \partial_\nu W_\mu^+)] - ig s_w [\partial_\nu A_\mu (W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- - W_\mu^+ W_\nu^-) - A_\nu (W_\mu^+ \partial_\nu W_\mu^- - \\
 & \quad W_\mu^- \partial_\nu W_\mu^+) + A_\mu (W_\nu^+ \partial_\nu W_\mu^- - W_\nu^- \partial_\nu W_\mu^+)] - \frac{1}{2} g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- W_\nu^+ W_\mu^- + \\
 & \quad \frac{1}{2} g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- W_\mu^- + g^2 c_w^2 (Z_\mu^0 W_\mu^+ Z_\nu^0 W_\nu^- - Z_\mu^0 Z_\nu^0 W_\nu^+ W_\nu^-) + \\
 & \quad g^2 s_w^2 (A_\mu W_\mu^+ A_\nu W_\nu^- - A_\mu A_\nu W_\mu^+ W_\nu^-) + g^2 s_w c_w [A_\mu Z_\nu^0 (W_\mu^+ W_\nu^- - \\
 & \quad W_\nu^+ W_\mu^-) - 2A_\mu Z_\mu^0 W_\nu^+ W_\nu^-] - g\alpha [H^3 + H\phi^0 \phi^0 + 2H\phi^+ \phi^-] - \\
 & \quad \frac{1}{8} g^2 \alpha_h [H^4 + (\phi^0)^4 + 4(\phi^+ \phi^-)^2 + 4(\phi^0)^2 \phi^+ \phi^- + 4H^2 \phi^+ \phi^- + 2(\phi^0)^2 H^2] - \\
 & \quad g M W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- - \frac{1}{2} g \frac{M}{c_w} Z_\mu^0 Z_\mu^0 H - \frac{1}{2} ig [W_\mu^+ (\phi^0 \partial_\mu \phi^- - \phi^- \partial_\mu \phi^0) - \\
 & \quad W_\mu^- (\phi^0 \partial_\mu \phi^+ - \phi^+ \partial_\mu \phi^0)] + \frac{1}{2} g [W_\mu^+ (H \partial_\mu \phi^- - \phi^- \partial_\mu H) - W_\mu^- (H \partial_\mu \phi^+ - \\
 & \quad \phi^+ \partial_\mu H)] + \frac{1}{2} g \frac{1}{c_w} (Z_\mu^0 (H \partial_\mu \phi^0 - \phi^0 \partial_\mu H) - ig \frac{z_w^2}{c_w} M Z_\mu^0 (W_\mu^+ \phi^- - W_\mu^- \phi^+) + \\
 & \quad ig s_w M A_\mu (W_\mu^+ \phi^- - W_\mu^- \phi^+) - ig \frac{1-2c_w^2}{2c_w} Z_\mu^0 (\phi^+ \partial_\mu \phi^- - \phi^- \partial_\mu \phi^+) + \\
 & \quad ig s_w A_\mu (\phi^+ \partial_\mu \phi^- - \phi^- \partial_\mu \phi^+) - \frac{1}{2} g^2 W_\mu^+ W_\mu^- [H^2 + (\phi^0)^2 + 2\phi^+ \phi^-] - \\
 & \quad \frac{1}{4} g^2 \frac{1}{c_w} Z_\mu^0 [H^2 + (\phi^0)^2 + 2(2s_w^2 - 1)^2 \phi^+ \phi^-] - \frac{1}{2} g^2 \frac{s_w^2}{c_w} Z_\mu^0 \phi^0 (W_\mu^+ \phi^- + \\
 & \quad W_\mu^- \phi^+) - \frac{1}{2} ig^2 \frac{s_w^2}{c_w} Z_\mu^0 H (W_\mu^+ \phi^- - W_\mu^- \phi^+) + \frac{1}{2} g^2 s_w A_\mu \phi^0 (W_\mu^+ \phi^- + \\
 & \quad W_\mu^- \phi^+) + \frac{1}{2} ig^2 s_w A_\mu H (W_\mu^+ \phi^- - W_\mu^- \phi^+) - g^2 \frac{s_w^2}{c_w} (2s_w^2 - 1) Z_\mu^0 A_\mu \phi^+ \phi^- - \\
 & \quad g^2 s_w A_\mu \phi^+ \phi^- - \bar{e}^\lambda (\gamma \partial + m_e^\lambda) e^\lambda - \bar{\nu}^\lambda \gamma \partial \nu^\lambda - \bar{u}_j^\lambda (\gamma \partial + m_u^\lambda) u_j^\lambda - \\
 & \quad d_j^\lambda (\gamma \partial + m_d^\lambda) d_j^\lambda + ig s_w A_\mu [-(\bar{e}^\lambda \gamma^\mu e^\lambda) + \frac{2}{3} (\bar{u}_j^\lambda \gamma^\mu u_j^\lambda) - \frac{1}{3} (\bar{d}_j^\lambda \gamma^\mu d_j^\lambda)] + \\
 & \quad \frac{ig}{4c_w} Z_\mu^0 [(\bar{e}^\lambda \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5) \nu^\lambda) + (\bar{e}^\lambda \gamma^\mu (4s_w^2 - 1 - \gamma^5) e^\lambda) + (\bar{u}_j^\lambda \gamma^\mu (\frac{4}{3}s_w^2 - \\
 & \quad 1 - \gamma^5) u_j^\lambda) + (\bar{d}_j^\lambda \gamma^\mu (1 - \frac{8}{3}s_w^2 - \gamma^5) d_j^\lambda)] + \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^+ [(\bar{e}^\lambda \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5) e^\lambda) + \\
 & \quad (\bar{u}_j^\lambda \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5) C_{\lambda\kappa} d_j^\kappa)] + \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{2}} W_\mu^- [(\bar{e}^\lambda \gamma^\mu (1 + \gamma^5) \nu^\lambda) + (\bar{d}_j^\lambda C_{\lambda\kappa}^\dagger \gamma^\mu (1 + \\
 & \quad \gamma^5) u_j^\lambda)] + \frac{ig}{2\sqrt{2}} \frac{m_\lambda^2}{M} [(-\phi^+ (\bar{\nu}^\lambda (1 - \gamma^5) e^\lambda) + \phi^- (\bar{e}^\lambda (1 + \gamma^5) \nu^\lambda))] - \\
 & \quad 3 \quad \frac{g}{2} \frac{m_\lambda^2}{M} [H (e^\lambda e^\lambda) + i\phi^0 (e^\lambda \gamma^5 e^\lambda)] + \frac{ig}{2M\sqrt{2}} \phi^+ [-m_d^\kappa (\bar{u}_j^\lambda C_{\lambda\kappa} (1 - \gamma^5) d_j^\kappa)] + \\
 & \quad m_u^\lambda (\bar{u}_j^\lambda C_{\lambda\kappa} (1 + \gamma^5) d_j^\kappa) + \frac{ig}{2M\sqrt{2}} \phi^- [m_d^\kappa (\bar{d}_j^\lambda C_{\lambda\kappa}^\dagger (1 + \gamma^5) u_j^\kappa) - m_u^\kappa (\bar{d}_j^\lambda C_{\lambda\kappa}^\dagger (1 - \\
 & \quad \gamma^5) u_j^\kappa)] - \frac{g}{2} \frac{m_\lambda^2}{M} H (\bar{u}_j^\lambda u_j^\lambda) - \frac{g}{2} \frac{m_\lambda^2}{M} H (\bar{d}_j^\lambda d_j^\lambda) + \frac{ig}{2} \frac{m_\lambda^2}{M} \phi^0 (\bar{u}_j^\lambda \gamma^5 u_j^\lambda) - \\
 & \quad \frac{ig}{2} \frac{m_\lambda^2}{M} \phi^0 (\bar{d}_j^\lambda \gamma^5 d_j^\lambda) + X^+ (\partial^2 - M^2) X^+ + X^- (\partial^2 - M^2) X^- + X^0 (\partial^2 - \\
 & \quad \frac{M^2}{c_w^2}) X^0 + \bar{Y} \partial^2 Y + ig c_w W_\mu^+ (\partial_\mu \bar{X}^0 X^- - \partial_\mu \bar{X}^+ X^0) + ig s_w W_\mu^+ (\partial_\mu \bar{Y} X^- - \\
 & \quad \partial_\mu \bar{X}^+ Y) + ig c_w Z_\mu^0 (\partial_\mu \bar{X}^+ X^+ - \partial_\mu \bar{X}^- X^-) + ig s_w W_\mu^- (\partial_\mu \bar{X}^- Y - \\
 & \quad \partial_\mu \bar{X}^+ X^-) - \frac{1}{2} g M [\bar{X}^+ X^+ H + \bar{X}^- X^- H + \frac{1}{c_w^2} \bar{X}^0 X^0 H] + \\
 & \quad \frac{1-2c_w^2}{2c_w} ig M [\bar{X}^+ X^0 \phi^+ - \bar{X}^- X^0 \phi^-] + \frac{1}{2c_w} ig M [\bar{X}^0 X^- \phi^+ - \bar{X}^0 X^+ \phi^-] + \\
 & \quad ig M s_w [\bar{X}^0 X^- \phi^+ - \bar{X}^0 X^+ \phi^-] + \frac{1}{2} ig M [\bar{X}^+ X^+ \phi^0 - \bar{X}^- X^- \phi^0]
 \end{aligned}$$

Kind of a bricolage, with good  
reasons to believe it's incomplete

=> Where will we see the SM  
predictions fail?

# “Standard Model” encompasses many areas

## Electroweak sector (this lecture)

Properties and interactions of  $W, Z, \gamma$

- Is the SM self-consistent? (**Precision measurements**)
- Do EWK particles interact at the expected rates? (**Cross sections & anomalous couplings**)

## QCD

Interactions of gluons and quarks - see lecture on March 3

**If time today - W/Z as tools to study QCD**

Flavor and top physics

Properties and interactions of top and other heavy quarks or leptons

See lectures March 24- April 5 and May 3

Higgs physics

Properties and interactions of the Higgs boson

See lectures April 7-19

# The tools: Large Hadron Collider at CERN


- proton-proton collisions at 7/8 TeV (Run 1), 13 TeV (Run2)



- SM-Electroweak mainly studied at the large general-purpose detectors CMS and ATLAS


- Also at LHCb in the forward direction

# The players: $W, Z, \gamma$




# $W$ and $Z$ decays

- Most of the time,  $W$  and  $Z$  bosons decay into quarks/hadrons
  - Followed by decays to neutrinos for the  $Z$
  - High rate, but also low experimental resolution, high background



- **Decays with muons and electrons**
  - **Low rate, but lowest background/cleanest signals**
- Taus: Can be reconstructed via either decays to  $e/\mu$ , or to hadrons


# Leptonic Z reconstruction



- **Z $\rightarrow ll$ : One of the cleanest signatures at a hadron collider**
  - **Opposite charge high-p<sub>T</sub> muons or electrons, with invariant mass near the Z mass (~91 GeV)**
  - Lepton isolation (require leptons separated from other tracks/calorimeter deposits):
    - Suppress “fake” backgrounds from QCD/misidentified hadrons, light meson decays-in-flight
    - Suppress “non-prompt” leptons from decays of heavy flavor bottom/charm quarks

# Leptonic $W$ reconstruction

- **$W \rightarrow l\nu$ : high- $p_T$  isolated muon or electron, with “missing transverse energy” inferred from sum of all particles from the collision vertex**




- **Presence of undetected neutrino => no clear invariant mass peak, so rely on other variables**
- Lepton  $p_T$
- Missing  $E_T$  or  $p_T$
- “Transverse mass”, using angle between lepton and missing energy/momentum

$$m_T = \sqrt{2 p_T^\ell p_T^{\text{miss}} \cos \Delta\phi}$$

# Leptonic $W$ and $Z$ signals

- Huge samples of  $W$ 's and  $Z$ 's produced via  $q/\bar{q}$  interactions
  - Even in the low branching-fraction leptonic decays
- In  $150\text{fb}^{-1}$  at  $13\text{ TeV}$ , expect:
  - $\sim 3\text{B}$   $W \rightarrow l\nu$  events produced
  - $\sim 300\text{M}$   $Z \rightarrow ll$  events produced
- Very high signal/background, especially in  $Z \rightarrow ll$




---

# Electroweak physics: Precision measurements of SM parameters

# Precision SM measurements

- Is the Standard Model self-consistent?
  - Measure many observables closely related to SM parameters, then check if SM can fit all the data
- Electroweak sector traditionally the domain of  $e^+e^-$  colliders: LEP@CERN, SLC@SLAC
  - Hadron colliders unique for top, Higgs inputs (see upcoming lectures)
- **But LHC also produces enormous numbers of W,Z bosons => in some cases, can also do precision EWK measurements**


Disagreement (# of standard deviations) from the SM







[Ref]

# Precision SM measurements: W mass


- Basic approach: Generate many Monte Carlo “templates” simulated with different W-mass values
  - Fit to the data, to determine the best-fit mass
- **Requires extremely precise control of systematics**
  - Experimental aspects
    - Precision of lepton momentum/energy measurement
    - Control of missing  $E_T$  reconstruction
  - Theory/model aspects
    - Uncertainties due to PDFs
    - Uncertainties due to “underlying event” activity produced together with the  $W$
  - Use comparisons to well-reconstructed  $Z$  samples to control (some of) these



# Precision SM measurements: W mass



[Ref]




- Consistent with, and approaching precision of, best previous measurements
 


$m_W = 80370 \pm 7 \text{ (stat.)} \pm 11 \text{ (exp. syst.)} \pm 14 \text{ (mod. syst.) MeV}$
- Ultimate LHC goal: uncertainties <10 MeV
- First LHC measurement at 7 TeV, using lepton  $p_T$  and  $M_T$  distributions
- Split in many bins of charge,  $\eta$

# Precision SM measurements: weak mixing angle

- Weak mixing angle  $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}$ 
  - Enters in  $ff \rightarrow Z \rightarrow l^+l^-$  production via vector-axial interference
  - The two most precise measurements at  $e^+e^-$  colliders are marginally consistent
- Can be measured from “forward-backward” asymmetry of leptons**
  - Count number of positively charged leptons along the inferred quark vs. the anti-quark direction





[Ref]




# Precision SM measurements: weak mixing angle

- $A_{fb}$  measured in many bins of invariant mass and rapidity
  - Fit for best value of  $\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}$
- **LHC measurements not yet the most precise, but becoming competitive**



$$\sin^2 \theta_{\text{eff}}^\ell = 0.23101 \pm 0.00036 \text{ (stat)} \pm 0.00018 \text{ (syst)} \pm 0.00016 \text{ (theo)} \pm 0.00031 \text{ (PDF)}$$

# Global SM fits: impact of precision measurements



[Ref]


- In green: the direct measurements of  $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}$  and  $M_W$
- In blue: SM fit prediction, without  $\sin^2\theta_{\text{eff}}$  or  $M_W$  (or  $\Gamma_Z$ ) measurements
- **Will green/blue eventually overlap, or diverge (=breakdown of SM)?**
  - TBD with more data/higher precision measurements

---

# Electroweak physics: cross sections and gauge boson couplings

# Rates of Standard Model processes and electroweak couplings


- Another way to test the Standard Model:
  - Do W/Z/ $\gamma$ 's interact with each other as predicted by the Standard Model?
  - **In other words - does LHC measure cross sections involving gauge boson interactions at the rates expected from the SM?**
- Especially interesting to look in the high-energy tails of distributions



- Legacy of the LEP  $e^+e^-$  collider: existence of charged triple gauge (WWZ/WW $\gamma$ ) couplings established
- **LHC: increase in energy from ~0.2 TeV to ~13/14 TeV!**

# Gauge boson self-interactions


- **Reminder: The SM precisely predicts the strength of EWK gauge boson interactions**
  - **True triple and quartic couplings involving W-pairs are predicted to occur**
  - **True neutral triple and quartic couplings (with all Z's or all  $\gamma$ 's) are forbidden**
    - Processes can occur through higher-order (loop/box) diagrams at very low rates



[Ref]

# Triple gauge couplings: different views


- Usually more than 1 way to probe each coupling
  - Different experimental systematics, backgrounds, etc.
  - **Study all of them to get a complete picture**



## Processes sensitive to WWγ couplings


$Z \rightarrow WW$

(diboson production)




$W \rightarrow WZ$

(diboson production)




$WW \rightarrow Z$

(vector-boson fusion)




# “Anomalous” gauge couplings

- Differences (or not) from the SM can be quantified with “anomalous gauge couplings”
  - Mostly model-independent/agnostic about details of new physics
- Modern interpretation
  - Assume new physics occurs at energies too high to directly produce new particles at the LHC
  - **Anomalous couplings are “fingerprints” at lower energies from off-shell or loop-level effects**



# Anomalous couplings and indirect searches


- **Classic analogy: beta decay of neutrons**
  - Discovered in 1899
  - **Apparent “Anomalous quartic coupling” of  $n\bar{p}e\nu$  in original Fermi theory**



- Higher energies (better microscope) were needed to allow direct observation of the mediator particle
  - $W$ -boson finally detected in 1983
- **Indirect searches/anomalous couplings sometimes point to new physics long before direct detection**

# Triple gauge couplings: anatomy of a LHC analysis


- Measure cross section or # of events,
  - Ideally in several bins (of pT, mass, energy... depending on the final state)




- Compare bulk of distribution to SM prediction+backgrounds
  - Quantify any deviations in the high energy tails

# Triple gauge couplings with $WW$ production


- Measure cross sections for events with 2 leptons + missing  $E_T$ 
  - High statistics
  - Fairly low backgrounds from top quark production, QCD fakes - estimated from data control samples and simulation
    - (Even the Higgs could be considered a background here!)
- Overall, cross sections as a function of  $p_T$  agree with the Standard Model (Run 1 data shown)
  - **Reminder:  $WW\gamma$  and  $WWZ$  couplings are allowed in the SM, and are included the cross section prediction**



# Triple gauge couplings with $WW$ production (II)




- Anomalous couplings?
- Plot  $m_{\parallel}$  and zoom on the high-mass tails
- No sign of excess, data agrees with the SM



- Convert into upper limits on anomalous coupling parameters
  - One-by-one, or for several couplings in a 2-d space

# ZZ and triple gauge couplings production




- Golden signature: 4 leptons, with 2 pairs compatible with a  $Z^{(*)}$  (either  $e^+e^-$ ,  $\mu^+\mu^-$ )
- Very little background, especially at high mass
- Cross sections compatible with SM at lower  $m_{ZZ}$
- No sign of BSM couplings at large  $m_{ZZ}$
- Reminder: no direct  $ZZZ$  or  $\gamma ZZ$  couplings in the SM, prediction comes from  $q\text{-}q\bar{q}$  interactions

# Summary of TGCs

- LHC has studied many more processes sensitive to TGCs


- Charged TGCs are consistent with SM predictions
- Neutral TGCs are consistent with 0 (=SM prediction) - not shown



Charged aTGCs (measured - SM)

- LHC limits on new physics in TGCs now the world's best**



# From TGCs to QGCs



- **Triple Gauge Couplings seem to agree with the SM, within the current experimental precision**
  - $WWZ$  and  $WW\gamma$  measured at expected rates
  - No sign of unexpected all-neutral couplings
- **What about the Quartic Gauge Couplings?**
  - Much smaller cross sections
  - Much less explored before the LHC

# Quartic gauge interactions: triple-boson production

- One way to probe quartic couplings: look for events with 3 final-state gauge bosons





- With leptonic W or Z decays: 4, 5, or 6 leptons**
- Very low cross sections - a few events expected with all the currently available LHC data

Candidate for  $WWZ$  production

4 leptons + missing  $E_T$   
 $Z \rightarrow \mu\mu$   
 $W \rightarrow \mu\nu$   
 $W \rightarrow e\nu$

# Quartic gauge interactions: triple-boson production

- Backgrounds from top quark production, diboson production + fake/non-prompt leptons
- Hunt for signal in tails of transverse mass (leptons+missing  $E_T$ ), or using multi-variate analyses





- Small excesses over background in several channels - compatible with SM signal!**

# Quartic gauge interactions: vector-boson scattering

- Scattering of 2 vector bosons to produce 2 vector bosons

- $W \rightarrow W$





- **Spectacular signatures:**

- **Typically 2 high energy forward-backward quark jets, in addition to 2 vector bosons**

# Quartic gauge interactions: $WW \rightarrow WW$ scattering

- **Intimately connected to Higgs sector and new physics**
  - SM cross section would grow and become unitarity violating/unphysical at  $\sim$ TeV scales, unless:
    - There is a Higgs boson OR other new physics
- Signal appears as excess of events with large  $m(jj)$  and  $m_T$ 
  - Fit for sum of signal and backgrounds
  - **Now observed with  $>5\sigma$  significance at the LHC**
    - **Next frontier with more data - probe W polarization for greater sensitivity**







# Quartic gauge interactions: other VBS processes




- **What about other vector-boson scattering processes?**

- No anomalous excesses

- Several processes observed for the first time





# More quartic gauge interactions: $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$ scattering



- **What about processes with \*initial-state\* photons radiated off of protons?**
  - Special case: usually no forward jets, infer  $\gamma\gamma$  production by \*lack\* of other activity besides 2 W-bosons
  - $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow WW$  studied by CMS and ATLAS, results consistent with the SM

# Even more quartic gauge interactions: “Light-by-light” scattering

- What about processes with **\*only\*** photons:  
 $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ ?
  - Very difficult in normal p-p collisions, so new techniques/detectors developed
- Heavy-ion collisions
  - Look for back-to-back photons with no other activity
  - **SM-like cross section measured, no new physics seen up to ~100 GeV**
- p-p collisions with new forward proton detectors
  - **No excesses observed from ~300 GeV to ~2 TeV -> limits on anomalous  $\gamma\gamma\gamma\gamma$  couplings**




---

Putting it all together:  
cross sections and anomalous couplings



# Production rates via gauge boson interactions

- Back to the original question:
- **Does LHC measure cross sections involving gauge boson interactions at the rates expected from the SM?**
- **So far, yes...**
  - Over almost 6 orders of magnitude in cross section!




# Rates of VBS/tri-boson processes

- What about the very rare processes?
- Zoom in on tri-boson production and vector boson scattering
  - Plot ratio of measurement/SM prediction
- Large uncertainties, but so far so good




# Anomalous gauge couplings scorecard (I)

- LHC exploring all the possible EWK 3-boson couplings
- Many upper limits placed on anomalous triple-gauge couplings




# Anomalous gauge couplings scorecard (II)

- LHC exploring all the possible EWK 4-boson couplings
- Many upper limits placed on anomalous quartic-gauge couplings
  - Several for the first time
  - So far no deviations from the SM!



# Electroweak physics - where to go from here?

- LHC precision measurements of some SM parameters start to be competitive with the best from  $e^+e^-$  colliders
  - Important impact on global fits and combinations with Higgs, top data
- Pattern of gauge boson interactions/ couplings so far agrees with the Standard Model
  - Including several very rare processes observed for the first time at the LHC
  - In most cases, sensitivity is to  $\sim$ TeV scale new physics with large couplings



- **$\sim$ 20x more data expected by the end of the HL-LHC program - probe smaller deviations from the SM**
- Program of detector upgrades will enable new measurements/analysis techniques



---

# W/Z as tools for QCD



# W/Z as tools for QCD: PDFs




- Apart from “purely” electroweak physics, W/Z can also be used to probe structure of the proton
- **Major uncertainty in many LHC measurements and searches: Parton Distribution Functions**
  - Describe fraction of proton momentum carried by the partons (quarks or gluons)
  - **Jet production more sensitive to gluon PDFs, Z and W depend on quark PDFs**



[Ref]


# W/Z as tools for QCD: PDFs

- Measure differential cross sections
  - In invariant mass+rapidity for Z (or non-resonant Drell-Yan)
  - Separately for  $W^+$  and  $W^-$ 
    - Different sensitivity to up and down quark PDFs
- **Differences between different PDF predictions**
  - => Use data as input to improve PDF fits



# W/Z as tools for QCD: Double-parton scattering



- Usually only 1 “hard” quark or gluon interaction in a single proton-proton collision
  - **In rare cases can have 2 or more => “Double parton scattering”**
- Can produce spectacular/“weird” signatures
  - **Potential background to new physics searches, and electroweak measurements**



# W/Z as tools for QCD: Double-parton scattering

- Similar W/Z reconstruction as electroweak measurements
  - Look for pairs of particles from the same vertex, with non-correlated kinematics
  - Unbalanced  $p_T$ , phi, etc.
- Several DPS processes seen for the first time at LHC ( $W^+W^+$ ,  $W$ +jets,  $Z$ +jets...), for others still looking ( $ZZ$ ...)

Hypothetical  
DPS signal  
shape



# Summary

---

- **The electroweak sector of the Standard Model has been so far remarkably (ridiculously) successful, even at LHC energies**
- **Attempts to break it are ongoing from all directions**
  - Combination of precision measurements of SM parameters
  - Searches for excesses in high-energy tails of distributions/anomalous couplings
  - Close connections to Higgs, top, flavor-physics studies (see upcoming lectures)



---

Extra